December 18, 2015

To the Joint Meeting

Ladies and Gentlemen:

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SEWAGE CONTRIBUTION OF THE MUNICIPALITIES ORGANIZED IN JOINT MEETING (FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE YEAR 2016)

I present herewith the 81st Annual Report for the eleven municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, covering their respective contribution to Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and to the Treatment Plant, as called for under the terms of the 1926 Contract.

Article X of the 1926 Contract requires that an estimate be made for the purpose of assessing the costs of maintenance and operation each year.

In order that the amount of work necessary for the preparation of this estimate be completed in time for consideration by the Joint Meeting before the date specified by law for the adoption of the new budget, it has been customary to use the twelve-month period immediately prior thereto as the basis of consideration for the assessment purpose only.

You will please note that this is the first of the two Annual Reports prepared each year, and that it covers the twelve-month period from November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015. Subsequently, at the beginning of each succeeding year, a second and final report is prepared covering the previously completed calendar year, which coincides with the fiscal year of the Joint Meeting.

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, PL 92-500 and as a requirement of our Construction Grant for the expansion in Secondary Treatment, a "User Charge System" is used to apportion the operation and maintenance costs for the Joint Meeting for 2016. During the year 1978, a User Charge System was prepared and adopted by the member municipalities and is entitled "An Ordinance Establishing and Defining User Charges in Connection with the Collection and Treatment of Wastewater and Providing for the Payment of Said User Charges". During the year 2015, this "User Charge System" was utilized by the various municipalities for collection of the charges associated with the operation and maintenance of the trunk sewer system, the treatment plant, and the Sludge Dewatering Facility, the percentage of assessment based upon a report dated December 19, 2014.

During 2015, the various municipalities computed the actual dwelling units for each of their respective towns in accordance with the revised schedule included in the Sewer Use Ordinance. Table II depicts the new (2016) dwelling unit figures formulated by each municipality in 2015.

It should be noted that the methodology used to assess the City of Elizabeth for services rendered by the Joint Meeting is based on quantity and quality of sewage as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU's) tributary to the gravity Joint Meeting sewer through the Elmora Avenue Area in Elizabeth, plus the tributary area from the City of Linden. This methodology was approved by the Joint Meeting and the Elizabeth City Council. The percentage used in this assessment report for the City will be based upon a twelve (12) month, 365 day period of November 1, 2014, through October 31, 2015 and projected for a twelve (12) month period in 2016. This allocation will be assessed and paid in accordance with the member municipalities billing procedure. Then, based on the analysis of the samples taken at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, an adjustment is made (on a quarterly basis) to the percent allocation from the City. At the end of 2016, utilizing twelve (12) months of data, the actual percent contribution by the City will be calculated and certified thereto.

The accompanying computations include the total Dwelling Unit number of 47,181 for the City. (This Equivalent Dwelling Unit number was computed by Elson T. Killam Associates during the period November 8, 1979 and December 12, 1979 and revised by the City Engineer on February 9, 1982).

USER CHARGE APPORTIONMENT

Set forth below are the basis factors concerning flow, waste characteristics and projected cost of operations of the Facilities derived for the 365 day period from November 1, 2014, through October 31, 2015, and projected for 2016 and are based upon actual 2014-2015 operating statistics as well as a recent upgraded 2015 industrial waste survey. The industrial waste figures take into consideration actual yearly operational time for each industry (i.e., 5 day or 7 day working week, etc.).

1.	Flow	19,448.7790	MG/Year		53.2843	MGD
2.	BOD	16,472.1582	Tons/Year		90,258.4011	Lbs/Day
	TSS	14,278.8071	Tons/Year		78,240.0389	Lbs/Day
3.	Estimated Indu	strial Flow	511.2500	MG/Year	1.4007	MGD
4.	Estimated Indu	ıstrial BOD	3,551.7382	Tons/Year	19,461.5792	Lbs/Day
5.	Estimated Indu	strial TSS	585.5549	Tons/Year	3,208.5200	Lbs/Day
6.	Estimated Tota	al Operating Ex	xpenses in 2016			
			•		\$21,990,707	STP
					8,083,250	SDWF
					317,064	SDF
					1,060,969	Sewers
				100	\$31,451,990	Total
7.	Estimated Tota	al Dwelling Un	its in System			
, .		.			151,829	JM Members
					47,181	Elizabeth
				pines.	199.010	Total

151,829	JM Members
47,181	Elizabeth
199,010	_

In addition to the foregoing, it has been determined that the following cost allocations would fairly represent the actual costs of treatment.

Flow	32.5719725%
BOD	44.5756429%
Suspended Solids	22.8523846%

The basis for these cost allocations is set forth in Appendix A.

Based upon the foregoing allocations, Table I has been prepared which shows the projected and estimated flow and waste characteristics for 2016 and the projected estimated cost allocation between domestic sewage treated from residential and commercial establishments, and the industrial waste treatment reflecting industrial flow from industries in the collection system. This system takes into consideration the fact that the City of Elizabeth does not use the trunk sewer and will accordingly not be charged for its use. The trunk sewer charge is assessed only against member municipalities, and their respective industries.

Table I Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties Estimated Flow Waste Characteristics and Cost Allocation for Treatment in 2016

Treatment Plant

			Domestic &	
_	Total for 2016		Commercial	<u>Industrial</u>
Flow	19,448.7790	MG/Yr. ¹	18,937.5290 MG/Yr.	511.2500 MG/Yr.
BOD	16,472.1582	Tons/Yr. ¹	12,920.4200 Tons/Yr.	3,551.7382 Tons/Yr.
Suspended Solids	14,278.8071	Tons/Yr.¹	13,693.2522 Tons/Yr.	585.5549 Tons/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2015 (365 days)

Cost Allocation

		Domestic &	
_	Total for 2016	Commercial	Industrial
Flow	\$9,898,955.00	\$9,638,741.00	\$260,214.00
BOD	13,546,993.00	10,625,981.00	2,921,012.00
Suspended Solids	6,945,073.00	6,660,265.00	284,808.00
-	\$30,391,021.00	\$26,924,987.00	\$3,466,034.00
	100.000000%	88.59520380%	11.40479620%

Elizabeth Contribution

Flow	-	5,344.9230	MG/Yr.
BOD	-	4,858.5615	Tons/Yr.
TSS	-	2,907.0950	Tons/Yr.

Trunk Sewer Flow 1

	Domestic &	
Total for 2016	Commercial	<u>Industrial</u>
14,103.8560 MG/Yr. ²	13,779.2680 MG/Yr.	324.588 MG/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2015 (365 days)

(2) (19,448.779 - 5,344.9230)

COST ALLOCATION

\$1,060,969.00 \$1,036,551.72 \$24,417.28

Member municipalities will be assessed \$6.83 (\$1,036,551.72/151,829) for trunk sewer O&M per equivalent dwelling unit.

The estimated cost for treating industrial wastes has been determined to be \$508.98 per MG for flow, \$822.42 per ton of BOD, and \$486.39 per ton of suspended solids. These estimates are predicated upon the cost allocation to industry and the estimates of flow and strength characteristics of the industrial waste, all as indicated on Table I. These costs were determined as follows:

Flow	\$260,214.00	/	511.2500 =	\$508.9760391	/MG
BOD	\$2,921,012.00	/	3,551.7382 =	\$822.4175982	/Ton
Suspended Solids	\$284,808.00	/	585.5549 =	\$486.3899183	/Ton

All industries within the member municipalities (excluding Elizabeth) will be required to pay an additional \$75.23/MG of flow for trunk sewer use (\$24,417.28/324.588 = \$75.225/MG). This brings the total flow cost to \$584.20/MG (\$508.976 + \$75.225) for the indicated industries of member municipalities.

Based upon the user charges for 2016, a computation has been made to show the budget prepayment for each municipality of the Joint Meeting. These estimates are subject to revision annually, dependent upon the actual number of dwelling units which must be determined for each municipality in accordance with the schedule of dwelling units set forth in the ordinance. In addition thereto, the computation for the industrial user charges has been based upon actual calculations of flow and waste characteristics for the industries in the district as a result of the 2015 industrial waste survey of the service area. These are estimates for 2016 and must be verified on an annual basis to reflect the actual flow and waste characteristics.

The basis for the industrial waste flow and characteristics are set forth in Appendix B of this report. The dwelling unit figures have been compiled by each municipality with the exception of Elizabeth in accordance with the revised Schedule of Dwelling Units incorporated into the User Charge Ordinance.

Table VII sets forth the cost to each municipality to establish the budget requirements of \$31,451,990.00 for 2016. However, it should be noted that in accordance with the Agreement between the Joint Meeting and the City of Elizabeth noted previously, and as a result of the monitoring of the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, the City would provide for 28.6435967% of the treatment plant, sludge dewatering facility and sludge drying facility budgets initially with adjustments made quarterly. Upon completion and verification of the quality and quantity of the City's contribution as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the EDU's from the Elmora Avenue area, plus the City of Linden's contribution, an adjustment will then be made for the member municipalities.

Table II

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities

Municipality Dwelling Units and Industrial User Charge

2016

Municipality	Dwelling Units ¹	User Charges Industrial
East Orange	7,215	\$ -
Hillside	8,555	544,286
Irvington	26,912	838,244
Maplewood	9,574	15,756
Millburn	9,864 ⁴	-
Newark	17,427	· -
Roselle Park	4,752	717
South Orange	8,084	-
Summit	14,261 ²	52,252 ³
Union	25,047	357,398
West Orange	20,138	-
Elizabeth	47,181 199,010	1,681,797 \$ 3,490,450

⁽¹⁾ Based on actual calculation and verification by Municipalities; City of Elizabeth computed by Elson T. Killam Associates in 1979 and updated by City Engineer on February 9, 1982.

⁽²⁾ Includes New Providence (3682)

⁽³⁾ Includes Murray Hill

⁽⁴⁾ Includes Livingston (402)

Table III

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Computation of Estimated Percent

Contribution By City of Elizabeth

For Period 11/1/2014-10/31/2015 and Projected Contribution for 2016

	Treatment Plant	Elizabeth P	umpii	ng Station		Joint I	Vleeti	ng
Flow:	19,448.779 MG	5,344.923	MG	and the second s		14,103.856	MC)
		Industrial		D&C		Industrial		D&C
		167.191		5,177.732		324.588		13,779.268
		\$ 85,096.21	\$	2,635,341.52	\$	189,624.79	\$	8,049,868.95
Unit Charge					٠			
Unit Charge	\$ 584.2014941 Per MG	or Joint Meeting			1			
BOD:	16,472.1582 Tons	4,858.5615	Ton	s		11,613.5967	Tor	18
		Industrial		D&C		Industrial		D&C
		1,699.1159		3,159.4456		1,799.8462		9,813.7505
		\$ 1,397,382.82	\$	2,598,383.66	\$	1,480,225.19		
Unit Charge	\$822.4175982 Per Ton							
TSS:	14,278.8071 Tons	2,907.0950	Ton	S		11,371.7121	Ton	ıs
		Industrial		D&C		Industrial		D&C
		280.2049		2,626.8901		285.3763		11,086.3358
		\$ 136,288.84	\$	1,277,692.86	\$	138,804.16		
Unit Charge	\$486.3899183 Per Ton							
			\$	8,130,185.91	\$	1,808,654.14		
	City of Elizabeth (Contributi	on from Dumning St	ation)	1	\$	9 120 195 01		-
	City of Elizabeth (Contributi	on nom rumping S	anon)	Φ	8,130,185.91		
	City of Elizabeth (Industry T	ributary to Joint Me	eting '	Trunk)				
		MG/Year at	\$	508.9760391		9,910.27		
		Tons/Year at		822.4175982		43,403.99		
	TSS: 19.9737	Tons/Year at		486.3899183		9,715.01		
	Joint Meeting Industrial					1,808,654.14		
	Subtotal				\$	10,001,869.32		
	Total 2016 Budget		\$	31,451,990.00				
	Subtotal (above)			(10,001,869.32)				
	Subtotal (EDU's)		to the same of the	21,450,120.68				

Joint Meeting EDU's (X + Y) + Elmora EDU's (X)=21,450,121 (Y = Additional Assessment to Member Municipalities for Trunk Sewer O&M)

151,829 (X + \$6.827099698) + 3905 (X) =21,450,121

151,829 Equivalent Units-Member Municipalities

Elmora EDU's = 3,905 \$131.0797190080 Per Unit = X

Per Unit = X+Y\$137.9068187060

20,938,254.38 Joint Meeting EDU Assessment

Elmora Area Assessment \$ 511,866.30

Total Anticipated Payment from the City of Elizabeth

Pumping Station \$ 8,130,185.91

Elmora EDU's 511,866.30

Elmora Industrial 63,029.27

8,705,081.48

Total Anticipated Payment from Member Municipalities

EDU's 20,938,254.38

Industrial 1,808,654.14

\$ 22,746,908.52

Estimated Percent Contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facility Budgets by City of Elizabeth

\$ 30,391,021.00 = \$ 8,705,081.48 28.6435967%

Table IV
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Percent Allocation of Infiltration / Inflow

			Base Year - 1982	r - 1982			Base Year - 1982	1982		
	Capacity By	Capacity By Capacity By	Phase IIB - SSES 1	- SSES 1			Phase IIB - SSES 2	SES 2		
	Contract	Contract	Infiltration	ation	SSES - November 2015	tber 2015	Inflow		SSES - November 2015	r 2015
Municipality	(MGD)	(Percent)	(GPD) ³	(Percent)	(GPD) ⁴	(Percent)	(GPD)	(Percent)	(GPD) ⁵	(Percent)
					32,237				246,222	
East Orange	5.50	4.88%	70,747	2.11%	44,022	2.19%	3,007,440	5.79%	218,160	0.53%
					24,141				1,342,608	
Hillside	12.20	10.83%	79,012	2.36%	32,980	1.64%	1,185,120	2.28%	1,185,120	2.89%
					583,501				8,631,715	
Irvington	18.61	16.52%	1,115,672	33.31%	797,026	39.64%	8,612,640	16.57%	7,610,400	18.58%
			•		141,459				2,332,142	
Maplewood	7.08	6.29%	389,078	11.62%	193,273	9.61%	5,449,680	10.48%	2,056,320	5.02%
					111,430				2,703,799	
Millburn	00.9	5.33%	191,609	5.72%	152,240	7.57%	2,729,520	5.25%	2,384,640	5.82%
					134,982				2,211,354	
Newark	15.50	13.76%	234,484	7.00%	184,463	9.17%	1,959,540	3.77%	1,951,200	4.76%
					47,693				1,788,595	
Roselle Park	9.44	8.38%	106,187	3.17%	65,147	3.24%	1,576,080	3.03%	1,576,080	3.85%
					61,382				2,146,314	
South Orange	7.00	6.22%	410,876	12.27%	83,906	4.17%	2,183,760	4.20%	1,890,720	4.62%
					47,546				1,821,115	
Summit	7.50	%99'9	171,657	5.13%	64,916	3.23%	3,651,120	7.02%	1,604,880	3.92%
					174,285				15,586,331	
Union	10.30	9.14%	329,127	9.83%	238,013	11.84%	14,534,640	27.96%	13,746,880	33.55%
					113,344				7,646,827	
West Orange	13.50	11.99%	250,811	7.48%	154,847	7.70%	7,097,040	13.65%	6,744,600	16.46%
					1,472,000				46,457,022	
	112.63	100.00%	3,349,260	100.00%	2,010,833	100.00%	51,986,580	100.00%	40,969,000	100.00%

(1) Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-2) with trunk sewer infiltration allocated based on contract capacity.

⁽²⁾ Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-3)

^{(3) &}quot;Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 6.346%

^{(4) 2015 -} Infiltration related to base year total = 2.011 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 3.80943360%

⁻ Adjusted for period 11/1/2014 - 10/31/2015; (3.80943360% x 14,103.8560 = 537.27 MG / Year / 365 = 1,472,000 GPD)

^{(5) 2015} inflow adjusted for period 11/1/2014 - 10/31/2015 - (37.67" / 33.22") x (40,969,000) = 46,457,022 GPD

Table IV - A
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Adjusted 2015 - Summary of Infiltration and Inflow

	Phase IIB SSES	2014	2015 Infiltration	2015	Phase IIB SSES	2014	2015 Inflow	2015
Municipality	Infiltration (GPD)	Infiltration (GPD)	Removed (GPD)	Infiltration (GPD)	Inflow (GPD)	Inflow (GPD)	Removed (GPD)	Inflow (GPD)
East Orange	70,747	44,022	1	44,022	3,007,440	218,160	I	218,160
Hillside	79,012	32,980	1	32,980	1,185,120	1,185,120	ī	1,185,120
Irvington	1,115,672	797,026	ı	797,026	8,612,640	7,610,400	ì	7,610,400
Maplewood	389,078	193,273	ı	193,273	5,449,680	2,062,080	5,760	2,056,320
Millburn	191,609	152,240	•	152,240	2,729,520	2,384,640	ı	2,384,640
Newark	234,484	184,463	ı	184,463	1,959,540	1,951,200	ı	1,951,200
Roselle Park	106,187	65,147	1	65,147	1,576,080	1,576,080	1,	1,576,080
South Orange	410,876	83,906	ı	83,906	2,183,760	1,916,640	25,920	1,890,720
Summit	171,657	64,916	1	64,916	3,651,120	1,764,720	159,840	1,604,880
Union	329,127	238,013	1	238,013	14,534,640	13,935,520	188,640	13,746,880
West Orange Total	3,349,260	2,010,833	1 1	2,010,833	7,097,040	6,744,600	380,160	6,744,600

Table V

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Cost Allocation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow

		Cost Allocation - FI	Cost Allocation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow	Inflow	
		<u>Total</u>	Domestic & Commercial		Industrial
Flow		14,103.8560 MG	13,779.2680 MG	MG	324.5880 MG
		\$7,664,596.09	\$7,474,971.30		
					\$189,624.79
Infiltration	3.8094336%	537.27	524.91	\$284,752.95	12.36
Inflow	11.0150019%	1,553.54	1,517.79	\$823,370.06	35.75
BOD at	\$822.4175982 Per Ton	11,613.5967 Tons	s 9,813.7505	Tons	1,799.8462 Tons
		\$9,551,226.30	\$8,071,001.12	97	\$1,480,225.19
TSS at	\$486.3899183 Per Ton	11,371.7121 Tons	11,086.3358 Tons	Tons	285.3763 Tons
		\$5,531,086.12	\$5,392,281.96		\$138,804.16
Total		\$22,746,908.51	\$20,938,254.38	υ,	\$1,808,654.14
(1) Amount anti	$\left(1 ight)$ Amount anticipated from Members after alloc	allocation of	28.6435967% to City of Elizabeth	\$ 8,705,081.48	
"Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 M	"Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD =	6.34590% (Per	6.34590% (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)		
Inflow: 113	113 MGD (Excluding Elizabeth) / 137 MGD (Total) =	37 MGD (Total) =	82.4817518%	82.4817518% 1 inch of rain = 50 mg of inflow (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)	ts Phase IIB Report)
"2014 - 2015" Infiltration: 2.0	"2014 - 2015" Infiltration: 2.011 MGD/52.79MGD =	3.80943360%	X 14,103.8560 537 (November 1, 2014 - October 31, 2015)	537.28 MG / Year October 31, 2015)	
Inflow: Rai	Rainfall = 37.67 Inches X 50 MG X 82.482%	. 82.482%	1,553.54 MG/Year		

\$20,938,254.38 (284,752.95) (823,370.06) \$19,830,131.37

Net after infiltration and inflow Equivalent Dwelling Units User Charge Per Unit

Less: Infiltration Less: Inflow

Total D&C

151,829 \$130.60832495768

Table VI
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2016

			200	2016 Domestic & Commercial	narcial			
	Dwalling	User Charge) Held	Infiltration		Inflorm		
Municipality	Units		Percent 1	Amount	Percent 2	Amount	Total Cost	Unit Cost
East Orange	7,215	\$942,339.06	2.19%	\$6,236.09	0.53%	\$4,363.86	\$952,939.01	\$132.0775
Hillside	8,555	1,117,354.22	1.64%	4,669.95	2.89%	23,795.39	1,145,819.56	133.9357
Irvington	26,912	3,514,931.24	39.64%	112,876.07	18.58%	152,982.16	3,780,789.47	140.4871
Maplewood	9,574	1,250,444.10	9.61%	27,364.76	5.02%	41,333.18	1,319,142.04	137.7838
Millburn	9,864 3	1,288,320.52	7.57%	21,555.80	5.82%	47,920.14	1,357,796.46	137.6517
Newark	17,427	2,276,111.28	9,17%	26,111.85	4.76%	39,192.41	2,341,415.54	134.3556
Roselle Park	4,752	620,650.76	3.24%	9,226.00	3.85%	31,699.75	661,576.51	139.2206
South Orange	8,084	1,055,837.70	4.17%	11,874.20	4.62%	38,039.70	1,105,751.60	136.7827
Summit	14,261 4	1,862,605.32	3.23%	9,197.52	3.92%	32,276.11	1,904,078.95	133.5165
Union	25,047	3,271,346.72	11.84%	33,714.75	33.55%	276,240.66	3,581,302.13	142.9833
West Orange	20,138 151,829	2,630,190.45	7.70%	21,925.98 \$284,752.97	16.46% 100.00%	135,526.71 \$823,370.07	\$20,938,254.41	\$137.9068 \$137.9068
	 See Table See Table Includes I Includes I 	 See Table IV - Infiltration for November of the Prior Year See Table IV - Inflow for November of the Prior Year Includes Livingston (402) Includes New Providence (3682) 	November of the Piember of the Piemb	he Prior Year rior Year				\$122.1410 2014 \$125.0975 2013 \$103.1856 2012

Table VI (Continued)
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2015

				Ind	Industrial					
						Flow (A	Flow (MG / Year)			
Municipality	BOD (Tons / Voor)	BOD 1	TSS (Tone / Voor)	TSS ²	Dry	Infiltration	Inflow 11 015007%	Total	Flow 3	Total
rammerpanns	(Toms/Teal)	(a) I cal)	(1008/1641)	(3/1 cal)	v calliel	3.00743470	11.01300270	ı Otalı	(9/1 car)	COST
East Orange	0.0000	\$0.00	0.0000	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hillside	557.2508	458,292.86	83.6280	40,675.82	66.07	2.96	8.54	77.57	45,317.09	544,285.77
Irvington	942.9810	775,524.17	29.2615	14,232.50	70.69	3.16	9.14	83.00	48,487.56	838,244.23
Maplewood	10.6220	8,735.72	4.7359	2,303.49	6.88	0.31	0.89	8.07	4,716.84	15,756.05
Millburn	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00
Newark	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00
Roselle Park	0.1473	121.14	0.2612	127.05	0.68	0.03	0.09	0.80	469.11	717.30
South Orange	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Surmnit ⁴	22.9509	18,875.22	31.4179	15,281.35	26.38	1.18	3.41	30.98	18,096.23	52,252.80
Union	265.8942	218,676.07	136.0718	66,183.95	105.76	4.73	13.68	124.17	72,537.96	357,397.98
West Orange	0.0000	0.0000 1,799.8462 \$1,480,225.18	0.0000	0.00 \$138,804.16	0.00	0.00	35.75	324.59	\$189,624.79	\$1,808,654.13

⁽¹⁾ At \$822.4175982/Ton (2) At \$486.3899183/Ton (3) At \$584.2014941/MG (4) Includes Murray Hill

Table VII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Summary of Estimated Annual Operational and Maintenance Expenses To Member Municipalities
2016

		Domestic & Co	mmercial		
Municipality	Total Assessments ¹	User Charge Domestic Sewage 130.608325	User Charges Industrial	Infiltration / Inflow Charges	Assessment Percentage
East Orange	\$952,939.01	\$942,339.06	\$0.00	\$10,599.95	4.1893%
Hillside	1,690,105.33	1,117,354.22	544,285.77	28,465.34	7.4300%
Irvington	4,619,033.70	3,514,931.24	838,244.23	265,858.23	20.3062%
Maplewood	1,334,898.09	1,250,444.10	15,756.05	68,697.94	5.8685%
Millburn	1,357,796.46	1,288,320.52	0.00	69,475.94	5.9691%
Newark	2,341,415.54	2,276,111.28	0.00	65,304.26	10.2933%
Roselle Park	662,293.81	620,650.76	717.30	40,925.75	2.9116%
South Orange	1,105,751.60	1,055,837.70	0.00	49,913.90	4.8611%
Summit	1,956,331.75	1,862,605.32	52,252.80	41,473.63	8.6004%
Union	3,938,700.11	3,271,346.72	357,397.98	309,955.41	17.3153%
West Orange	2,787,643.14 \$22,746,908.54	2,630,190.45 \$19,830,131.37	0.00 \$1,808,654.13	157,452.69 \$1,108,123.04	12.2552% 100.0000%
Elizabeth	8,705,081.46				
Total	\$31,451,990.00				

⁽¹⁾ Reflects anticipated payment of \$8,705,081.46 from the City of Elizabeth which is 28.6435967% of allocation from Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying budget. Exact 2016 percent contribution to be calculated at the end of fiscal year. Quarterly adjustments to be made in accordance with Agreement between Elizabeth and Joint Meeting.

Table VIII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Comparison of 2016 Assessment with 2015 Assessment

				Comp	arison
Municipality	2015	2016		Amount	Percentage
East Orange	\$896,621.93	\$952,939.01	(+)	\$56,317.08	6.28%
Hillside	1,478,969.85	1,690,105.33	(+)	211,135.48	14.28%
Irvington	4,416,262.49	4,619,033.70	(+)	202,771.21	4.59%
Maplewood	1,262,849.47	1,334,898.09	(+)	72,048.62	5.71%
Millburn	1,287,738.08	1,357,796.46	(+)	70,058.38	5.44%
Newark	2,207,472.76	2,341,415.54	(+)	133,942.78	6.07%
Roselle Park	627,098.23	662,293.81	(+)	35,195.58	5.61%
South Orange	1,033,197.47	1,105,751.60	(+)	72,554.13	7.02%
Summit	1,882,032.15	1,956,331.75	(+)	74,299.60	3.95%
Union	3,784,588.93	3,938,700.11	(+)	154,111.18	4.07%
West Orange	2,634,131.07	2,787,643.14	(+)	153,512.07	5.83%
-	\$21,510,962.43	\$22,746,908.54	(+)	\$1,235,946.11	5.75%
Elizabeth	9,051,142.58	8,705,081.46	(-)	\$ (346,061.12)	-3.82%
Total	\$30,562,105.01	\$31,451,990.00	(+)	\$889,884.99	2.91%

Note: City of Elizabeth percentage contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying Budget:

City of Elizabeth percentage

2012	38.46747020%
2013	35.50582060%
2014	34.17652380%
2015	30.67912420%

Table III, IV, V, VI, and VII, have been prepared to reflect the Domestic Sewer Usage and Industrial User assessment to each municipality for 2016 as a result of the Agreement with the City of Elizabeth which requires 28.6435967% of the 2016 Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Budget to be paid in Quarterly assessments with adjustments made following the determination of the actual percentage of contribution for each quarter. The 28.6435967 figure is an estimate based upon actual monitoring of quality and quantity at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, etc., in accordance with the Agreement. The actual percentage of contribution will be determined following the completion of the annual audit of expenses. In addition, these tables reflect the costs associated with Infiltration / Inflow for the member municipalities, the percentages of which were determined from the Phase IIB SSES Reports, adjusted as a result of rehabilitation work, and updated in 2015.

Summary and Certification

On the basis of the measured usage of Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and the Treatment Plant, including the Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facilities, and an estimate of Dwelling Units and Waste Characteristics for the municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, and in accordance with the provisions of the 1926 contract, I hereby certify that the estimated maintenance and operating costs of the Joint Meeting for the 2016 are apportioned as follows:

	2016	2015		2016	2015
East Orange	4.1893%	4.1682%	Roselle Park	2.9116%	2.9152%
Hillside	7.4300%	6.8754%	South Orange	4.8611%	4.8031%
Irvington	20.3062%	20.5303%	Summit	8.6004%	8.7492%
Maplewood	5.8685%	5.8707%	Union	17.3153%	17.5938%
Millburn	5.9691%	5.9864%	West Orange	12.2552%	12.2456%
Newark	10.2933%	10.2621%	Total	100.0000%	100.0000%

Respectfully submitted,

A. Ralph LaMendola. P.E., BCEE

a Rel L mille

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A 2016 Budget

	T	reatment Plant	ewatering Facility	Drying Facility	Sewer	-	Total
Electric Service	\$	946,887	\$ 110,548	\$ 8,064	\$ -	\$	1,065,499
Gas Service		350,200	85,000	-	-		435,200
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene		301,000	90,500	-	-		391,500
Water Service		95,000	40,000	3,500	-		138,500
Chemicals		2,225,363	593,900	6,800	2,200		2,828,263
Sludge Disposal		-	2,992,116	-	-		2,992,116
Insurance		566,490	113,299	52,872	22,659		755,320
Administration		2,143,488	1,205,680	10,000	234,318		3,593,486
Labor		5,371,198	1,062,427	134,258	16,214		6,584,097
Benefits		4,837,854	758,030	96,570	56,628		5,749,082
Equipment		400,000	168,500	-	-		568,500
Printing & Stationery		21,000	2,000	-	1,000		24,000
Maintenance, Supplies & Spare Parts		1,472,000	711,750	5,000	573,250		2,762,000
Screening Disposal		160,000	-	-	-		160,000
Reserve Contingency		125,000	-	-	-		125,000
Miscellaneous Expenses		375,727	87,500	-	120,000		583,227
Technical & Professional Services		802,500	50,000	-	25,000		877,500
Replacement Fund		1,250,000	-	-	-		1,250,000
NJPDES & Miscellaneous Permit Fee		547,000	12,000	-	9,700		568,700
	\$	21,990,707	\$ 8,083,250	\$ 317,064	\$ 1,060,969	\$	31,451,990
		69.92%	25.70%	1.01%	3.37%		100.00%

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

Appendix A (Continued) Operations and Management Cost Allocations (Treatment Plant)

	Estimated Total Cost	Percent Flow		Cost Flow	I	Percent SS		Cost SS	Percent BOD	(Cost BOD
General Expenditures 1	\$ 3,934,530	80%	\$	3,147,624	-	10%	\$	393,453	10%	\$	393,453
Power	946,887	40%	\$	378,755		5%	\$	47,344	55%	\$	520,788
Chlorine	1,005,000	40%	\$	402,000					60%	\$	603,000
Maintenance, Equipment,	,										
Supplies, Spare Parts, & Replacement Fund	3,247,000	28%	\$	909,160		27%	\$	876,690	45%	\$	1,461,150
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	301,000	40%	\$	120,400		30%	\$	90,300	30%	\$	90,300
Administration, Labor & Benefits	12,352,540	40%		4,941,016		10%	\$	1,235,254	50%	\$	6,176,270
(Payroll Retirement)	12,332,340	4076		4,941,010		1076	Ф	1,233,234	3070	Φ	0,170,270
Sludge Processing 2 Total	203,750 \$21,990,707		_	9,898,955		50%	\$	101,875 2,744,916	50%	\$	101,875 9,346,836
1 otai	100%			5.0142644%				2.4821635%			.5035721%
(1) General Expenditures											
(From the Approved Bud			(2)	Sludge Proce	essing	5					
Insurance Technical & Professional Stationery & Printing Gas Water Miscellaneous	Services	\$ 566,490 802,500 21,000 350,200 95,000 375,727		lymer MnO4	\$	170,000 33,750					
Service Contracts Permit Fees Sodium Bisulfite & Misc	. Chemicals	160,000 547,000 1,016,613 \$3,934,530			\$	203,750					

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

Operations and Management Allocations

	Operations and 10m	magoment 1 mot	ALIGNE	
	Estimated			
	Total Cost	Cost Flow	Cost TSS	Cost BOD
Treatment Plant	\$ 21,990,707	\$ 9,898,955	\$ 2,744,916	\$ 9,346,836
Dewatering Facility	8,083,250		4,041,625	4,041,625
Drying Facility	317,064		158,532	158,532
	\$ 30,391,021	\$ 9,898,955	\$ 6,945,073	\$13,546,993
Subtotal	100.00%	32.5719725%	22.8523846%	44.5756429%
Sewers 1	\$ 1,060,969			
Total	\$ 31,451,990			

⁽¹⁾ Joint Meeting Members Only

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016 SUMMARY

MUNICIPALITY HILLSIDE IRVINGTON MAPLEWOOD MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT UNION	FLOW (MG) 77.571 82.998 8.074 16.700 0.803 14.276 124.166	LOADINGS BOD (tons) 557.25080262 942.98101095 10.62198663 1.23815640 0.14733444 21.71268960 265.89418281	TSS (tons) 83.62796973 29.26148631 4.73591070 2.83348164 0.26118378 28.58441175 136.07178708	
MEMBERS TOTAL	324.588	1799.84616345	285.37623099	
ELIZABETH	186.662	1751.89201830	300.17868015	
GRAND TOTAL	511.250	3551.73818175	585.55491114	
MUNICIPALITY HILLSIDE	FLOW \$45,317.09	COSTS BOD \$458,292.87	TSS \$40,675.80	TOTAL \$544,285.76
IRVINGTON	\$48,487.56	\$775,524.18	\$14,232.49	\$838,244.23
MAPLEWOOD ·	\$4,716.84	\$8,735.71	\$2,303.50	\$15,756.05
MURRAY HILL	\$9,756.16	\$1,018.28	\$1,378.18	\$12,152.62
ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT	\$469.11	\$121.17	\$127.04	\$717.32
UNION	\$8,340.06 \$72,537.96	\$17,856.90 \$218,676.06	\$13,903.17 \$66,183.95	\$40,100.13 \$357,397.96
- Control of the cont	Ψ12,001.00	Ψ210,070.00	ΨΟΟ, 103.93	Ψ337,397.90
MEMBERS TOTAL	\$189,624.79	\$1,480,225.16	\$138,804.12	\$1,808,654.08
ELIZABETH	\$95,006.49	\$1,440,786.83	\$146,003.88	\$1,681,797.20
GRAND TOTAL	\$284,631.28	\$2,921,011.98	\$284,808.01	\$3,490,451.27
	, ,	Ψ=10= 110 1 1.00 ·		,40,100,101.21

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016 COST FACTORS

	FLOW	BOD	TSS
MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES	\$584.2014941	\$822.4175982	\$486.3899183
ELIZABETH	\$508.9760391	\$822.4175982	\$486.3899183

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: HILLSIDE

			Concentration		Flow per MG \$584.2014941			
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
1042	GEC Marconi / BAE Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	2	5	7.191 7.191 \$4,200.99	0.0600 0.0600 \$49.32	0.1499 0.1499 \$72.93	\$4,323.24
1050	Manhattan Drug Co. Totals: Cost Analysis:	. 4	468	356	0.587 0.587 \$342.93	1.1456 1.1456 \$942.13	0.8714 0.8714 \$423.85	\$1,708.91
1094	Oasis Foods (AAK Inc.) Totals: Cost Analysis:	3 99	3854 211	1387 180	7.476 0.747 8.223 \$4,803.89	120.1481 0.6573 120.8054 \$99,352.49	43.2396 0.5607 43.8003 \$21,304.03	\$125,460.41
1090	Union Beverages Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 2	1815 434	136 598	53.752 0.755 54.507 \$31,843.07	406.8247 1.3664 408.1911 \$335,703.53	30.4838 1.8827 32.3665 \$15,742.76	\$383,289.36
1091	Hillside Beverage Totals: Cost Analysis:	2 99	1140 211	37 180	3.428 0.078 3.506 \$2,048.21	16.2960 0.0686 16.3647 \$13,458.58	0.5289 0.0585 0.5875 \$28 5.73	\$15,792.52
1092	A&H Products . Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	773 211	342 180	2.096 0.156 2.252 \$1,315.62	6.7563 0.1373 6.8935 \$ 5,669.36	2.9892 0.1171 3.1063 \$1,510.86	\$8,495.84
1093	Sam Hak Foods	1 99	748 211	539 180	1.180 0.125 1.305 \$7 62.38	3.6806 0.1100 3.7906 \$3,117.45	2.6522 0.0938 2.7460 \$1,335.64	\$5,215.47
	HILLSIDE TOTALS				77.5710 \$45,317.09	557.2508 \$458,292.87	83.6280 \$40,675.80	\$544,285.76

^{*}Quest Industries has vacated the Hillside location as of May 2015.

^{**}Sam Hak Foods NEW Industrial User.

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: IRVINGTON

			Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton Concentration \$584,2014941 \$822,4175982 \$486,3899183							
IU#	Cost Factors	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT		
2066	Wayne County Foods Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	2475 211	109 180	0.166 0.131 0.297 \$173.51	1.7132 0.1153 1.8285 \$1,503.80	0.0755 0.0983 0.1738 \$84.53	\$1,761.83		
2072	Clean-Tex Services Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	223 211	74 180	44.224 0.183 44.407 \$25,942.64	41.1243 0.1610 41.2854 \$33,953.80	13.6466 0.1374 13.7840 \$6,704.40	\$66,600.84		
2076	International Vitamin Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	840	96	2.084 2.084 \$1,217.48	7.2998 7.2998 \$6,003.51	0.8343 0.8343 \$405.78	\$7,626.77		
2078	City Barrel Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	96 211	28 180	0.308 0.060 0.368 \$214.99	0.1233 0.0528 0.1761 \$144.82	0.0360 0.0450 0.0810 \$39.40	\$399.20		
3055	Maplewood Beverage Totals: Cost Analysis:	2 99	6058 211	95 180	35.307 0.535 35.842 \$20,938.95	891.9205 0.4707 892.3912 \$ 733 ,9 18.25	13.9869 0.4016 14.3884 \$6,998.39	\$761,855.59		
	IRVINGTON TOTALS				82.9980 \$48,487.56	942.9810 \$775,524.18	29.2615 \$14,232.49	\$838,244.23		

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: MAPLEWOOD

					Flow per MG	Cost Factors low per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton			
			Concent	ation	\$584.2014941				
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l) _.	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT	
3020	Gleason Cleaners	1	212	50	0.624	0.5516	0.1301		
		99	211	190	0.114	0.1003	0.0903		
	Totals:				0.738	0.6519	0.2204		
	Cost Analysis:				\$431.14	\$536.17	\$107.21	\$1,074.53	
3033	NJ Transit - Hilton Gar.	3a	380	455	1.758	2.7857	3,3355		
		4	381	56	4.349	6,9096	1.0156		
	Totals:	·			6.107	9,6953	4.3511		
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,567.72	\$7,973.58	\$2,116.34	\$13,657.63	
3046	UniClean	1	28	8	1.057	0.1234	0.0353		
50,0	3.110.00.11	99	211	180	0.172	0.1513	0.1291		
	Totals:				1.229	0.2748	0.1644		
	Cost Analysis:				\$717.98	\$225.96	\$79.95	\$1,023.89	
M	APLEWOOD TOTALS				8.0740	10.6220	4.7359		
					\$4,716.84	\$8,735.71	\$2,303.50	\$15,756.05	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: MURRAY HILL

			Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton						
			Concentration		\$584,2014941 \$822,4175982 \$486,3899183				
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/i)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT	
5010	Alcatel-Lucentd USA	1	17	41	15,398	1.0916	2,6326		
	Totals:				15.398	1.0916	2.6326		
	Cost Analysis:				\$8,995.53	\$897.72	\$1,280.47	\$11,173.72	
5021	FRC-Electrical Ind	1	27	37	1.302	0.1466	0.2009		
	Totals:				1.302	0.1466	0.2009		
	Cost Analysis:				\$760.63	\$120.56	\$97.71	\$978.90	
N.0	HIDDAYINI TOTAL C				40.7000	4 0000	0.0005		
įVi	IURRAY HILL TOTALS				16.7000 \$9,756.16	1.2382 \$1,018.28	2.8335 \$1,378.18	\$12,152.62	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: ROSELLE PARK

			Concentration		Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton \$584.2014941 \$822.4175982 \$486.3899183				
IU #	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT	
6005	Hexacon Electric Tota Cost Analys		44	78	0.803 0.803 \$469.11	0.1473 0.1473 \$121.17	0.2612 0.2612 \$127.04	\$717.32	
RO	SELLE PARK TOTALS				0.8030 \$469.11	0.1473 \$121.17	0.2612 \$127.04	\$717.32	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: SUMMIT

			Concentration		Flow per MG \$584.2014941			
IU #	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
5511	Novartis Groundwater Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	1	3	0.893 0.893 \$521.69	0.0037 0.0037 \$3.06	0.0112 0.0112 \$5.43	\$530.19
5512	Summit Property Co. (MSD Corp)							
5513	Celgene Corporation Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	389	512	13.383 13.383 \$7,818.37	21.7090 21.7090 \$17,853.84	28.5732 28.5732 \$13,897.74	\$39,569.94
	SUMMIT TOTALS				14.2760 \$8,340.06	21.7127 \$17,856.90	28.5844 \$13,903.17	\$40,100.13

^{*}Summit Property Co. - Closed after sale of property in October 2015. (MSD Corp) $\,$

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: UNION

			Conce	entration	Flow per MG \$584.2014941	Cost Factors BOD per Ton \$822.4175982	TSS per Ton \$486.3899183	
IU#	INDUSTRY	CIT	BOD (ma/l)	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
10 #	INDUSTRY	SIT	E (mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
7015	ACuPowder Cost	3 (99 Totals: Analysis:	9) 211 4 134	180 207	0.016 0.486 0.502 \$293.27	0.0141 0.2716 0.2856 \$234.92	0.0120 0.4195 0.4315 \$209.89	\$738.08
7035	American Products Cost	Totals: Analysis:	1 272	337	0.703 0.703 \$410.69	0.7974 0.7974 \$655.77	0.9879 0.9879 \$480.51	\$1,546.98
7070	Durex Cost	Totals: Analysis:	1 123	272	3.149 3.149 \$1,839.6 5	1.6152 1.6152 \$1,328.33	3.5717 3.5717 \$1,737.25	\$4,905.23
7077	Evoqua Water Tech Cost	Totals: Analysis:	4 85	126	2.280 2.280 \$1,331.98	0.8081 0.8081 \$664.63	1.1980 1.1980 \$582.67	\$2,579.29
7080	Foremost Mfg Cost	Totals: Analysis:	2 23	254	18.039 18.039 \$10,538.41	1.7301 1.7301 \$1,422.88	19.1065 19.1065 \$9,293.23	\$21,254.52
7092	International Paint Cost	Totals: Analysis:	2 16	24	7.400 7.400 \$4,323.09	0.4937 0.4937 \$406.05	0.7406 0.7406 \$360.22	\$5,089.36
7145	Merck Sharp & Dohme (Schering) Cost	e Corp. Analysis:	5 5	11	25.425 25.425 \$14,853.32	0.5301 0.5301 \$435.97	1.1662 1.1662 \$567.25	\$15,856.55
7155	Tessler & Weiss Cost	2 Totals: Analysis:	2 157	215	1.158 1.158 \$6 76.51	0.7581 0.7581 \$623.50	1.0382 1.0382 \$504.97	\$1,804.98
7167	Turbo Braze							
7191	Cintas (Location 111)	Totals: Analysis:	1 246	163	30.056 30.056 \$17,558.76	30.8320 30.8320 \$25,356.82	20.4294 20.4294 \$9,936.64	\$52,852.21
7192	Merril Corporation Cost	Totals: Analysis:	1 407	392	0.932 0.932 \$ 544.48	1.5818 1.5818 \$1,300.88	1.5235 1.5235 \$741.01	\$2,586.37
7193	Lioni Latticini Cost A	99 Totals: Analysis:	1 2014 9 211	434 180	8.284 0.312 8.596 \$5,021.80	69.5722 0.2745 69.8467 \$57,443.15	14.9922 0.2342 15.2264 \$7,405.97	\$69,870.92

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: UNION

			Concent	ration	Flow per MG \$584.2014941			
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
10 "	INDOSTITI	SIIL	(1119/1)	(mg/i)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
7194	BASF Catalysts	1	29	317	0.106	0.0128	0.1401	
		99	211	180	0.841	0.7400	0.6313	
	To			0.947	0.7528	0.7714		
	Cost Ana	Cost Analysis:			\$553.24	\$619.09	\$375.18	\$1,547.51
7195	Deep Foods	1	2167	1044	12.480	112,7741	. 54.3314	
	·	3	1013	141	7.242	30.5917	4.2581	
		4	1297	485	1.364	7.3772	2.7586	
		5(99)	211	180	0.794	0.6986	0.5960	
		6(99)	211	180	0.069	0.0607	0.0518	
		2(99)	211	180	0.309	0.2719	0.2319	
	To	otals:			22.258	151.7743	62,2278	
	Cost Ana	lysis:			\$13,003.16	\$124,821.83	\$30,266.99	\$168,091.97
7916	Cintas Corporation	1	365	690	2.638	4.0152	7.5903	
		99	211	180	0.083	0.0730	0.0623	
	To	otals:	,	,,,,	2.721	4.0882	7.6526	
		Cost Analysis:			\$1,589.61	\$3,362.21	\$3,722.16	\$8,673.97
	UNION TOTALS				124,1660	005 0045	400.0745	
	S. TOTALS					265.8942	136,0718	A057 007 C :
					\$72,537.96	\$218,676.06	\$66,183.95	\$357,397.94

^{*}Turbo Braze has ceased operations at the Union location as of October 2015.

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: ELIZABETH

			Concenti	ration	Flow per MG \$508,9760391			
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
0192	Wild Flavors Inc. Totals:	1	1516	156	0.110 0.110	0.6954	0.0716	
	Cost Analysis:				\$55.99	0.6954 \$571.90	0.0716 \$34.80	\$662.69
0037	Deb-El Foods Totals:	1	2772	630	9.237	106.7727	24.2665	
	Cost Analysis:				9.237 \$4,701.41	106.7727 \$87,811.75	24.2665 \$11,802.99	\$104,316.15
0062	Garcia Laundry	2	814	330	2.853	9.6842	3.9260	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:	99	211	180	0.113 2.966	0.0994 9.7836	0.0848 4.0108	.
	Cost Analysis.				\$1,509.62	\$8,046.20	\$1,950.83	\$11,506.65
0067	Actavis (formerly Purepac)	3	650	246	19.471	52.7761	19.9737	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:			2,0	19.471 \$9,910.27	52.7761 \$43,404.03	19.9737	£C2 020 22
	Coot / marysis.				ψ 3,310.21	\$43,404.03	\$9,715.03	\$63,029.33
0070	LORCO Totals:	2	6215	69	14.701 14.701	380,9992	4.2299	
	Cost Analysis:				\$7,482.46	380.9992 \$313,340.45	4.2299 \$2,057.39	\$322,880.29
	Mastercraft							
0075	Electroplating Totals:	99	211	180	0.026 0.026	0.0229 0.0229	0.0195 0.0195	
	Cost Analysis:				\$13.23	\$18.81	\$9.49	\$41.54
0078	Magnolia Beef	1 .	555	217	0.126	0.2916	0.1140	
	Totals:	99	211	180	0.286 0.412	0.2516 0.5433	0.2147 0.3287	
	Cost Analysis:		•		\$209.70	\$446.78	\$159.87	\$816.35
0093	OENJ Totals:	4	9	28	10.296	0.3864	1.2022	
	Cost Analysis:				10.296 \$5,240.42	0.3864 \$317.79	1.2022 \$584.72	\$6,142.93
0098	Olympia Trails	1	1199	161	2.046	10.2297	1.3736	
	Totals:	99	211	180	0.515 2.561	0.4531 10.6828	0.3866 1.7602	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,303.49	\$8,785.71	\$856.13	\$10,945.33
0400	Michaels Foods - North		0004	22,				
0100	Avenue Facility	4 4a	3384 3533	621 321	38.992 7.272	550.2270 107.1355	100.9725 9.7341	
		4b 99	2054 211	238 180	5.951 1.190	50.9714	5.9061	
	Totals:	JJ	411	160	53.405	1.0470 709.3810	0.8932 117.5059	
	Cost Analysis:				\$27,181.87	\$583,407.42	\$57,153.70	\$667,742.99

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2016

Municipality: ELIZABETH

			Concent	tration	Flow per MG \$508.9760391	Cost Factors BOD per Ton \$822.4175982	TSS per Ton \$486.3899183	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
	Michaels Foods -	•			` ,	()	(10110)	· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0105	Papetti Plaza Facility	2	2031	459	44 494	250 0000	70.000	
	·	2a	2710	683	41.431 6.373	350.8903 72.0194	79,3002	
		2b	1279	449	3.269	17.4350	18.1510 6.1206	
		3	355	487	0.619	0.9163	1.2571	
	Totals	:			51.6920	441.2610	104.8289	
	Cost Analysis	:			\$26,309.99	\$362,900.82	\$50,987.71	\$440,198.52
0120	Phelps Dodge	. With the						
	Superior Powder							
0148	Coating	4	299	54	2.245			
0,10	County	1 99	299	51 180	2.645	3.2979	0,5625	
	Totals:		211	160	0.419 3.064	0.3687	0.3145	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,559.50	3,6665 \$3,015.42	0.8770 \$426.57	¢E 004 40
	·				\$1,000.00	Ψ0,013.42	φ420.5 <i>1</i>	\$5,001.49
0405	Duro Hilex Poly LLC							
0195	(Formerly Duro-Bag)	1	367	142	3.651	5.5875	2.1619	
		2 3	110	156	1.737	0.7968	1.1300	
	Totals:	-	269	393	2.615	2.9333	4.2855	
	Cost Analysis:				8.003 \$4,073.34	9.3175	7.5773	045 404 55
					ψ4,013.34	\$7,662.91	\$3,685.54	\$15,421.79
0165	Wakefern Food Corp.	2	91	116	1.596	0.6056	0.7720	
	·	3a	209	465	3.918	3.4147	7.5972	
		4	365	280	0.999	1.5205	1.1664	
		99 (7)	211	180	0.033	0.0290	0.0248	
	Totals:				6.546	5.5699	9.5604	
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,331.76	\$4,580.74	\$4,650.09	\$12,562.59
0178	814 Americas	3	349	147	1.837	2.6734	4 4004	
		99	211	180	0.053	0.0466	1.1261 0.0398	
	Totals:				1.890	2.7201	1.1658	
	Cost Analysis:				\$961.96	\$2,237.04	\$567.05	\$3,766.06
0189	Prince Donut Co.	3	4697	724	0.074	47 4400		
- / - /	· ·///so zonat so.	99	211	724 180	0.874 0.181	17.1186 0.1593	2.6387	
	Totals:		2	100	1.055	17.2778	0.1359 2.7745	
	Cost Analysis:				\$536.97	\$14,209.61	\$1,349.51	\$16,096.08
	•				,	+,=====	¥ 1,070.01	ψ ι υ,υσο,υσ
0194	Erie Street Former (Former MGP Site)	1	7	5	1.227	0.0358	0.0256	
	Totals:				1.227	0.0358	0.0256	
	Cost Analysis:				\$624.51	\$29.46	\$12.44	\$666.41
E	LIZABETH TOTALS				186.6620 \$95,006.49	1,751.8920 \$1,449,786.83	300.1787 \$146,003.88	\$1,681,797.20

Phelps Dodge - Industrial User Permit was NOT renewed due to being a ZERO PROCESS DISCHARGE Facility.