

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President of Council Anderson called Council to order on March 19, 2025.

The governmental body and those in attendance recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

President Anderson provided the Invocation.

Ms. Browder took roll call. Council members Anderson, Gleaves, Jacobs, Vanover, and Webster were present. McFarland, and Sullivan-Wisecup were absent.

President Anderson: Ms. McFarland had previously notified Council that she would not be attending tonight, and Ms. Sullivan-Wisecup is under the weather, and we wish her a speedy recovery, and it doesn't sound like a lot of fun right now.

The minutes of the March 5, 2025 meeting were considered. Mr. Jacobs made a motion to accept the minutes; Mr. Gleaves seconded. The minutes were approved with five affirmative votes.

President Anderson: Before we move on to Committee and Official Reports, we did have a request from Administration to add an Executive Session this evening related to pending legal matter. So, at this point, the Chair is open to a motion to amend our agenda to add an Executive Session.

Mr. Jacobs: So moved.

Mr. Vanover: Second.

Motion to add an Executive Session related to pending legal matter passes with five affirmative votes.

President Anderson: We will go ahead and insert that after Ordinances and Resolutions, so a 9(a), or 9(b), and that's when we'll have that Executive Session. Or, at least an opportunity to have one.

### Committee and Official Reports

#### Civil Service Commission

Mr. Coleman: The Springdale Civil Service Commission did meet on March 6<sup>th</sup>, and the meeting was called to order at approximately 2:00 p.m. Members of the Commission in attendance was myself, Mrs. Cheryl Darby, and Mrs. Kathy McNear. Also present was Assistant City Administrator Ms. Morgan, as well as the Human Resource Administrator, Ms. Edwards. Following a disposition of the minutes, which were approved 3-0 with a motion made by Ms. McNear, and seconded by Mrs. Darby. Following a disposition of the minutes, there were a couple of reports. The Park Maintenance Supervisor hiring process was the initial part of the reports, and, having filled that position with Mr. Scott Yelton which was an internal promotion, thereby



## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson (continued): the process that is permitted for Federal work, it is now going to be known as the Community Assessment Committee, and we did have to remove the minority impact focus as a result. Based on the assessment going through the rules and bylaws for that part of the scoring, OKI still believes that 80% to 85% of communities that were previously receiving favorable scoring, will still be maintained, which is some good news for Springdale as we've benefited from that scoring as well, just based on our diversity and the demographics of our Community. Some grants have been restarted that were paused while the new rules are being assessed, which is also good news. The other thing that happened at OKI at this last meeting on March 13<sup>th</sup> was an update on AAM, which is Advanced Air Mobility. There's two types of those. This is drones, and also bigger drones known as eVTOLs. Vertical Takeoff and Landing devices. Why this came up inside of OKI is that OKI is now developing an infrastructure plan on how to support the increasing use of AAM devices (Advanced Air Mobility). So, a lot of things that are happening now in terms of surveys, and impact assessments are done with drones or these eVTOLs devices. But, how those get supported in terms of airports and airspace is still very much a fluid thing. We may, in fact, be the first in the nation to develop a plan, which I think is interesting. So, that is ongoing. The only other update from OKI is that we did update the TIP. This is where we actually list the projects that result in money coming to local communities. Every two years, it gets rolled into the statewide TIP, and that process is happening right now. The comment period for the updated TIP funding plan started on March 10<sup>th</sup>, and is scheduled for a April 10<sup>th</sup> meeting. Reviews and approvals would go from there through the end of July and then it would get rolled into next fiscal year's State budget. So, that process is ongoing. There's about \$4.8 billion dollars at Federal, State, and County level that we're talking about. So, this is real money and this has helped Springdale on a number of projects, so, it's something worth keeping an eye on. We're talking about real money. As you may recall, the Northland Boulevard Project, there's somewhere in the area of five million dollars that supplemented Springdale's projects. A lot of that has come through the OKI funding mechanisms. So, that's it for the OKI update, unless there's any questions.

### Mayor's Report

Mayor Hawkins: First off, just a reminder, the State of the City Address will be next Wednesday, March 26<sup>th</sup> at 7:00 p.m. at the Rec Center. Encourage folks to come out and see what's going on in 2025, as well as get the opportunity to hear from all our Department Heads, and Directors, and to have the opportunity to actually speak with them freely afterwards once the presentation is complete. Second thing I want to address. Our last meeting, and really several meetings before that even, but, we had a lot of feedback from our residents in Heritage Hill regarding traffic, parking, and the like. And, so, wanted to make sure our residents, as well as Council is aware, our Police Department has been doing an outstanding job as I feel they always have been, and, that segment of our Community has gotten a lot of attention probably over the last 36 months with regard to the situation with the individual with the nails, very focus-detailed patrolling going on, officers going really above and beyond. Been out there at night with metal detectors trying to find nails through the course of that. And, then,

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

Mayor Hawkins (continued): obviously once that was addressed and wrapped up, they have been trying to address the quality of life; parking and traffic issues there. And, so, with that we want to make sure everybody is clear, and I've said this before, even going back into the fall. There's strict enforcement in Heritage Hill with regard to parking and traffic, and, so, the time of warnings was probably a year or so ago with our community notations. And, that's over. So, as a result of that, just an example, just this past weekend there were approximately 40 citations that were given out. I got my first call with regard to a complaint this morning. It wasn't even 8:30 yet, didn't have my tie on yet, but I was awake and dressed. And, folks should know, there's not going to be a warning. If you are in violation, you're going to be cited to Court. And, you can address that matter there in court, but, this is what strict enforcement looks like and we want everybody to know that's going on. So, if someone is confused or didn't know, you know that's what's going on. And, the idea is to change the behavior with regard to how folks are driving and parking so that everybody has a high quality of life over there. One of the main ways to change behavior is to have some negative consequences. So, I want everyone to be aware of that. That concludes my report.

### Administrator's Report

Mr. Uhl: Kind of on the heels of that, wanted to let Council know that notices did go out this week to the affected residents on Ledro and Castro regarding the new "No Parking" zones that will be established are currently staked with wooden stakes and some fluorescent ribbon around those just to indicate where those zones will be. We also marked several "No Parking Here to Corner" sections that we heard from the residents as well, coming in off of Chesterdale on both Tivoli and Benadir so those notices will be going up here shortly as well. Speaking with Mr. Miller from Public Works, it looks like the scope of the work should be wrapped up hopefully early April, weather permitting. But, he did inform me that the signage did come in, and we were able to do utility locations, so, a lot of those up front hurdles have already been taken care of, so, that should be moving forward here sooner, rather than later. I do believe Ms. Morgan has a few things.

Ms. Morgan: Yes, thank you. A couple of items to share this evening. So, our Tax Department has recently implemented a couple of new features to assist taxpayers in filing and paying their taxes. The first is going to be a secure email site for communicating with our Tax staff. Taxpayers are able to securely transmit their documents, and other sensitive information direct with staff through the secure portal. Additionally, the second option is going to be the ability to make payments online. The online payment portal is available to individual taxpayers only at this point in time. However, there is a registration process that must be completed prior to having the ability to make that payment, and payments are accepted via bank account, or checking credit/debit card with a three percent convenience fee associated with that. So, both of those services you're able to access those via the Tax Department page of Springdale's new website; Springdaleohio.gov. That's the Tax Department page and there's links for both of those services. Additionally, wanted to share the City is transitioning to a new payroll provider. It's called Paylocity. As a result

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

Ms. Morgan (continued): of that, all of our staff, including our Elected Officials, and our Boards and Commission members will need to register for an account with Paylocity in order to be able to access your paystubs, your W-2's, update any of your demographic information, direct deposits, tax withholding information, etc. So, be on the lookout for an email tomorrow with further details on how to complete that step. That's all for me.

Mr. Jacobs: At our last meeting, we talked about, as we move towards the parking approach as an initial phase here, the number "20" was thrown around. And, I'm not sure that was really accurate at the time. Not in any kind of lack of transparency. Would you say the number is closer to 30?

Mr. Uhl: I believe the number is going to be closer to 30. I believe TEC had originally drafted for us, when they were evaluating parking spaces on Ledro was going to take approximately 20 spaces. When you take that same bend and curve on the southern side of Ledro, and apply that to Castro, on how that curve is, I think there was going to be an additional eight to ten spots that would be potentially impacted over on Castro as well. So, combination thereof, we're looking at around "28'ish" give or take.

Mr. Jacobs: Thank you. And, again, I was the number 20 and I just wanted to be clear that that was kind of an estimate at the time and that it might be higher. So, thank you very much.

Mr. Vanover: I'm happy to report to the Administration that the gas lines are marked over there. So, I guess we're waiting on Cincinnati Water Works to do their wonder, but, I appreciate the unrolling of this, and, anticipate feedback.

President Anderson: And, as we said in the meetings, feedback is available and welcome, and, if we need to make adjustments, we can. Maybe when the reality of those spots hits, we might take a different approach. But, if people do have questions about that, definitely reach out.

Mr. Uhl: Just one last thing. I do know the letters had indicated to contact Public Works. Mr. Miller is in the best position to kind of address where some of those parking/no parking zones are going to go. So, if residents do have comments, questions, or concerns about those, he'd be willing to go out to talk about why those are positioned where they are, and, as of now, that's a pretty good estimate on where those signs will be posted, but there is a little bit of flexibility. We're trying to line them up with property lines and driveway aprons so that they kind of made sense so that we could do our best to maximize the parking spaces still available.

Mr. Gleaves: This is for, I'd say, Ms. Morgan. Get some clarity on the website. The ".gov" is still in process for all of us. The old site, you cannot get through. It doesn't direct you to the new site. I don't know if there's an issue there. I don't even think a lot of our residents know that we're going to the ".gov", so if they're going to do their taxes, they're

City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

Mr. Gleaves (continued): not going to be able to access that as of now unless they know the actual domain. Am I correct?

Mr. Uhl: Just a real quick, on that specifically, there is a security feature that is currently inhibiting that direct feed over to the "ohio.gov" website. We are working through that right now to try and get something in place to do the redirect. What I did find is clearing your cache in your computer will get rid of that error message and will push you over so it's an automatic redirect. But, we're trying to get that set up for people who don't or are unaware how to clear that cache, so, nonetheless, we're trying to work through that here in the next couple of days. So, hopefully, provide a smoother transition for anybody looking to pay those taxes and whatnot.

Mr. Gleaves: Thank you.

President Anderson: I know the team at the Tax Department welcomes walk-in's at any time, and they've always been very friendly when I've come in, so, if you can't get through on the website, feel free to stop in or call Administration, they can take care of you.

Law Director's Report

Mr. Braun

-

No report

Engineer's Report

Mr. Riggs: We've got a few items here. We have the Northland Boulevard Reconstruction Project. The contractor is looking to resume work later this month. The Tri County Parkway Sidewalk Extension Project is being completed, but they're going to be addressing punch list items, and doing final restoration here soon. So, likely to be completed next month. The East Kemper Phase One Improvements; that's the SORTA funded project. Our survey is complete. We should have the base mapping done by the end of this week. Then, in late April we're hoping to have a preliminary plan review meeting with Public Works. Then the Northland/Kemper Intersection Realignment the proposal is up for a second reading this evening. That's all I had.

President Anderson: I believe in your report you said that the work on Northland will expect to still resume here later this month, or is that still TBD?

Mr. Riggs: That's the plan.

President Anderson: They were making such good progress up until the weather turned. I know I got a number of questions about that.

Mr. Uhl: Just to add on to your question. I did speak with Mr. Miller about that the other day. He was in contact with the contractor, and those folks said that the work crews were due in at the end of the month to resume work. So, that should be happening sometime late next week, or sooner.

City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson: I imagine we'll get another snowfall here late March.

Mr. Uhl: As soon as they come back, exactly.

President Anderson: We'll plan on it.

Rental Program Committee	Mr. Vanover	-	No report
Urban Farming Special Committee	Mr. Jacobs	-	No report
Communications		-	None

Communications from the Audience

Mrs. Tudor: Hello. My name is Julie Tudor. I have a couple of things this evening. The first one is about our Springdale Offering Support scholarship. This year we have the opportunity for two scholarships; one in the amount of \$3,000, and one in the amount of \$2,000. These are available to Springdale seniors who are planning to go to a trade school or a college; either one. All they need to do is just go to the City website, find Springdale Offering Support, and there is a link there they can click on, and complete the application. If they're having issues with the website, or the link, they can reach out to me. My phone number is (513) 505-5707. So, we look forward to receiving those. The deadline is March 31<sup>st</sup> though. The other item I wanted to address is just a comment or observation about the way the last couple of public hearings have been held. It just seems that they're done in reverse order. You have the public hearing, and then you've been reading the legislation after the public hearing. And, I've sat here through all of them, and I've heard people in the audience even say, "Well, we don't know, for instance, Tri County Mall is proposing". They just jumped right into the public hearing. So, I just think it used to always be that we would have the legislation read, and then the public hearing. I just think it would be a little less confusing to the public in the future. Thank you.

President Anderson: Thank you Ms. Tudor, and I will say in regards to the scholarship. I did see notices from Princeton School District that was also advertising it, and I certainly appreciate the fact that it's tied into them. It gets more visibility to SOS, which is a good thing.

Ordinances and Resolutions

Ordinance No. 11-2025

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH VERDANTAS FOR PRELIMINARY DESIGN ENGINEERING FOR THIS NORTHLAND BOULEVARD AND KEMPER ROAD INTERSECTION MODIFICATIONS AND SHARED USE PATH PROJECT

Mr. Vanover made a motion to adopt Ordinance No. 11-2025; Mr. Jacobs seconded.

# City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson: Council, as we get into deliberations for this, I just want to remind you as we are missing two members tonight, just be aware an ordinance, in the City of Springdale, still requires at least four Councilmembers to approve, not just a simple majority, so, it would take four votes in the affirmative to pass this this evening.

Ordinance No. 11-2025 passes with five affirmative votes.

## Ordinance No. 12-2025

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH MARQUE ENGINEERING FOR DESIGN ENGINEERING FOR THE COMMUNITY CENTER HVAC REPLACEMENT PROJECT – PHASE II

Mr. Gleaves made a motion to adopt Ordinance No. 12-2025; Mrs. Webster seconded.

Ordinance No. 12-2025 passes with five affirmative votes.

## Ordinance No. 13-2025

AN ORDINANCE ACCEPTING A PROPOSAL UNDER THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION COOPERATIVE PURCHASING PROGRAM AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CITY ADMINISTRATION TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH PAVEMENT TECHNOLOGY, INC. FOR THE 2025 ANNUAL STREET PROGRAM – PAVEMENT REJUVENATOR PROJECT

Mr. Vanover made a motion to adopt Ordinance No. 13-2025; Mrs. Webster seconded.

Mr. Gleaves: I'd ask the Law Director a question about the letter that goes along with this is addressed to Mr. Mike Huxsoll. He's no longer with the City. Is there anything issue with that?

Mr. Braun: No.

Mr. Gleaves: Thank you.

Ordinance No. 13-2025 passes with five affirmative votes.

## Ordinance No. 14-2025

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH TEC ENGINEERING, INC. FOR THE PROVISION OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR THE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE OF THE CITY OF SPRINGDALE'S TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM

Mrs. Webster made a motion to adopt Ordinance No. 14-2025; Mr. Vanover seconded.

# City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

Ordinance No. 14-2025 passes with five affirmative votes.

## Ordinance No. 15-2025

AN ORDINANCE ACCEPTING A PROPOSAL UNDER THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION COOPERATIVE PURCHASING PROGRAM AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH STRAWSER CONSTRUCTION, INC. FOR THE 2025 ANNUAL STREET PROGRAM – CRACK SEAL AND CAPE SEAL PROJECT

President Anderson: Council, this was the first reading of Ordinance No. 15-2025. Are there any questions or discussion regarding this ordinance this evening? Seeing none, we will see this again at our next meeting.

## Ordinance No. 16-2025

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO ENTER INTO A MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SPRINGDALE, THE CITY OF FOREST PARK, AND THE CITY OF FAIRFIELD

President Anderson: I'll just confirm, this is a renewal. We have existing agreements in place for all these communities today?

Mr. Uhl: There's an existing mutual aid agreement with all the departments within Hamilton County. When we looked at our agreements with our Butler County neighbors, we realized that we were absent for Fairfield, so we collaborated with Forest Park to kind of get one together with them.

Mr. Jacobs: This agreement would be at least somewhat perpetual until moved against I'm assuming?

Mr. Uhl: Correct.

Mr. Jacobs: Okay. Thank you.

Mayor Hawkins: I believe the document indicates 60 days-notice anybody can pull out of that agreement. Just like Mr. Uhl said, there's mutual aid regardless. This gives a little bit more clarity in terms of what folks can do without stepping on toes of their neighbor.

President Anderson: Next up on the agenda is Resolution No. R08-2025. Just as a reminder, or as a note, I did mention earlier that Ordinances require four affirmative votes in order to pass. Resolutions only require a simple majority, so just know that as we're going through this resolution, it only requires three votes in order to pass.

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

### Resolution No. R08-2025

#### A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A THOROUGHFARE PLAN FOR THE CITY OF SPRINGDALE

Mr. Jacobs made a motion to adopt Resolution R08-2025; Mr. Vanover seconded.

President Anderson: I believe in terms of discussion that we're starting that now. I believe we have a presentation from our City Engineer, Mr. Riggs related to this.

Mr. Riggs gave a presentation on the Thoroughfare Plan for the City of Springdale. He stated that the last plan was originally drafted in 1979, with updates in 1998. The Thoroughfare Plan shows the functional classifications of the roadway and each one of those classifications there were some design requirements associated with each type. Mr. Riggs noted that the use development and culture in Springdale is changing becoming more of a multi-modal emphasis placed. Not so much auto-centric. Mr. Riggs believed that developers are wanting to enhance the multi-modal connections within the City. Mr. Riggs stated that they are building off of the Comprehensive Plan and the Connectivity Plan with the goal of having roads that are the right size in the right place. Mr. Riggs stated that both previous plans helped with the development of the current plan. Mr. Riggs gave the definitions of different portions of the streets in residential, as well as retail areas. Mr. Riggs suggested a complete streets policy. Which means that it is not a commitment that every road or project has to have bike/pedestrian facilities, but a commitment to consider it. Not financially bound, but this document can be as simple as one page, or 30 pages long. Mr. Riggs stated that the City also has a Vision Zero policy. The City has a grant currently; Safe Streets For All. The City is making strides to address Vision Zero goal. Other items mentioned in the report included traffic calming, access control, parking management, and how right-of-way dedications are provided. Mr. Riggs also provided different funding possibilities.

Mr. Jacobs: It is a lot to take in and I love the aspiration of it, and I think, in general you're always going to hear me being a fan of sidewalks. What I wanted to ask about, some of those aspiration plans for Kemper include sidewalks that are either six or even eight feet in width. Can you kind of give me the gist of what do I get out of an eight-foot sidewalk that I don't get from a five-foot sidewalk. The reason I ask is that it's a lot to ask our residents to give up, and I don't I've ever seen an eight-foot sidewalk anywhere in the City, and if we go forward, then we'd be asking residents, for example, on Kemper for a very wide sidewalk, and what's the payoff between five and eight?

Mr. Riggs: Sure, and, again, these are just guidelines that have ranges. So, it's not that we're requiring any specific amount. But, the minimum sidewalk is five foot in constrained conditions. For example, to get around a utility pole, or something, we can go down to four feet for up to 200 feet. Then, we have to provide a five by five landing area, and that's per PROWAG (Public Right of Way Accessibility Guidelines) guides, but, the wider sidewalks, you really have to consider where they're at. So, if you have a high retail or commercial area where you expect a lot of pedestrian traffic, it allows more capacity. Just like a wider road allows more vehicles. It does give you,

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

Mr. Riggs (continued): and ODOT's multi-modal design guide actually gives you level-of-service for sidewalk pedestrian level-of-service; there's certain parameters for that, and it will tell you exactly how much width is recommended based on that. But, a lot of that has to deal with, we'll have to do pedestrian counts, or the development that may be coming in, it being a large traffic or pedestrian generator, they may justify, we as a City may want wider sidewalks, a longer frontage. Now, getting to that, we may not be able to go back and acquire additional right-of-way, unless, as we've done in the past, sort of get it as new developments or redevelopments occur. So, there's a lot to it. The answer is somewhat muddy just because we have to see what the need is and where the location is.

Mr. Jacobs: Thank you. One of the reasons I ask is currently on Kemper, in one of our new developments, there's a sidewalk that's only a few years old. It's not five feet, and, so, when I just look ahead to this, if we're able to move forward on something like this, residents with an existing sidewalk would have less than five feet, and we may be asking residents further down Kemper in a totally residential area for more than five feet. So, that was the reason for my question. I do understand it for commercial, but, mainly it's just sticking in my head that that would be a lot to ask residents. So, I appreciate your clarification.

Mr. Riggs: So, say the City was to do a project on an existing neighborhood, and it was to replace sidewalks. Generally, we sort of replace in kind. But, with this document, we would actually look to increase it to five foot if the right-of-way is allowed. There will be give and take. Again, it's not something that we would have to do, but, that was something that would actually recommend consideration, then, it's up to the City if they want to go that route.

Mr. Jacobs: Thank you.

Mr. Vanover: It looks good. But, kind of piggybacking on Mr. Jacobs, and I would be remiss if I didn't bring this up from one of my dear residents over there. In Heritage Hill, we have a four foot at very best. Now, how are we going to monitor in keeping that clear. Because, there are places on Crescentville Road that you have less than two feet to navigate. I've been up on Ray Norrish, and there's bushes, actually there's some in Heritage Hill too that are encroaching. So, what are we going to do? I mean, it's great to put that, but, if we aren't able to keep it clear, then we really haven't done anything.

Mr. Riggs: Right, right. So, we would look to address any substandard existing facilities if there's a street project, or if there's a new development. The maintenance of that, I guess, I'm not sure, in the City's case; does the property owner maintain the sidewalk or does the City?

President Anderson: The City maintains the sidewalk. In terms of clearing it, Public Works and the City has notified residents in cases where they need to maintain some of their shrubbery, they've gone out and notified residents they need to cut them back

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson (continued): or sometimes we do it for them in some limited cases. But, the City maintains the sidewalk currently. In terms of the actual concrete.

Mr. Vanover: Well, concrete maybe, but, I can take you over on Crescentville Road right now or some neighborhood or other streets in Heritage Hill, and there's probably as much grass as there is sidewalk. And that, I can't even at times walk the dog side by side, let alone my wife and I and a dog. And, that's been ongoing issue, I know, for a while. So, I mean if we're going to start moving in this direction, which I totally welcome, but, we need to figure out how we're going to maintain and monitor that situation. Otherwise we don't gain anything.

President Anderson: And, to that point, I certainly appreciate that comment. That's about maintaining it. One of the questions I have about this Thoroughfare Plan is describing what should be; the state of what we want it to be; what it could be, and it needs to be integrated into our planning process, our Zoning Code, and any development work that we do. You had even said that land use is from the right-of-way back, and I think that's some of those requirements for those fall into that space, so one of the questions that I have is, assuming that their plan is approved, do we need to then now take action as Council to go back and look at some of the land use to add hooks for the contextual classification that we're talking about here, right? If we're saying contextual classification is important and these are the standards we're going to use, do we need to look at the ordinances in terms of land use to make sure that it accounts for these as requests or requirements.

Mr. Riggs: No, that's a great question. When we first laid this out, we realized that in the land use ordinances that it only references the thoroughfare plan, and there's certain standards within the actual land use ordinances that reference sidewalks. We're not contradicting anything that's stated there. But, this one also references the Thoroughfare Plan, so, not saying that you have to update it now, but, it would be good to update the land use chapter along with other chapters for that matter.

President Anderson: I just wondered if maybe out of this Council might want to start a project to work with Planning to make sure that we've integrated this the best way that we can to work with them to figure out are there changes that we need to do in the process or not. I guess that's the question.

Mr. Vanover: And, one of the other points I was thinking about was mentioning biplanes, but, there's a new creature on the horizon, we're not seeing a whole lot, but I've seen other places, the electric bikes; the ebikes, and those and a traditional bicycle operate at two different levels, and different speeds, and then you add pedestrians into that mix and it's a concern. Something that I think that as we roll this out, we kind of build that in because it's coming.

Mr. Riggs: I believe we do try to address what the State calls micromobility devices. The State actually has, I think it's addressed in ORC (Ohio Revised Code) how they go about that. Can an ebike ride on the sidewalk, can a bicycle ride on the

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

Mr. Riggs (continued): sidewalk. So, that's all somewhat trying to be addressed Statewide, but I agree, we probably need to look at that a little bit more, especially as that type of Lime, or Bird or whatever scooters, if they ever come out this way.

President Anderson: Well, and I will add to that. I know Council did adopt the State Ordinance changes related to micromobility devices that define some of those things. That was probably two to three years ago at this point. Maybe three.

Mr. Gleaves: Thank you Mr. Riggs. Good presentation. What would you say is the biggest issue with this presentation?

Mr. Riggs: It's fortunate because for the last five or six years, we've already been trending this way without really having a strong guide, and it all actually stemmed from a lot of the Tri-County Mall development. A lot of things happened with that, then of course COVID hit, and then even more of an emphasis was placed on sort of that bike/ped active transportation. So, we've been shifting that way, and, again, I think developers are even pushing for those types of facilities and network and looking to even expand it to a regional trail. So, it's a whole culture shift; not just for Springdale, but, just in this region to really want to have those multi-modal healthy connections. So, getting over that culture I thought was going to be the hardest shift. I don't think it will be now. I think the challenge would be to "right-size" the right-of-way, "right-size" the roads within the right-of-way because the right-of-way space is limited. It's mostly built out so, it means you're not really going to try to widen the roads. What you're going to try to do is try to do a "road diet", or maybe if it's Kemper is seven or eight lanes wide, does it really need to be? With Tri County Mall gone, definitely not, but what happens when the new developments come or redevelopments occur? And that's something that we've been studying. I think those bigger, larger thoroughfares that we have in the City, I think we're going to have to take a hard look and see what's our priority for defining the uses within that right-of-way. We'll be up against a lot of struggles with utilities. The Urban Tree Plan, those have to fit some way. The trees are an asset that the Community has. A lot of times when you want to put a shared use path in, that's the first thing to go. I don't know if that's right, or if that's what is prioritized, but all that has to be discussed on every project.

Mr. Gleaves: One more question. I don't know if you've noticed, but, on Route 4 in Fairfield, they're taking their sidewalk all the way up Route 4. It kind of reminds me of a larger Kemper Road, if you will. We have issues with the way the mailboxes are placed on the street. I think a really good idea would be to make that walkable all the way through. It would take a lot of construction to move the mailboxes and things of that nature, but the more walkable neighborhoods that you have, the better. And I think the thing that they're doing in Fairfield is a really good idea. Kemper should be walkable; it's not. It wasn't designed to be that but, it's just an idea.

Mr. Riggs: Yes sir.

Mr. Gleaves: Thank you.

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson: I think the more walkable and more options you give residents, the more the Community can build itself. I can't tell you the number of times I've spoken with neighbors just on a walk in the neighborhood. It's a lot easier, and more natural if we can do that more often. I think that starts to address some of the concerns we've had as a Council before is how do we build that sense of Community. Giving options that don't involve getting in your car to drive to the corner is I think one of those things that we can do to make our Community tighter.

Mr. Vanover: Well, you talk about Kemper Road, I mean, three, four years ago, we had a packed house, and the residents there soundly said, "No sidewalks". It was sketched out, laid out, so, it's also going to take a culture change with the population. Because we are diverse age-wise, that's going to have to change too. And that's one of the things that's going to have to play into it.

President Anderson: And to be clear, this Thoroughfare Plan doesn't require, we're not changing the Zoning Code as a result of this. It's just updating the references. But, we'll have to be careful with every one of those changes. What I did like about the presentation Mr. Riggs gave was describing how these are the recommendations, but it should be tailored to the context, and, for example, that Kemper Road context with that specific area of street that drew so much attention, that's much more of a busy thoroughfare even though it's a residential street for a lot of our friends out there, so, that's where we have to have some of those conversations.

Mr. Jacobs: Your point is well taken. As a resident of Kemper Road as mentioned, we can go forward. I think if we put enough effort into just taking those residents' concerns at the utmost and making it the smallest possible footprint. So, for example, not within my district, but on the other end of Kemper, there are residents that have accessible ramps. And some of our early plans for sidewalks would have devastated those ramps. And I didn't understand really then. Move the sidewalk closer to the street, get it on the other side of those telephone poles, and then you're going to have less pushback from residents. At least some. I know it wouldn't cancel all the problems, but just in general. I know it's micro-specific, and I brought it up, but, as we go forward, if we can just make it the smallest footprint possible in residential areas, I would do my best to try to bring more people on board. Thank you.

President Anderson: Good conversation.

Mayor Hawkins: I think the big thing that we keep in mind, as Mr. Riggs indicated, this isn't causing something to happen different in terms of codification or what we're doing. It's just to state, "Hey, we need to consider these things as everything comes through", and we've been doing it for years, and, even with regard to the Northland Boulevard Project, we talk about redirecting traffic away from residential. That's a big part of what that is. We have folks that will go up Kemper between Lawnview and Route 4 when it's not necessary. We have a lot of residents there, and, so, to pull back on that traffic and redirect them on Northland helps our residents that are on Kemper.

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

Mayor Hawkins (continued): Less traffic may make it more likely that they're going to go and walk along that side of the road. So, we're going through these processes and this Council, the prior Council, this Administration, the prior Administration, folks have been acting with these types of ideas in place, but, it's great to have them all put together as Mr. Riggs has, and now we really have a great guide for Council and Planning Commission, and Administration to use.

Mr. Vanover: It just triggered something else Mr. Gleaves mentioned about mailboxes and something that is becoming a very active interaction and has changed in probably the last five years is home delivery; Amazon, Kroger, you name it. It's a nightmare, well if I remember, the United States Post Office dictates where the mailboxes are located. So, we may need some play from them, but Kemper Road at times, I've been behind Amazon, I've been behind Amazon in my own neighborhood, so, that's one of those new things that we're going to have to figure out how. I don't know how we lessen that impact, or make it smoother, but it's something that's there now that we didn't see five or ten years ago.

President Anderson: I agree. I wonder how many bailouts we would have added to our streets if we knew that home delivery was going to be so big going forward. I do also want to call out and appreciate the comments that were made for Planning around pedestrian throughput. When I hear the conversations about sidewalks on Kemper Road, we talk about redirecting traffic that shouldn't be there for residential, and when we had the big discussion about sidewalks on Kemper, the primary focus for that was on the residents who were affected by the sidewalks. I don't know that enough emphasis was given on throughput and the residents that we're trying to navigate through that neighborhood in order to get to our commercial district at the time, especially the mall and the area around it. So, I'm glad we're kind of taking that higher level focus and think about all of the throughput and the way that people use this. I will warn you that I think one of the things that you mentioned in your recommendations will be called out pretty quickly and that's around traffic calming. That's a frequent item in addition to parking that we hear about. In the past, we've done studies about what are the right types of traffic calming devices to use in residential areas. Since we've done that study a few years back around street bumps or humps, or towers, or cushions, I think that the logic and some of the available options have changed too, so, I suspect that we're going to hear requests for that being fleshed out a little bit more in the near term if this is approved.

Resolution No. R08-2025 passes with five affirmative votes.

President Anderson: Again, thank you Mr. Riggs, and also to Planning Commission who had this before it came here and did a lot of the review. There's a lot of information inside of here. I assume this will be published; will it be on the Planning side or in the City Engineer page?

Mr. Uhl: I don't know if we determined where it will be placed, but it will be out there.

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson: So, in the meanwhile if anyone would like to take a look at the wider plan, or more detail, just contact City Administration, and we can get you a copy, but it will be posted and then publicized. There is a lot of detail there, and it's really good information.

### Executive Session – Pending Litigation

Mr. Jacobs made a motion to go into Executive Session pursuant to Ohio Revised Code, Section 121.22(G)(3) to conference with Council related to pending litigation. Mr. Vanover seconded the motion. The motion passed with a 5-0 vote to go into Executive Session. Council departed chambers at 8:21 p.m. Council returned to chambers at 8:26 p.m.

### Old Business – Domesticated Pets Discussion

President Anderson: We have one item of Old Business on our agenda this evening, and it's listed again as Ordinance No. 10-2025. Council may recall that this was the ordinance that was brought forth at our February 19<sup>th</sup> Council meeting, where we voted 6-1 to send it to Planning Commission for its review and recommendation. Planning Commission I know we don't have the advantage of having either of our Planning Commission representatives here, but I'll summarize as best I can and then ask if there's any updates or corrections. But this item was sent to Planning Commission on our February 19<sup>th</sup> meeting. You would have seen in your packet a request from Planning Commission for an extended period of time to have their public hearing and review this item. As I understand it, what was communicated to Planning wasn't sufficient for them to think that they needed to do a public hearing and then actually vote on it. Due to discussions at the Planning meeting, they found that they did need to do some more work. And, out of that, Planning has then sent us a letter asking for an extension. For those that might not be aware, our Charter does require that any items submitted to Planning due have its recommendation back to Council within 40 days, unless an extension is offered. And this is one of the first cases I think where they've asked for an extension, so, I think it makes sense, just based on the communications back and forth that happened that we should do that. So, in order to do that, what would need to happen is we would need to have a motion to provide an extension, and we can talk about how long and what that would be, but I just wanted to set the stage for a minute on why we're talking about it tonight. I will say that, based on the timeline, the normal timeline for one of these changes, ordinarily, if they would have gotten back to us for this meeting, what would happen is we would schedule a public hearing if Council wanted, and then take action as appropriate. There's a 15 day advertisement period that's required for public hearings, so, if we agree tonight that we still want to take action on this, either with the extension or without, that would happen at our April 16<sup>th</sup> meeting, and that's when we'd have the opportunity to have our public hearing and then vote one way or the other on the proposed ordinance. So, let me stop there, and ask if there's any questions, or feedback related to the ordinance that was sent to planning, or if Administration

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson (continued): wants to fill in a little bit more from what happened at Planning or not since I wasn't there.

Mr. Uhl: There was a misunderstanding on my behalf, so we didn't advertise for a public hearing for a zoning text amendment, which is how Planning Commission would receive this. So, I thought it was going to be a dialogue and a discussion amongst the Planning Commission members to provide their input and feedback to allow that to come back to Council to draft either an amended version of what was already drafted for that ordinance. But, yes, we can proceed and continue to advertise as we need to for either direction that Council wants to move forward, be that back to Planning, or continue within this body.

President Anderson: And, it's important to note that in that timeline even if we extend Planning's opportunity to review again, they have a standing meeting on the 8<sup>th</sup>, the second Tuesday. It would be within their normal schedule if we send it back to them with an extension tonight, if say we extended their response timeline to the end of business day on April 10<sup>th</sup>. That still fits within their advertising requirements for Planning to have a public hearing, and then also within the timeline for us to advertise our public hearing and still take action on the 16<sup>th</sup>. We can do that if we extend the timeline or not in either case. Just know that if we do not extend the timeline, it will again take five votes to pass the ordinance that was sent down because it would come through with no recommendation, which is the same as a negative. The other thing I'll say is that one of the things that came to light as part of this communication is not just this one-time thing. I think one of the things Council should probably start doing going forward is adjusting our procedure a little bit for when we send these ordinances to Planning. Just as Planning would send a letter back to us with their finding, I think it would be in Council's best interest if we're going to continue to make change recommendations like this to start doing that to, right? So, after we take an action like we did in the February 19<sup>th</sup> meeting, we should send them a correspondence to have an artifact, a document of what was requested with the thinking around it. That doesn't have to require motion or action tonight, but I think it's something we should start doing. That could happen from the Clerk or it can come from my desk; either one's fine. I think you saw the need for that in the letter from Planning where they said, "Hey, whichever you decide, please send us a letter so we're on the same page." I think that's a fair request just to make sure communications are clear. So, I am looking for just general assent tonight on whether or not you're comfortable with us doing those letters as we do action, because it's not listed in our procedures that I think that we should start doing that. I'd also think we should add it to our procedures, but that will take some time.

Mr. Vanover: Well, I think that is the smart way. As a matter of fact, I would say instead of sending an ordinance to them, send a letter that says, "Here are the discussions that Council had." Because then they craft something, it comes back with their recommendation. You don't have to do a super majority vote if you override, and it allows both parties to work at optimum. And I think too if Planning requests some additional time to look at this, I think we owe it to them. We've sent it to them, so I say then we owe it to them to give them the extension to allow them to work. My two cents.

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

Mr. Jacobs: I agree that sending letters going forward is best practice. I also have no concern giving them more time. But I was wondering if anyone who attended the meeting could give, in reading the letter, it wasn't clear to me whether they wanted more information from this body, or time to do their own research. Does anybody have a sense? And, unfortunately, nobody's here for that report. But does anybody have a sense of whether it's either of those? Or some third thing? Thank you.

President Anderson: I can tell you the feedback I got at least from the representatives that were at Planning after the meeting what they shared, and, also, I spoke with Mr. Okum, who is the Chair of Planning, ahead of the OKI meeting a couple of weeks ago. I think the biggest barrier they had was the misunderstanding; the miscommunication on the public hearing that would be required. Even in the meeting if they had realized the mistake of the ordinance being sent down because the public hearing wasn't advertised in advance, there was no way for them to take action even if they wanted to. I will share that what I did hear from our members from Planning that represent us there is there was a wider discussion about what else should change, or what might need to change if we were to make this ordinance go forward, and that's the normal process from Planning. So, what we asked of them to do is think about the ordinances that we send down and what it could mean to the other parts of the Code that we might not be thinking about. In this specific case, it's changing one number from a two to a three. So, I think the expectations that we had is probably a little bit of a lighter review, so it was a little frustrating for some people that it needed to be extended, but in light of the miscommunication it makes a lot of sense, and I certainly welcome their feedback on what else we should look at. The reason it's so timely that we did this Thoroughfare Plan discussion tonight is it goes back to some of those same topics and same discussions. Is how do we maximize the Zoning and our ordinances around it. I think there will be an opportunity over the next several months and years for Council to figure out the best way to work with Planning. We've seen some differences on how the process has worked in the past versus how we are using it now, and we probably should formalize some of that. So, outside of this extension, what I'm asking is that City Council think about if it makes sense for City Council to update its rules and procedures just like we did in Rule 30 at the beginning of the year for how agendas and how our meetings are managed to just codify how we send things to Planning so we don't have to have this discussion every time. So, we don't have to say, "Are we sending an ordinance?", or "Are we doing a joint discussion or not?" All of those can be decided ahead of time, outside of the time pressures of a specific ordinance. So, I bring that up just so you can be thinking about it. I think at the next meeting we should talk about whether we want Rules and Laws to add rules for how Council should send Zoning Code changes to Planning. Or, if we just want to leave the process as it is. So, I want to plant that seed so we can talk about it next time is think about what is the right way for us to work with Planning based on the Charter that says that zoning changes initiate from Council. Zoning changes can also initiate from Planning. So, we need to make sure that we're on the same page. I will tell you that in speaking with Mr. Okum, he was very open to those

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson (continued): discussions, and he also wants that conversation because he wants to have this work just as much as anyone else. It helps all the residents if we work hand in glove, so, I also support an extension so that Planning can give us their feedback like they should.

Mr. Gleaves: This question is for Law Director Braun. Regardless of what happens with this ordinance. If it stays the same, or if it does change, it's already been stated that it needs to be taken out of the Zoning Code. So, would that be two separate issues? Should that be applied to the ordinance or should it be applied separately?

Mr. Braun: Those are two separate issues. You've sent down to them looking at adjusting the number. If we were to remove it from the Zoning Code, that in and of itself would be a text amendment, which we would need to send to them and have them consider whether this should or should not be in the Zoning Code. So, that is a separate question and it's something that would need to be sent to them separately because if we were going to remove it from the Zoning Code now, we wouldn't be amending the Zoning Code. We would be erasing it from the Zoning Code, then passing a stand-alone ordinance, which is something that, I think, Mr. Jacobs may recall that Urban Farming Committee looked at. So, it's a process that's separate.

President Anderson: And, to that point, that's one of the reasons that I was talking about the letter and being clear as to what we're asking. Because absent some of those guardrails, it makes sense for someone in Planning to say, "Well, let's look at more things that are connected, not just this one change". Right? So, the ordinance that you brought forward was just change the number from two to three, and the expectation was we, as a Council, sent that to Planning and said, "We want to change this one number from two to three. Give us your feedback." I welcome the feedback on "Hey, really this should go into all the other areas if we're going to do this". But changing one thing shouldn't have to require changing everything unless the "everything" is so broken that we can't fix it. So, one of the things that I want to make sure when we communicate with Planning is what we're asking them to do, right? So, we send an ordinance with one change. We welcome feedback for different ways to look at it, but we still want and "up and down" vote on this change. Does that make sense?

Mayor Hawkins: And, just along the lines of what Mr. Gleaves had referenced in terms of whether this is something that comes out of the Zoning Code and it was referenced with Urban Farming with regard to whether the chicken issue should come out of the Zoning Code. It's important to note if Council goes through those considerations that the big thing that you're going to be looking at is how we're going to go and enforce those things. And so, on the one end, if it's in the Zoning Code, then that's going to be our Building Department enforcing those things. If it's out of that, then it's going to be our Police Department enforcing those things. And, so, some of the things that should be considered is, is that what we should have law enforcement doing or not, and, so, they have a lot of things that they go through and have to go through and manage, and that's something else to be considered if Council goes down that road.

## City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson: And that goes to that earlier question that I was saying we should talk about next time is do we want to set up a committee to look at that, right? If we're going to talk about taking entire sections out, that's something that we need to make sure we look at a little bit more than just sending an ordinance down back and forth. That's probably a group that involves Planning as well as Council and Building Department, and Administration, and we can set those up. But I don't want to cloud tonight's issue with that wider. I just wanted to make sure everyone understood there's more to it than this. What we're talking about for this is realize that this is an example that we can use to make the process smoother going forward, but we still have the immediate action I want to make sure we do. So, we've talked a little bit about an extension to give Planning another chance to do the public hearing. Are there any more questions or feedback about that specifically? I feel like there's general assent. So, at this point, the Chair is open to a motion to extend the review period for Planning Commission's review of Ordinance No. 10-2025 that was sent down on February 19<sup>th</sup> to extend their deadline to April 10<sup>th</sup> at close of business. Is there a motion for that?

Mr. Vanover: So moved.

Mr. Jacobs: Second.

President Anderson: The motion to extend the deadline to the Planning Commission's recommendation related to Ordinance No. 10-2025 passes with five affirmative votes. In that motion, we are extending the deadline to the end of business on April 10, 2025, which would be two days after their currently scheduled meeting and should allow them time to have their public hearing. Related to that, knowing that they will have a deadline on April 10<sup>th</sup>, I'm looking for general assent to schedule, in advance of getting their recommendations, City Council's public hearing for April 16<sup>th</sup>. Are there any concerns about scheduling our public hearing for April 16<sup>th</sup> so we can act on the recommendations?

Mr. Vanover: Well, you can always schedule, and if we need to cancel it, change it. It's better to do that than to be caught in the quandary.

President Anderson: That's my recommendation too, and the reason April 10<sup>th</sup> is a good date is that's Thursday before the Friday before our April 16<sup>th</sup> meeting. Meaning Council will have received the recommendation back from Planning in advance of when our deadline is to have ordinances or agenda items for our April 16<sup>th</sup> meeting. So, if something unexpected happens, or comes out of that recommendation, we will certainly have an opportunity to adjust or take action as appropriate. That also keeps this project on the same timeline it would have been on if there wasn't the miscommunication. It's just a learning experience in that case. So, we'll go ahead and plan that public hearing both for, well, Planning can plan their own, but, then we will do it for April 16<sup>th</sup> for City Council. The other item out of that was the letter and communication to Planning. In anticipation of that being passed, I drafted a letter to see what that would be. I'm passing it out on the dais that just

City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

President Anderson (continued): communicates what we talked about, right? This was the ordinance that was passed. This was the date that it happened, and what we're asking back for. So, since Planning asked us for a letter back, this is letter I'm proposing to send back to them. Obviously, we can make any changes. In this case, I'm signing it for myself because I was writing it, and I didn't know where we'd end up. If Council thinks it's more appropriate for this letter to come from the Clerk, I'm happy to make that change. We can certainly do that going forward when it's more of a clean transfer. I feel like this one just had some special handling that was needed. So, that's why I added a little bit more context. So, I don't need a vote on the letter, but, if you do have concerns or questions about it, I'm going to get with Administration tomorrow to finish this, and make sure that they don't have any changes, and then we can go ahead and send it. So, if you read it and have any questions or concerns, just know that I'll need those back tonight, or tomorrow morning. Anything else on Ordinance No. 10-2025? It's a lot of conversation for a small change, but I think we're learning a lot in the process and hopefully we can move faster going forward.

New Business - None

Meetings and Announcements

Mr. Uhl: Just a quick announcement. SwimSafe will be hosting an open interview session on March 25<sup>th</sup> from 4:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. at our Community Center for various positions at the pool for this summer.

Mr. Gleaves: The next BZA meeting is scheduled for the 25<sup>th</sup> of this month at 7:00 p.m. in these chambers.

Communications from the Audience - None

Recap of Legislative Items

Mr. Jacobs: Council, as you review your Legislative Summary, Item I was addressed by Ordinance No. 11-2025 which received a second reading; An Ordinance Authorizing the Mayor and City Administrator to Enter Into an Agreement with Verdantas for Preliminary Design Engineering for the Northland Boulevard and Kemper Road Intersection Modifications and Shared Use Path Project. That passed with five affirmative votes. Item II received a second reading and was addressed by Ordinance No. 12-2025; An ordinance Authorizing the Mayor and City Administrator to Enter Into an Agreement with Marque Engineering for Design Engineering for the Community Center HVAC Replacement Project – Phase II. That passed with five affirmative votes. Item III also received a second reading. It was addressed by Ordinance No. 14-2025; An Ordinance Authorizing the Mayor and City Administrator to Enter Into an Agreement with TEC Engineering, Inc., for the Provision of Professional Services for the Operations and Maintenance of the City of Springdale's Traffic Control System. That passed with five affirmative votes as well. Item IV received a second reading and was addressed by Ordinance No. 13-2025; An Ordinance Accepting a Proposal Under the Ohio

City of Springdale Council

March 19, 2025

Mr. Jacobs (continued): Department of Transportation Cooperative Purchasing Program and Authorizing the Mayor and City Administrator to Enter Into an Agreement with Pavement Technology, Inc. for the 2025 Annual Street Program – Pavement Rejuvenator Project. That passed with five affirmative votes. Item VII; A Resolution Adopting a Thoroughfare plan for the City of Springdale was addressed by Resolution No. R08-2025 receiving five affirmative votes.

Legislation in Development

Mr. Jacobs: Item V is in development. It received a first reading. It was addressed by Ordinance No. 15-2025; An Ordinance Accepting a Proposal Under the Ohio Department of Transportation Cooperative Purchasing Program and Authorizing the Mayor and City Administrator to Enter Into an Agreement with Strawser Construction Inc. for the 2025 Annual Street Program – Crack and Cape Seal Project, again receiving a first reading, and that will come back before Council on April 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting. Item VI received a first reading. It was addressed by Ordinance No. 16-2025; An Ordinance Authorizing the Mayor and City Administrator to Enter Into a Mutual Aid Agreement for Law Enforcement Between the City of Springdale, the City of Forest Park and the City of Fairfield. That will be before us again at our next meeting on April 2<sup>nd</sup>. Item VIII, still in development, received a first reading. Oh, this will be a first reading on April 2<sup>nd</sup>; An Ordinance Declaring Certain Property as Surplus Property and Authorizing the Sale, Disposal, or Transfer of Surplus Property, and finally, also, there was a 5-0 vote to extend the deadline for Planning Commission to I believe April 10, 2025, to consider Ordinance No. 10-2025.

Adjournment

President Anderson: All that's left before us is Item 16.

Mr. Vanover: So moved.

President Anderson: We're adjourned. Thank you everyone.

Council adjourned at 8:48

Respectfully submitted,

Nicole Browder  
Clerk of Council

Minutes Approved:  
Jeffrey Anderson, President of Council

\_\_\_\_\_ 2025