



tells him that the cars are people that live in the neighborhood. He said there are no spikes and there is a drop off on the weekend. Mr. Chickerillo commented that schools are not in session. He expressed that people are working from home. He said we may not be getting a true count because of school not being in session. Mr. Chickerillo expressed that the traffic count reports do not support a stop sign. Mr. Chickerillo said he understands there was a traffic accident that prompted the petition request. The petitioner said there were actually three (3) accidents. The petitioner commented that all the drivers in the three accidents were on their cell phones. Mr. Chickerillo expressed that stop signs do not slow traffic. Mr. Chickerillo said the roadway that has the lower traffic volume is the road used for traffic controls. He said for this particular study, the N-S have the higher traffic counts; E-W are really low compared to N-S. Mr. Chickerillo reminded the Board that they should not be beholden to stop signs and that perhaps they can start with a yield sign. Member Grady asked about speed bumps. Mr. Chickerillo responded no. Mr. Chickerillo reminded Member Grady that the existing speed bumps are removed in the fall and not placed back out in April/May. Mr. Chickerillo expressed that he does not find speed bumps to be effective at all. The petitioner reiterated that the big problem is that drivers are on their cell phones. Mr. McKenna commented that you cannot legislate stupidity and putting a stop sign up will not stop people from driving with their cell phones. The petitioner asked about a yield sign. Member Lupescu expressed that yield signs are useless.

***A motion to deny Pet. # TC-1274-SS-Request for two-way Stop Sign on Sproat at 90<sup>th</sup> Street was made by Member Lupescu and was seconded by Member Nork.***

Roll call: Butler, yes; Cody, yes; Grady, no; Lupescu, yes; Nork, yes; Sochacki, no.

Motion to deny passes: 4-0-2.

#### **IV. NEW BUSINESS:**

**A.) TC-1277-M-Request for traffic study east to west at 97<sup>th</sup> Street and 53<sup>rd</sup> Ave.:**

***The petitioner was not present.***

***A motion was made by Member Butler to table TC-1277-M-Request for traffic study east to west at 97<sup>th</sup> Street and 53<sup>rd</sup> Ave. The motion was seconded by Member Cody.***

Roll call: Sochacki, yes; Nork, yes; Lupescu, yes; Grady, yes; Cody, yes; Butler, yes.

Motion to table passes: 6-0-0.

**B.) TC-1278-M- Request for “No Trucks Allowed” signs in area of 101<sup>st</sup> and Ridgeland Ave.**

Mr. Skoda commented that the petition is for 101<sup>st</sup> Street and that is the Chicago Ridge side; he said it is Chicago Ridge’s jurisdiction. Mr. Skoda explained that as the Village of Oak Lawn, we are missing a sign on 100<sup>th</sup> Street. He said every street in that area is covered. He said the only area not covered with the 5 ton weight limit sign is on 101<sup>st</sup> Street. Mr. McKenna said he was told there are concerns of the weight load especially the traffic coming into the neighborhood.

Member Lupescu mentioned the area near the former Clark Station. He said it is on 100<sup>th</sup> & Marion. He said there is a 5 weight limit on Marion but not on 100<sup>th</sup> Street. Mr. Skoda said the post is there but the sign is missing; Member Lupescu said keeping the 5 ton weight limit will not do much and he thinks the signs may need to be changed. Mr. McKenna said the petition is for “No Trucks Allowed” at all. Member Lupescu suggested nothing over “B” plate on Marion, Merton, Merrimac, and Melvina off of 100<sup>th</sup> Street. Mr. Chickerillo said making the area “No Trucks Allowed” may make for a “sticky situation”. Member Lupescu asked staff if the Village could write the company a letter about the truck issue. The Board discussed modifying the signs to a weight limit rather than limiting all trucks.

- C.) *A motion was made by Member Cody for Pet. #TC-1278-M- Request for “No Trucks Allowed” signs in area of 101<sup>st</sup> and Ridgeland Avenue. The motion on the floor is to modify the signs to “No trucks over 5 tons allowed”. The motion was seconded by Member Lupescu.*

Roll call: Butler, yes; Cody, yes; Grady, yes; Lupescu, yes; Nork, yes; Sohacki, yes.

Motion passes: 6-0-0.

**V. OTHER BUSINESS:**

A.) Chairman: None

B.) Members: None

C.) Staff: None

**VII. ADJOURNMENT:**

*A motion to adjourn was made by Member Grady and was seconded by Member Nork.*

Voice Vote: All in favor, aye. All oppose none.

Motion to adjourn carries.

The meeting ended at 7:54 p.m.