December 19, 2014

To the Joint Meeting

Ladies and Gentlemen:

# ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SEWAGE CONTRIBUTION OF THE MUNICIPALITIES ORGANIZED IN JOINT MEETING (FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE YEAR 2015)

I present herewith the 80<sup>th</sup> Annual Report for the eleven municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, covering their respective contribution to Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and to the Treatment Plant, as called for under the terms of the 1926 Contract.

Article X of the 1926 Contract requires that an estimate be made for the purpose of assessing the costs of maintenance and operation each year.

In order that the amount of work necessary for the preparation of this estimate be completed in time for consideration by the Joint Meeting before the date specified by law for the adoption of the new budget, it has been customary to use the twelve-month period immediately prior thereto as the basis of consideration for the assessment purpose only.

You will please note that this is the first of the two Annual Reports prepared each year, and that it covers the twelve-month period from November 1, 2013 through October 31, 2014. Subsequently, at the beginning of each succeeding year, a second and final report is prepared covering the previously completed calendar year, which coincides with the fiscal year of the Joint Meeting.

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, PL 92-500 and as a requirement of our Construction Grant for the expansion in Secondary Treatment, a "User Charge System" is used to apportion the operation and maintenance costs for the Joint Meeting for 2015. During the year 1978, a User Charge System was prepared and adopted by the member municipalities and is entitled "An Ordinance Establishing and Defining User Charges in Connection with the Collection and Treatment of Wastewater and Providing for the Payment of Said User Charges". During the year 2014, this "User Charge System" was utilized by the various municipalities for collection of the charges associated with the operation and maintenance of the trunk sewer system, the treatment plant, and the Sludge Dewatering Facility, the percentage of assessment based upon a report dated December 20, 2013.

During 2014, the various municipalities computed the actual dwelling units for each of their respective towns in accordance with the revised schedule included in the Sewer Use Ordinance. Table II depicts the new (2015) dwelling unit figures formulated by each municipality in 2014.

It should be noted that the methodology used to assess the City of Elizabeth for services rendered by the Joint Meeting is based on quantity and quality of sewage as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU's) tributary to the gravity Joint Meeting sewer through the Elmora Avenue Area in Elizabeth, plus the tributary area from the City of Linden. This methodology was approved by the Joint Meeting and the Elizabeth City Council. The percentage used in this assessment report for the City will be based upon a twelve (12) month, 365 day period of November 1, 2013, through October 31, 2014 and projected for a twelve (12) month period in 2015. This allocation will be assessed and paid in accordance with the member municipalities billing procedure. Then, based on the analysis of the samples taken at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, an adjustment is made (on a quarterly basis) to the percent allocation from the City. At the end of 2015, utilizing twelve (12) months of data, the actual percent contribution by the City will be calculated and certified thereto.

The accompanying computations include the total Dwelling Unit number of 47,181 for the City. (This Equivalent Dwelling Unit number was computed by Elson T. Killam Associates during the period November 8, 1979 and December 12, 1979 and revised by the City Engineer on February 9, 1982).

# **USER CHARGE APPORTIONMENT**

Set forth below are the basis factors concerning flow, waste characteristics and projected cost of operations of the Facilities derived for the 365 day period from November 1, 2013, through October 31, 2014, and projected for 2015 and are based upon actual 2013-2014 operating statistics as well as a recent upgraded 2014 industrial waste survey. The industrial waste figures take into consideration actual yearly operational time for each industry (i.e., 5 day or 7 day working week, etc.).

1.	Flow	20,365.6450	MG/Year		55.7963	MGD
2.	BOD	15,438.3672	Tons/Year		84,593.7929	Lbs/Day
	TSS	14,013.4078	Tons/Year		76,785.7962	Lbs/Day
3.	Estimated Indu	estrial Flow	516 2230	MG/Year	1.4143	MGD
<i>3</i> . 4.	Estimated Indu		3,523.1780		19,305.0849	
	Estimated Indu		•	Tons/Year	2,921.1994	•
5.				10115/1 Cai	2,321.1337	LUS/Day
6.	Estimated Total	I Operating Ex	expenses in 2015			
					\$22,052,425	STP
					7,136,326	SDWF
					313,850	SDF
				_	1,059,501	Sewers
					\$30,562,102	Total

7. Estimated Total Dwelling Units in System

151,857 JM Members 47,181 Elizabeth 199,038 Total

In addition to the foregoing, it has been determined that the following cost allocations would fairly represent the actual costs of treatment.

Flow	33.9094272%
BOD	44.2362760%
Suspended Solids	21.8542968%

The basis for these cost allocations is set forth in Appendix A.

Based upon the foregoing allocations, Table I has been prepared which shows the projected and estimated flow and waste characteristics for 2015 and the projected estimated cost allocation between domestic sewage treated from residential and commercial establishments, and the industrial waste treatment reflecting industrial flow from industries in the collection system. This system takes into consideration the fact that the City of Elizabeth does not use the trunk sewer and will accordingly not be charged for its use. The trunk sewer charge is assessed only against member municipalities, and their respective industries.

# Table I Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties Estimated Flow Waste Characteristics and Cost Allocation for Treatment in 2015

# **Treatment Plant**

			Domestic &	
	Total for 2015	_	Commercial	Industrial
Flow	20,365.6450	MG/Yr. <sup>1</sup>	19,849.4220 MG/Yr.	516.2230 MG/Yr.
BOD	15,438.3672	Tons/Yr. <sup>1</sup>	11,915.1892 Tons/Yr.	3,523.1780 Tons/Yr.
Suspended Solids	14,013.4078	Tons/Yr.1	13,480.2889 Tons/Yr.	533.1189 Tons/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2014 (365 days)

# **Cost Allocation**

		Domestic &	
	Total for 2015	Commercial	Industrial
Flow	\$10,004,163.00	\$9,750,580.00	\$253,583.00
BOD	13,050,852.00	10,072,527.00	2,978,325.00
Suspended Solids	6,447,586.00	6,202,297.00	245,289.00
	\$29,502,601.00	\$26,025,404.00	\$3,477,197.00
	100.000000%	88.21393070%	11.78606930%

# Elizabeth Contribution

Flow	-	5,236.6460 MG/Yr.
BOD	-	5,306.3886 Tons/Yr.
TSS	_	3,139.3486 Tons/Yr.

# Trunk Sewer Flow. 1

	Domestic &	
Total for 2015	Commercial	<u>Industrial</u>
15,128.9990 MG/Yr. <sup>2</sup>	14,801.5610 MG/Yr.	327.438 MG/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2014 (365 days)

(2) (20,365.645 - 5,236.6460)

# **COST ALLOCATION**

\$1,059,501.00 \$1,036,570.14 \$22,930.86

Member municipalities will be assessed \$6.83 (\$1,036,570.14/151,857) for trunk sewer O&M per equivalent dwelling unit.

The estimated cost for treating industrial wastes has been determined to be \$491.23 per MG for flow, \$845.35 per ton of BOD, and \$460.10 per ton of suspended solids. These estimates are predicated upon the cost allocation to industry and the estimates of flow and strength characteristics of the industrial waste, all as indicated on Table I. These costs were determined as follows:

Flow	\$253,583.00	1	516.2230 =	\$491.2276284	/MG
BOD	\$2,978,325.00	1	3,523.1780 =	\$845.3518395	/Ton
Suspended Solids	\$245,289.00	1	533.1189 =	\$460.1018673	/Ton

All industries within the member municipalities (excluding Elizabeth) will be required to pay an additional \$70.03/MG of flow for trunk sewer use (\$22,930.86/327.438 = \$70.031/MG). This brings the total flow cost to \$561.26/MG (\$491.228 + \$70.03) for the indicated industries of member municipalities.

Based upon the user charges for 2015, a computation has been made to show the budget prepayment for each municipality of the Joint Meeting. These estimates are subject to revision annually, dependent upon the actual number of dwelling units which must be determined for each municipality in accordance with the schedule of dwelling units set forth in the ordinance. In addition thereto, the computation for the industrial user charges has been based upon actual calculations of flow and waste characteristics for the industries in the district as a result of the 2014 industrial waste survey of the service area. These are estimates for 2015 and must be verified on an annual basis to reflect the actual flow and waste characteristics.

The basis for the industrial waste flow and characteristics are set forth in Appendix B of this report. The dwelling unit figures have been compiled by each municipality with the exception of Elizabeth in accordance with the revised Schedule of Dwelling Units incorporated into the User Charge Ordinance.

Table VII sets forth the cost to each municipality to establish the budget requirements of \$30,562,105.00 for 2015. However, it should be noted that in accordance with the Agreement between the Joint Meeting and the City of Elizabeth noted previously, and as a result of the monitoring of the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, the City would provide for 30.6791242% of the treatment plant, sludge dewatering facility and sludge drying facility budgets initially with adjustments made quarterly. Upon completion and verification of the quality and quantity of the City's contribution as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the EDU's from the Elmora Avenue area, plus the City of Linden's contribution, an adjustment will then be made for the member municipalities.

Table II

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities

Municipality Dwelling Units and Industrial User Charge

2015

Municipality	Dwelling Units 1	User Charges Industrial
East Orange	7,215	\$ -
Hillside	8,544	400,246
Irvington	26,922	832,777
Maplewood	9,591	13,388
Millburn	9,896 4	-
Newark	17,427	-
Roselle Park	4,752	743
South Orange	7,985	-
Summit	14,398 2	67,825 <sup>3</sup>
Union	25,019	382,066
West Orange	20,108	-
Elizabeth	47,181 199,038	1,803,087 \$ 3,500,132

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on actual calculation and verification by Municipalities; City of Elizabeth computed by Elson T. Killam Associates in 1979 and updated by City Engineer on February 9, 1982.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes New Providence (3820)

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes Murray Hill

<sup>(4)</sup> Includes Livingston (402)

# Table III

# Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

# Computation of Estimated Percent

# Contribution By City of Elizabeth For Period 11/1/2013-10/31/2014 and Projected Contribution for 2015

	Treatment Plant		Elizabeth Pu	ımpi	ng Station		Joint N	<b>Viceting</b>
Flow:	20,365.645 MG		5,236.646	MG			15,128.999	MG
			Industrial		D&C		Industrial	D&C
			170.09		5,066.556		327.438	14,801.561
		\$	83,552.91	\$	2,488,832.29	\$	183,777.45	\$ 8,307,506.06
Unit Charge	\$ 491.2276284 Per MG	for E	lizabeth	NIII AATAGO	•			
Unit Charge	\$ 561.2587793 Per MG	TOF J	omi Meeting			T		
BOD:	. 15,438.3672 Tons		5,306.3886	Tor	as		10,131.9786	Tons
			Industrial		<i>D&amp;C</i>		Industrial	<b>D&amp;C</b>
			1,815.6897		3,490.6989	1	,647.07069622	8,484.90790378
			1,534,896.63	\$	2,950,868.74	\$	1,392,354.24	
Unit Charge	\$845.3518395 Per Ton							
TSS:	14,013.4078 Tons		3,139.3486	Ton	as		10,874.0592	Tons
			Industrial		D&C		Industrial	D&C
			258.4797	-	2,880.8689		262.78959698	10,611.26960302
		\$	118,926.99	\$	1,325,493.16	\$	120,909.98	
Unit Charge	\$460.1018673 Per Ton							
				\$	8,502,570.72	\$	1,697,041.67	
	City of Elizabeth (Contribu	tion fi	rom Pumping St	tation	)	\$	8,502,570.72	
	City of Elizabeth (Industry	Tribu	tary to Joint Me	eting	Trunk)		,	
	Flow: 18.695	0 MC	3/Year at	\$	491.2276284		9,183.50	
			ns/Year at	•	845.3518395		51,074.13	
			ns/Year at		460.1018673		5,452.02	
	Joint Meeting Industrial						1,697,041.67	
	Subtotal					\$	10,265,322.04	
	Total 2015 Budget			\$	30,562,102.00			
	Subtotal (above)				(10,265,322.04)			

Joint Meeting EDU's (X + Y) + Elmora EDU's (X)= \$ 20,296,780

(Y = A dditional Assessment to Member Municipalities for Trunk Sewer O&M)151,857 (X + \$6.825962188) + 3905 (X) = \$20,296,780

Equivalent Units-Member Municipalities

Elmora EDU's = 3,905 \$123.6515313110 Per Unit = X

\$130.4774934990 Per Unit = X+Y

Joint Meeting EDU Assessment \$ 19,813,920.73

Elmora Area Assessment \$ 482,859.23

Total Anticipated Payment from the City of Elizabeth

Pumping Station \$ 8,502,570.72

151,857

Elmora EDU's 482,859.23

Elmora Industrial 65,709.65

\$ 9,051,139.60

Total Anticipated Payment from Member Municipalities

EDU's 19,813,920.73

Industrial 1,697,041.67

\$ 21,510,962.40

Estimated Percent Contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facility Budgets by
City of Elizabeth

\$ 9,051,139.60 / \$ 29,502,601.00 = 30.6791242%

Table IV
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Percent Allocation of Infiltration / Inflow

			Base Year - 1982	r - 1982			Base Year - 1982	1982		
	Capacity By	Capacity By Capacity By	Phase IIB - SSES 1	- SSES 1			Phase IIB - SSES 2	SES 2		
	Contract	Contract	Infiltration	ation	SSES - November 2014	1ber 2014	Inflow		SSES - November 2014	r 2014
Municipality	(MGD)	(Percent)	(GPD) <sup>3</sup>	(Percent)	(GPD) <sup>4</sup>	(Percent)	(GPD)	(Percent)	(GPD) <sup>5</sup>	(Percent)
					34,580				274,895	
East Orange	5.50	4.88%	70,747	2.11%	44,022	2.19%	3,007,440	5.79%	218,160	0.53%
					25,895				1,488,581	
Hillside	12.20	10.83%	79,012	2.36%	32,980	1.64%	1,185,120	2.28%	1,185,120	2.87%
					625,910				9,548,702	
Irvington	18.61	16.52%	1,115,672	33.31%	797,026	39.64%	8,612,640	16.57%	7,610,400	18.41%
					151,741				2,588,160	
Maplewood	7.08	6.29%	389,078	11.62%	193,273	9.61%	5,449,680	10.48%	2,062,080	4.99%
					119,529				2,992,722	
Millburn	900.9	5.33%	191,609	5.72%	152,240	7.57%	2,729,520	5.25%	2,384,640	5.77%
					144,793				2,448,119	
Newark	15.50	13.76%	234,484	7.00%	184,463	9.17%	1,959,540	3.77%	1,951,200	4.72%
					51,159				1,976,130	
Roselle Park	9.44	8.38%	106,187	3.17%	65,147	3.24%	1,576,080	3.03%	1,576,080	3.81%
					65,844				2,406,626	
South Orange	7.00	6.22%	410,876	12.27%	83,906	4.17%	2,183,760	4.20%	1,916,640	4.64%
					51,001				2,214,718	
Summit	7.50	%99'9	171,657	5.13%	64,916	3.23%	3,651,120	7.02%	1,764,720	4.27%
					186,952				17,479,156	
Union	10.30	9.14%	329,127	9.83%	238,013	11.84%	14,534,640	27.96%	13,935,520	33.70%
					121,582				8,449,124	
West Orange	13.50	11.99%	250,811	7.48%	154,847	7.70%	7,097,040	13.65%	6,744,600	16.29%
					1,578,986				51,866,933	
	112.63	100.00%	3,349,260	100.00%	2,010,833	100.00%	51,986,580	100.00%	41,349,160	100.00%

<sup>(1)</sup> Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-2) with trunk sewer infiltration allocated based on contract capacity.

<sup>(2)</sup> Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-3)

<sup>(3) &</sup>quot;Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 6.346%

<sup>(4) 2014 -</sup> Infiltration related to base year total =  $2.011 \,\mathrm{MGD}$  /  $52.79 \,\mathrm{MGD} = 3.80943360\%$ 

<sup>-</sup> Adjusted for period 11/1/2013 - 10/31/2014;  $(3.80943360\% \times 15,128.9990 = 576.33 \, MG \, / \, Year \, / \, 365 = 1,578,986 \, GPD)$ 

<sup>(5) 2014</sup> inflow adjusted for period 11/1/2013 - 10/31/2014 - (41.67" / 33.22") x (41,349,160) = 51,866,933 GPD

Table IV - A
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Adjusted 2014 - Summary of Infiltration and Inflow

	Dhoso IID	sen fract	7014 - Dan	mid y or mill	Description of the property of	A A	2017	
	SSES	2013	ZOI4 Infiltration	2014	SSES	2013	Tuffow	2014
	Infiltration	Infiltration	Removed	Infiltration	Inflow	Inflow	Removed	Inflow
Municipality	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)
East Orange	70,747	44,022	ı	44,022	3,007,440	218,160	,	218,160
Hillside	79,012	32,980	•	32,980	1,185,120	1,185,120	1	1,185,120
Irvington	1,115,672	797,026	. 1	797,026	8,612,640	7,610,400	ı	7,610,400
Maplewood	389,078	199,485	6,212	193,273	5,449,680	2,134,800	72,720	2,062,080
Millburn	191,609	152,240	•	152,240	2,729,520	2,384,640	1	2,384,640
Newark	234,484	184,463	,	184,463	1,959,540	1,951,200	ı	1,951,200
Roselle Park	106,187	65,147	•	65,147	1,576,080	1,576,080	1	1,576,080
South Orange	410,876	83,906	1	83,906	2,183,760	1,942,560	25,920	1,916,640
Summit	171,657	64,916	ı	64,916	3,651,120	1,764,720		1,764,720
Union	329,127	238,013		238,013	14,534,640	13,939,840	4,320	13,935,520
West Orange Total	3,349,260	2,017,045	6,212	2,010,833	7,097,040	6,744,600	102,960	6,744,600

Table V

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Cost Allocation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow

		Cost Allocation - Flov	Cost Allocation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow	ıflow	
		<u>Total</u>	Domestic & Commercial	ul Industrial	rial
Flow		15,128.9990 MG	14,801.5610 MG		327.4380 MG
		\$7,942,700.72	\$7,758,923.27		
				\$183	\$183,777.45
Infiltration	3.8094336%	576.33	563.86	\$295,573.32	12.47
Inflow	11.3590463%	1,718.51	1,681.32	\$881,341.70	37.19
E CO	\$645 2519205 Bom Ton	10 121 0786 Tons	2 484 0070 T		
DOD at	4043.3316333 ref 10m	10,131.9/00 1018	6,404,3019		1,04/.U/U/ 10ms
		\$8,565,086.75	\$7,172,732.50	\$1,392	\$1,392,354.24
TSS at	\$460.1018673 Per Ton	10,874.0592 Tons	10,611.2696 Tons		262.7896 Tons
		\$5,003,174.94	\$4,882,264.96	\$120	\$120,909.98
Total		\$21,510,962.41 1	\$19,813,920.73	\$1,697	\$1,697,041.67
(1) Amount anticipat	(1) Amount anticipated from Members after allocation of		30.6791242% to City of Elizabeth	\$ 9,051,139.60	
"Base Year - 1982"					
Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD =	GD / 52.79 MGD =	6.34590% (Per H	6.34590% (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)		
Inflow: 113 MC	113 MGD (Excluding Elizabeth) / 137 MGD (Total) =	(Total) =	82.4817518%	82.4817518% 1 inch of rain = 50 mg of inflow (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)	ase IIB Report)
"2013 - 2014" Infiltration: 2.011 MGD/52.79MGD =	4GD/52.79MGD =	3.80943360%	X 15,128.9990	576.33 MG / Year	

лицианоп: 2.011 МGD/52.79МGD = 3.80943360% **А** (Nover

15,128,9990 576.33 IMG/Year (November 1, 2013 - October 31, 2014)

Inflow: Rainfall = 41.67 Inches X 50 MG X 82.482%

1,718.51 MG / Year

 Total D&C
 \$19,813,920.73

 Less: Infiltration
 (295,573.32)

 Less: Inflow
 (881,341.70)

 Net after infiltration and inflow
 \$18,637,005.71

 Equivalent Dwelling Units
 151,857

 User Charge Per Unit
 \$122.72734026090

Table VI
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities

			ľ				The state of the s	
			ă	Domestic & Commercial	mercial			
		User Charge						
	Dwelling	\$ 122.72734026	Infil	Infiltration	In	Inflow		
Municipality	Units	Per Unit	Percent 1	Amount	Percent 2	Amount	Total Cost	Unit Cost
East Orange	7,215	\$885,477.76	2.19%	\$6,473.06	0.53%	\$4,671.11	\$896,621.93	\$124.2719
Hillside	8,544	1,048,582.40	1.64%	4,847.40	2.87%	25,294.51	1,078,724.31	126.2552
Irvington	26,922	3,304,065.45	39.64%	117,165.26	18.41%	162,255.01	3,583,485.72	133.1062
Maplewood	9,591	1,177,077.92	9.61%	28,404.60	4.99%	43,978.95	1,249,461.47	130.2744
Millburn	9,896 3	1,214,509.76	7.57%	22,374.90	5.77%	50,853.42	1,287,738.08	130.1271
Newark	17,427	2,138,769.36	9.17%	27,104.07	4.72%	41,599.33	2,207,472.76	126.6697
Roselle Park	4,752	583,200.32	3.24%	9,576.58	3.81%	33,579.12	626,356.02	131.8089
South Orange	7,985	979,977.81	4.17%	12,325.41	4.64%	40,894.25	1,033,197.47	129.3923
Summit	14,398 4	1,767,028.25	3.23%	9,547.02	4.27%	37,633.29	1,814,208.56	126.0042
Union	25,019	3,070,515.33	11.84%	34,995.88	33.70%	297,012.15	3,402,523.36	135.9976
West Orange	20,108	2,467,801.36	7.70%	22,759.15	16.29%	143,570.56	2,634,131.07	130.9992
	121,03/	\$10,037,003.72	100.00%	\$295,575.33	100.00%	\$881,341.70	\$19,813,920.75	\$130.4775 \$122.1410 2014
	(1) See Table	(1) See Table IV - Infiltration for November of the Prior Year	November of t	he Prior Year				\$125.0975 2013
	(2) See 1 able (3) Includes I	(z) see 1 able 1v - Innow for November of the Prior Year (3) Includes Livingston (402)	ember of the P	rior rear				\$103.1856 2012 \$97.1815 2011
	(4) Includes N	(4) Includes New Providence (3820)	(0					

Table VI (Continued)
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2015

			:	Ind	Industrial					Barrier Commencer Commence
						Flow (N	Flow (MG / Year)			T143,42
	BOD	$BOD^{1}$	TSS	TSS 2	Dry	Infiltration	Inflow		Flow 3	Total
Municipality	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	(Tons/Year)	(\$/Year)	Weather	3.809434%	11.359046%	Total	(\$/Year)	Cost
East Orange	0.0000	\$0.00	0.0000	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hillside	411.7782	348,097.45	42.3983	19,507.52	49.34	2.22	6.61	58.16	32,640.57	400,245.54
Irvington	919.4322	.777,243.72	27.9674	12,867.84	64.49	2.90	8.64	76.02	42,665.21	832,776.77
Maplewood	7.4230	6,275.06	4.3454	1,999.31	7.73	0.35	1.04	9.11	5,113.63	13,388.00
Millburn	0.000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Newark	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Roselle Park	0.1808	152.86	0.3014	138.66	0.68	0.03	0.09	0.80	450.69	742.21
South Orange	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	00:00	0.00
Summit 4	22.8330	19,301.93	27.5072	12,656.10	54.21	2.43	7.26	63.90	35,865.56	67,823.59
Union	285.4234	241,283.22	160.2700	73,740.55	101.33	4.55	13.57	119.45	67,041.80	382,065.57
West Orange	0.0000	0.0000 1,647.0707 \$1,392,354.24	0.0000	\$120,909.98	0.00	0.00	0.00 37.19	927.44	\$183,777.45	\$1,697,041.67

<sup>(1)</sup> At \$845.3518395/Ton

<sup>(2)</sup> At \$460.1018673/Ton (3) At \$561.2587793/MG (4) Includes Murray Hill

Table VII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Summary of Estimated Annual Operational and Maintenance Expenses To Member Municipalities
2015

		Domestic & Co	mmercial		
Municipality	Total Assessments <sup>1</sup>	User Charge Domestic Sewage 122.7273403	User Charges Industrial	Infiltration / Inflow Charges	Assessment Percentage
East Orange	\$896,621.93	\$885,477.76	\$0.00	\$11,144.17	4.1682%
Hillside	1,478,969.85	1,048,582.40	400,245.54	30,141.91	6.8754%
Irvington	4,416,262.49	3,304,065.45	832,776.77	279,420.27	20.5303%
Maplewood	1,262,849.47	1,177,077.92	13,388.00	72,383.55	5.8707%
Millburn	1,287,738.08	1,214,509.76	0.00	73,228.32	5.9864%
Newark	2,207,472.76	2,138,769.36	0.00	68,703.40	10.2621%
Roselle Park	627,098.23	583,200.32	742.21	43,155.70	2.9152%
South Orange	1,033,197.47	979,977.81	0.00	53,219.66	4.8031%
Summit	1,882,032.15	1,767,028.25	67,823.59	47,180.31	8.7492%
Union	3,784,588.93	3,070,515.33	382,065.57	332,008.03	17.5938%
West Orange	2,634,131.07 \$21,510,962.42	2,467,801.36 \$18,637,005.72	0.00 \$1,697,041.67	166,329.71 \$1,176,915.03	12.2456% 100.0000%
Elizabeth	9,051,142.58				
Total	\$30,562,105.00				

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects anticipated payment of \$9,051,142.58 from the City of Elizabeth which is 30.6791242% of allocation from Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying budget. Exact 2015 percent contribution to be calculated at the end of fiscal year. Quarterly adjustments to be made in accordance with Agreement between Elizabeth and Joint Meeting.

Table VIII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Comparison of 2015 Assessment with 2014 Assessment

				Comp	arison
Municipality	2014	2015		Amount	Percentage
East Orange	\$845,488.12	\$896,621.93	(+)	\$51,133.81	6.05%
Hillside	1,338,076.77	1,478,969.85	(+)	140,893.08	10.53%
Irvington	4,216,592.33	4,416,262.49	(+)	199,670.16	4.74%
Maplewood	1,182,855.06	1,262,849.47	(+)	79,994.41	6.76%
Millburn	1,201,149.97	1,287,738.08	(+)	86,588.11	7.21%
Newark	2,076,270.78	2,207,472.76	(+)	131,201.98	6.32%
Roselle Park	585,803.54	627,098.23	(+)	41,294.69	7.05%
South Orange	968,567.59	1,033,197.47	(+)	64,629.88	6.67%
Summit	1,745,324.42	1,882,032.15	(+)	136,707.73	7.83%
Union	3,505,883.39	3,784,588.93	(+)	278,705.54	7.95%
West Orange	2,456,438.73	2,634,131.07	(+)	177,692.34	7.23%
•	\$20,122,450.70	\$21,510,962.42	(+)	\$1,388,511.72	6.90%
Elizabeth	9,906,651.30	9,051,142.58	(-)	\$ (855,508.72)	-8.64%
Total	\$30,029,102.00	\$30,562,105.00	(+)	\$533,003.00	1.77%

Note: City of Elizabeth percentage contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying Budget:

City of Elizabeth percentage

2011	38.46028870%
2012	38.46747020%
2013	35.5058206%
2014	34.1765238%

Table III, IV, V, VI, and VII, have been prepared to reflect the Domestic Sewer Usage and Industrial User assessment to each municipality for 2015 as a result of the Agreement with the City of Elizabeth which requires 30.67912442% of the 2015 Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Budget to be paid in Quarterly assessments with adjustments made following the determination of the actual percentage of contribution for each quarter. The 30.6791242 figure is an estimate based upon actual monitoring of quality and quantity at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, etc., in accordance with the Agreement. The actual percentage of contribution will be determined following the completion of the annual audit of expenses. In addition, these tables reflect the costs associated with Infiltration / Inflow for the member municipalities, the percentages of which were determined from the Phase IIB SSES Reports, adjusted as a result of rehabilitation work, and updated in 2014.

# **Summary and Certification**

On the basis of the measured usage of Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and the Treatment Plant, including the Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facilities, and an estimate of Dwelling Units and Waste Characteristics for the municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, and in accordance with the provisions of the 1926 contract, I hereby certify that the estimated maintenance and operating costs of the Joint Meeting for the 2015 are apportioned as follows:

2015	2014		2015	2014
4.1682%	4.2017%	Roselle Park	2.9152%	2.9112%
6.8754%	6.6497%	South Orange	4.8031%	4.8134%
20.5303%	20.9547%	Summit	8.7492%	8.6735%
5.8707%	5.8783%	Union	17.5938%	17.4227%
5.9864%	5.9692%	West Orange	12.2456%	12.2074%
10.2621%	10.3182%	Total	100.0000%	100.0000%
	4.1682% 6.8754% 20.5303% 5.8707% 5.9864%	4.1682%       4.2017%         6.8754%       6.6497%         20.5303%       20.9547%         5.8707%       5.8783%         5.9864%       5.9692%	4.1682%       4.2017%       Roselle Park         6.8754%       6.6497%       South Orange         20.5303%       20.9547%       Summit         5.8707%       5.8783%       Union         5.9864%       5.9692%       West Orange	4.1682%       4.2017%       Roselle Park       2.9152%         6.8754%       6.6497%       South Orange       4.8031%         20.5303%       20.9547%       Summit       8.7492%         5.8707%       5.8783%       Union       17.5938%         5.9864%       5.9692%       West Orange       12.2456%

Respectfully submitted,

A. Ralph LaMendola, P.E., BCEE

# Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A 2015 Budget

	Treati Pla		ewatering Facility	Exchange of	Drying Facility	Sewer	Total
Electric Service	\$ 1,10	6,405	\$ 129,172	\$	9,423	\$ -	\$ 1,245,000
Gas Service	71	5,200	110,000		-	-	825,200
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	37	6,000	113,000		-	-	489,000
Water Service	15	0,000	85,000		3,500	-	238,500
Chemicals	2,36	6,500	593,900		6,800	2,200	2,969,400
Sludge Disposal		-	2,171,808		-	-	2,171,808
Insurance	57	5,984	115,196		53,758	23,039	767,977
Administration	2,14	3,764	1,205,680		10,000	234,318	3,593,762
Labor	5,37	1,198	1,062,427		134,258	16,214	6,584,097
Benefits	4,37	9,041	730,726		91,111	54,780	5,255,658
Equipment	37	5,000	109,000		-	-	484,000
Printing & Stationery	2	1,000	2,000		-	1,000	24,000
Maintenance, Supplies & Spare Parts	1,41	0,333	558,917		5,000	573,250	2,547,500
Screening Disposal	16	0,000	-		-	-	160,000
Reserve Contingency	12	5,000	-		-	-	125,000
Miscellaneous Expenses	17	7,500	87,500		-	120,000	385,000
Technical & Professional Services	80	2,500	50,000		-	25,000	877,500
Replacement Fund	1,25	0,000	-		-	-	1,250,000
NJPDES & Miscellaneous Permit Fee	54	7,000	12,000		-	9,700	568,700
	\$ 22,05	2,425	\$ 7,136,326	\$	313,850	\$ 1,059,501	\$ 30,562,102
	7	2.16%	23.35%		1.03%	3.46%	100.00%

# Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued) Operations and Management Cost Allocations (Treatment Plant)

	Estimated Total Cost	Percent Flow	C	Cost Flow	I	Percent SS		Cost SS	Percent BOD	(	Cost BOD
General Expenditures 1	\$ 4,107,884	80%	\$	3,286,307	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10%	\$	410,788	10%	\$	410,789
Power	1,106,405	40%	\$	442,562		5%	\$	55,320	55%	\$	608,523
Chlorine	1,206,000	40%	\$	482,400					60%	\$	723,600
Maintenance, Equipment	,										
Supplies, Spare Parts, & Replacement Fund	3,160,333	28%	\$	884,893		27%	\$	853,290	45%	\$	1,422,150
& Replacement Fund	3,100,333	2070	Ψ	004,022		2170	Ψ	000,20	1570	Ψ	1,122,100
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	376,000	40%	\$	150,400		30%	\$	112,800	30%	\$	112,800
Administration, Labor											
& Benefits											
(Payroll Retirement)	11,894,003	40%		4,757,601		10%	\$	1,189,400	50%	\$	5,947,002
Sludge Processing 2	201,800					50%	\$	100,900	50%	_\$	100,900
Total	\$22,052,425		\$ :	10,004,163			\$	2,722,498			9,325,764
	100%		45.	.3653646%			12	2.3455720%		42	.2890634%
(1) General Expenditures											
(From the Approved Bud			(2)	Sludge Proce	ssing						
Insurance		\$ 575,984	-	ymer	\$	170,000					
Technical & Professional	Services	802,500	K21	MnO4		31,800					

(1) General Expenditures (From the Approved Budget)			(2) Sludge Pr	cocessing	3
Insurance	\$	575,984	Polymer	\$	170,000
Technical & Professional Services		802,500	K2MnO4		31,800
Stationery & Printing		21,000			
Gas		715,200			
Water		150,000			
Miscellaneous		177,500			
Service Contracts		160,000			
Permit Fees		547,000			
Sodium Bisulfite & Misc. Chemicals		958,700			
	\$4	1,107,884		\$	201,800

# Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

**Operations and Management Allocations** 

•	Estimated			
	Total Cost	Cost Flow	Cost TSS	Cost BOD
Treatment Plant	\$ 22,052,425	\$10,004,163	\$ 2,722,498	\$ 9,325,764
Dewatering Facility	7,136,326		3,568,163	3,568,163
Drying Facility	313,850		156,925	156,925
	\$ 29,502,601	\$10,004,163	\$ 6,447,586	\$13,050,852
Subtotal	100.00%	33.9094272%	21.8542968%	44.2362760%
Sewers 1	\$ 1,059,501			
Total	\$ 30,562,102			

<sup>(1)</sup> Joint Meeting Members Only

# INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015 SUMMARY

		LOADINGS		
MUNICIPALITY	FLOW (MG)	BOD (tons)	TSS (tons)	
HILLSIDE	58.156	411.77818422	42.39826233	
IRVINGTON	76.017	919.43222448	27.96738102	
MAPLEWOOD	9.111	7.42301283	4.34536935	
MURRAY HILL	15.089	1.09485852	4.97190768	
ROSELLE PARK	0.803	0.18081954	0.30136590	
SUMMIT	48.813	21.73815996	22.53526380	
UNION	119.449	285.42343122	160.27004688	
MEMBERS TOTAL	327.438	1647.07069077	262.78959696	
ELIZABETH	188.785	1876.10721936	270.32935728	
GRAND TOTAL	516.223	3523.17791013	533.11895424	
		COSTS		
MUNICIPALITY	FLOW	BOD	TSS	
HILLSIDE	\$32,640.57	<b>BOD</b> \$348,097.45	\$19,507.52	\$400,245.53
HILLSIDE IRVINGTON	\$32,640.57 \$42,665.21	<b>BOD</b> \$348,097.45 \$777,243.72	\$19,507.52 \$12,867.84	\$400,245.53 \$832,776.78
HILLSIDE IRVINGTON MAPLEWOOD	\$32,640.57 \$42,665.21 \$5,113.63	<b>BOD</b> \$348,097.45 \$777,243.72 \$6,275.06	\$19,507.52 \$12,867.84 \$1,999.31	\$400,245.53 \$832,776.78 \$13,388.00
HILLSIDE IRVINGTON MAPLEWOOD MURRAY HILL	\$32,640.57 \$42,665.21 \$5,113.63 \$8,468.83	<b>BOD</b> \$348,097.45 \$777,243.72 \$6,275.06 \$925.54	\$19,507.52 \$12,867.84 \$1,999.31 \$2,287.58	\$400,245.53 \$832,776.78 \$13,388.00 \$11,681.96
HILLSIDE IRVINGTON MAPLEWOOD MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK	\$32,640.57 \$42,665.21 \$5,113.63 \$8,468.83 \$450.69	<b>BOD</b> \$348,097.45 \$777,243.72 \$6,275.06 \$925.54 \$152.86	\$19,507.52 \$12,867.84 \$1,999.31 \$2,287.58 \$138.66	\$400,245.53 \$832,776.78 \$13,388.00 \$11,681.96 \$742.21
HILLSIDE IRVINGTON MAPLEWOOD MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT	\$32,640.57 \$42,665.21 \$5,113.63 \$8,468.83 \$450.69 \$27,396.72	\$348,097.45 \$777,243.72 \$6,275.06 \$925.54 \$152.86 \$18,376.39	\$19,507.52 \$12,867.84 \$1,999.31 \$2,287.58 \$138.66 \$10,368.52	\$400,245.53 \$832,776.78 \$13,388.00 \$11,681.96 \$742.21 \$56,141.64
HILLSIDE IRVINGTON MAPLEWOOD MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK	\$32,640.57 \$42,665.21 \$5,113.63 \$8,468.83 \$450.69	<b>BOD</b> \$348,097.45 \$777,243.72 \$6,275.06 \$925.54 \$152.86	\$19,507.52 \$12,867.84 \$1,999.31 \$2,287.58 \$138.66	\$400,245.53 \$832,776.78 \$13,388.00 \$11,681.96 \$742.21
HILLSIDE IRVINGTON MAPLEWOOD MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT	\$32,640.57 \$42,665.21 \$5,113.63 \$8,468.83 \$450.69 \$27,396.72	\$348,097.45 \$777,243.72 \$6,275.06 \$925.54 \$152.86 \$18,376.39	\$19,507.52 \$12,867.84 \$1,999.31 \$2,287.58 \$138.66 \$10,368.52	\$400,245.53 \$832,776.78 \$13,388.00 \$11,681.96 \$742.21 \$56,141.64 \$382,065.57
HILLSIDE IRVINGTON MAPLEWOOD MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT UNION	\$32,640.57 \$42,665.21 \$5,113.63 \$8,468.83 \$450.69 \$27,396.72 \$67,041.80	\$348,097.45 \$777,243.72 \$6,275.06 \$925.54 \$152.86 \$18,376.39 \$241,283.22	\$19,507.52 \$12,867.84 \$1,999.31 \$2,287.58 \$138.66 \$10,368.52 \$73,740.55 \$120,909.98	\$400,245.53 \$832,776.78 \$13,388.00 \$11,681.96 \$742.21 \$56,141.64 \$382,065.57

# INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015 COST FACTORS

	FLOW	BOD	TSS
MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES	\$561.2587793	\$845.3518395	\$460.1018673
ELIZABETH	\$491.2276284	\$845.3518395	\$460.1018673

# INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015

Municipality: HILLSIDE

	Cost Factors								
					Flow per MG	BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton		
			Concent	ation [	\$561.2587793 \$845.3518395 \$460.1018673				
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT	
1042	GEC Marconi / BAE	1	1	2	4.515	0.0188	0.0377		
1042	Totals:	1	'	2	4.515	0.0188	0.0377		
	Cost Analysis:				\$2,534.08	\$15.92	\$17.33	\$2,567.32	
	oost, malyou				<b>4-,</b>	******	******	<b>4-7</b>	
1050	Manhattan Drug Co.	4	706	542	0.577	1.6987	1.3041	,	
1000	Totals:	7	700	042	0.577	1.6987	1.3041		
	Cost Analysis:				\$323.85	\$1,436.00	\$600.02	\$2,359.86	
					·	• •	·	• •	
1094	Oasis Foods	3	1091	285	7.373	33.5432	8.7624		
	(AAK Inc.)	99	190	170	1.144	0.9064	0.8110		
	Totals:				8.517	34.4496	9.5734		
	Cost Analysis:				\$4,780.24	\$29,122.06	\$4,404.75	\$38,307.05	
	·								
1058	Quest Industries	2	104	56	0.282	0.1223	0.0659		
		99	190	170	0.005	0.0040	0.0035		
	Totals:				0.287	0.1263	0.0694		
	Cost Analysis:				\$161.08	\$106.73	\$31.93	\$299.74	
1090	Union Beverages	1	2149	152	38.406	344.1688	24.3433		
		2	1051	1548	0.688	3.0153	4.4412		
	Totals:				39.094	347.1841	28.7844	£000 070 04	
	Cost Analysis:				\$21,941.85	\$293,492.73	\$13,243.76	\$328,678.34	
4004	Lilleide Devener	•	2045	60	2.490	21.2338	0.6230		
1091	Hillside Beverage	2 99	2045 190	170	0.057	0.0452	0.0404		
	Totals:	99	190	170	2.547	21.2790	0.6634		
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,429.53	\$17,988.25	\$305.23	\$19,723.01	
	Cost Analysis.				ψ1, <del>42</del> 3.33	¥11,555.25	4000.20	ψ13,1 23.0 I	
1092	A&H Products	1	688	181	2.382	6.8339	1.7979		
1032	Adminioducts	99	190	170	0.237	0.1878	0.1680		
	Totals:	00	100	170	2.619	7.0216	1.9659		
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,469.94	\$5,935.75	\$904.50	\$8,310.19	
	oote, mayoto.				¥ ·, ··- ·	¥-,	*******	* - <b>,</b>	
	HILLSIDE TOTALS				58.1560	411.7782	42.3983		
	INCLUIDE TO IALO				\$32,640.57	\$348,097.45	\$19,507.52	\$400,245.53	
								, ,	

### **INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015**

Municipality: IRVINGTON

**Cost Factors** Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton \$845.3518395 \$460.1018673 Concentration \$561.2587793 BOD TSS ANNUAL BOD TSS **FLOW PAYMENT** IU# INDUSTRY SITE (mg/l) (MG) (Tons) (Tons) (mg/l) 2066 **Wayne County Foods** 1 1951 57 0.168 1.3668 0.0399 170 0.167 0.1323 0.1184 190 99 0.335 1.4991 0.1583 Totals: \$72.84 \$1,528.14 Cost Analysis: \$188.02 \$1,267.27 12.4044 268 79 37.654 42.0806 2072 Clean-Tex Services 99 190 170 0.521 0.4128 0.3693 38.175 42.4934 12.7737 Totals: \$21,426.05 Cost Analysis: \$35,921.87 \$5,877.20 \$63,225.12 2076 International Vitamin 1 902 177 2.524 9.4936 1.8629 1.8629 9.4936 2.524 Totals: \$10,299.21 Cost Analysis: \$1,416.62 \$8,025.45 \$857.14 0.0667 65 0.246 0.6432 2078 City Barrel 627 99 190 170 0.062 0.0491 0.0440 0.308 0.6923 0.1106 Totals: \$585.25 \$50.90 \$809.02 \$172.87 Cost Analysis: 6081 89 34.104 864.8014 12.6570 3055 **Maplewood Beverage** 2 0.4048 0.571 0.4524 170 99 190 13.0618 Totals: 34.675 865.2538 \$19,461.65 \$731,443.88 \$6,009.76 \$756,915.29 Cost Analysis: IRVINGTON TOTALS 76.0170 919.4322 27.9674 \$42,665.21 \$777,243.72 \$12,867.84 \$832,776.78

Table Linen LLC - Ceased operation as of November 15, 2013.

City Barrel - New Indusrial User.

# INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015

Municipality: MAPLEWOOD

				60,00				
			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG \$561.2587793	BOD per Ton \$845.3518395	TSS per Ton \$460.1018673	
			Ooncenu	auon				
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
3020	Gleason Cleaners	1	143	61	0.664	0.3959	0.1689	
		99	190	170	0.114	0.0903	0.0808	
	Totals:				0.778	0.4863	0.2497	
	Cost Analysis:				\$436.66	\$411.07	\$114.89	\$962.63
3033	NJ Transit - Hilton Gar.	3a	308	343	2.351	3.0195	3.3627	
3030	No Transit - Tinton Gui.	4	210	30	4.058	3.5536	0.5077	
	Totals:	•	2.0		6.409	6.5731	3.8703	
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,597.11	\$5,556.60	\$1,780.74	\$10,934.45
3046	UnìClean	1	33	16	1.773	0.2440	0.1183	
3040	Uniclean	99	190	170	0.151	0.1196	0.1070	
	Totals:		155	110	1,924	0.3636	0.2253	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,079.86	\$307.39	\$103.68	\$1,490.93
N	APLEWOOD TOTALS		ž.		9.1110	7.4230	4.3454	
	, LETTOOD TOTALO				\$5,113.63	\$6,275.06	\$1,999.31	\$13,388.00

# INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015

Municipality: MURRAY HILL

		•		C199	C				
			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS \$561.2587793 \$845.3518395 \$460.10			per Ton 018673	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT	
5010	Alcatel-Lucentd USA Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	12	80	13.237 13.237 <b>\$7,429.38</b>	0.6624 0.6624 <b>\$559.94</b>	4.4159 4.4159 <b>\$2,031.75</b>	\$10,021.07	
5011	Baxter Pharmaceutical	CL	OSED						
5020	Fablok Mills	CL	OSED						
5021	FRC-Electrical Ind Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	56	72	1.852 1.852 <b>\$1,039.45</b>	0.4325 0.4325 <b>\$365.60</b>	0.5560 0.5560 <b>\$255.84</b>	\$1,660.89	
MU	JRRAY HILL TOTALS				15.0890 <b>\$8,468.83</b>	1.0949 <b>\$925.54</b>	4.9719 <b>\$2,287.58</b>	\$11,681.96	

NOTE - Baxter Pharmaceutical and Fablok Mills both ceased operations and vacated their locations during 2014, both Industries have been removed from the 2015 Industrial Assessment.

# INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015

# Municipality: ROSELLE PARK

			Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton Concentration \$561.2587793 \$845.3518395 \$460.1018673					
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
6005	Hexacon Electric Tota Cost Analys		54	90	0.803 0.803 <b>\$450.69</b>	0.1808 0.1808 <b>\$152.86</b>	0.3014 0.3014 <b>\$138.66</b>	\$742.21
RO	SELLE PARK TOTALS				0.8030 <b>\$450.69</b>	0.1808 <b>\$152.86</b>	0.3014 <b>\$138.66</b>	\$742.21

# INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015

# Municipality: SUMMIT

			Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per To					
			Concentr	ation	\$561.2587793	\$845.3518395	\$460.1018673	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
5511	Novartis Groundwater	4	1	2	0.862	0.0036	0.0072	
	Totals:				0.862	0.0036	0.0072	
	Cost Analysis:				\$483.81	\$3.04	\$3.31	\$490.15
5512	Summit Property Co.	03A	54	41	34.304	7.7246	5.8650	
	(MSD Corp)	99	190	170	2.693	2.1337	1.9091	
	Totals:				36.997	9.8582	7.7740	
	Cost Analysis:				\$20,764.89	\$8,333.68	\$3,576.84	\$32,675.41
5513	Celgene Corporation	1	260	323	10.954	11.8763	14.7541	
0010	Totals:	,	200	020	10.954	11.8763	14.7541	
	Cost Analysis:				\$6,148.03	\$10,039.67	\$6,788.37	\$22,976.07
	SUMMIT TOTALS				48.8130	21.7382	22.5353	
					\$27,396.72	\$18,376.39	\$10,368.52	\$56,141.64

# **INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015**

# Municipality: UNION

	Cost Factors							
			Concent	ration	Flow per MG \$561.2587793	BOD per Ton \$845.3518395	TSS per Ton \$460.1018673	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
7015	ACuPowder	3 (99)	190	170	0.016	0.0127	0.0113	
		4	103	104	1.066	0.4579	0.4623	
	Totals	-			1.082	0.4705	0.4736	44 000 00
	Cost Analysis	<b>::</b>			\$607.28	\$397.77	\$217.93	\$1,222.97
7035	American Products	1	240	399	0.895	0.8957	1.4891	
7000	Totals		240	000	0.895	0.8957	1.4891	
	Cost Analysis				\$502.33	\$757.20	\$685.15	\$1,944.67
						0.0044	0.7550	
7070	Durex Totals	. 1	154	182	3.630 3.630	2.3311 2.3311	2.7550 2.7550	
	Cost Analysis				\$2,037.37	\$1,970.61	\$1,267.56	\$5,275.54
	COSt Allalysis	•			ψ <u>2</u> ,007.07	ψ1,570.01	Ψ1,207.00	ψ0,Σ10.0 <del>1</del>
7077	Evoqua Water Tech	4	102	152	1.983	0.8434	1.2569	
	Totals	:			1.983	0.8434	1.2569	
	Cost Analysis	:			\$1,112.98	\$713.01	\$578.30	\$2,404.29
7080	Foremost Mfg	2	12	150	16.466	0.8240	10.2995	
7000	Totals		12	150	16.466	0.8240	10.2995	
	Cost Analysis				\$9,241.69	\$696.53	\$4,738.81	\$14,677.03
							4.0405	
7092	International Paint Totals	. 2	63	130	7.767 7.767	2.0405 2.0405	4.2105 4.2105	
	Cost Analysis				\$4,359.30	\$1,724.91	\$1,937.25	\$8,021.47
7145	Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.	5	8	13	29.683	0.9902	1.6091	
	(Schering)				29.683	0.9902	1.6091	
	Cost Analysis	i:			\$16,659.84	\$837.09	\$740.36	\$18,237.29
7155	Tessler & Weiss	22	145	175	1.063	0.6427	0.7757	
7 100	Totals		110	,,,	1.063	0.6427	0.7757	
	Cost Analysis	:			\$596.62	\$543.34	\$356.91	\$1,496.87
				مخد			A 480C	
7167	Turbo Braze	. 1	203	101	0.304 0.304	0.2573 0.2573	0.1280 0.1280	
	Totals Cost Analysis				\$170.62	\$217.54	\$58.91	\$447.07
	Cost Analysis	•			φ11U.02	φ£11.04	\$50.5 I	φ <del>-1</del> 1.01

# INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015

Municipality: UNION

					Cost Factors				
					Flow per MG	BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton		
			Concentration		\$561.2587793	\$845.3518395	\$460,1018673		
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT	
7191	Cintas	1	300	253	27.916	34.9229	29.4517		
	Tota	als:			27.916	34.9229	29.4517		
	Cost Analys	sis:			\$15,668.10	\$29,522.15	\$13,550.76	\$58,741.01	
7400	N 11 O	4	314	338	0.676	0.8851	0.9528		
7192	Merril Corporation	1	314	338	0.676	0.8851	0.9528		
	Tota							64 500 05	
	Cost Analys	SIS:			\$379.41	\$748.26	\$438.38	\$1,566.05	
7193	Lioni Latticini	1	1898	390	7.694	60.8954	12.5128		
1100	Lioin Latticini	99	190	170	1.47	1.1647	1.0421		
	Tota		130	170	9.164	62.0601	13.5548		
	Cost Analys				\$5,143.38	\$52,462.60	\$6,236.60	\$63,842.58	
	Cost Analys	515.			<b>\$5, 145.56</b>	\$52,402.00	\$0,230.00	\$03,042.30	
7194	BASF Catalysts	1	82	303	0.091	0.0311	0.1150		
	,	99	190	170	0.454	0.3597	0.3218		
	Tota	als:			0.545	0.3908	0.4368		
	Cost Analys				\$305.89	\$330.38	\$200.98	\$837.25	
7195	Deep Foods	1	2355	1229	18.098	177.7287	92.7510		
		2(99)	190	170	0.177	0.1402	0.1255		
	Tota				18.275	177.8689	92,8765		
	Cost Analys	sis:			\$10,257.00	\$150,361.83	\$42,732.63	\$203,351.46	
	UNION TOTALS				119.4490	285.4234	160.2700		
					\$67,041.80	\$241,283.22	\$73,740.55	\$382,065.57	

# **INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015**

Municipality: ELIZABETH

			Concent					
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
0192	Wild Flavors Inc.  Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	784	134	0.069 0.069 <b>\$33.89</b>	0.2256 0.2256 <b>\$190.69</b>	0.0386 0.0386 <b>\$17.74</b>	\$242.33
0037	Deb-El Foods Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	2765	426	7.429 7.429 <b>\$3,649.33</b>	85.6567 85.6567 <b>\$72,410.08</b>	13.1970 13.1970 <b>\$6,071.98</b>	\$82,131.39
0062	Garcia Laundry Totals: Cost Analysis:	2 99	906 190	236 170	2.516 0.099 2.615 <b>\$1,284.56</b>	9.5055 0.0784 9.5839 <b>\$8,101.80</b>	2.4760 0.0702 2.5462 <b>\$1,171.52</b>	<b>\$10,557.88</b>
0067	Actavis (formerly Purepac) Totals:	3	775	152	18.695 18.695	60.4176 60.4176	11.8496 11.8496	<b>V</b> 10,001100
0070	Cost Analysis:	2	6936	53	<b>\$9,183.50</b> 17.826	\$51,074.10 515.5835	\$5,452.04 3.9397	\$65,709.64
0070	Totals: Cost Analysis:	2	0300	33	17.826 \$8,756.62	515.5835 <b>\$435,849.49</b>	3.9397 <b>\$1,812.67</b>	\$446,418.79
0075	Mastercraft Electroplating Totals: Cost Analysis:	99	190	170	0.026 0.026 <b>\$12.77</b>	0.0206 0.0206 <b>\$17.41</b>	0.0184 0.0184 \$8.48	\$38.67
0078	Magnolia Beef  Totals:  Cost Analysis:	1 99	939 190	288 170	0.180 0.208 0.388 <b>\$190.60</b>	0.7048 0.1648 0.8696 <b>\$735.13</b>	0.2162 0.1475 0.3636 <b>\$167.30</b>	\$1,093.03
0093	OENJ Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	6	26	8.855 8.855 <b>\$4,349.82</b>	0.2216 0.2216 <b>\$187.29</b>	0.9601 0.9601 <b>\$441.72</b>	\$4,978.84
0098	Olympia Trails  Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	719 190	148 170	2.200 0.470 2.670 <b>\$1,311.58</b>	6.5961 0.3724 6.9685 <b>\$5,890.82</b>	1.3578 0.3332 1.6909 <b>\$778.00</b>	\$7,980.40
0100	Michaels Foods - North Avenue Facility  Totals: Cost Analysis:	4 4a 4b 99	3086 5719 1491 190	429 481 278 170	38.930 7.237 6.365 0.939 53.471 \$26,266.43	500.9754 172.5896 39.5742 0.7440 713.8832 \$603,482.46	69.6430 14.5158 7.3787 0.6657 92.2031 \$42,422.84	\$672,171.74

# INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2015

# Municipality: ELIZABETH

						Cost Factors		
			Concent	ation	Flow per MG \$491.2276284			
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
	Michaels Foods -							
0105	Papetti Plaza Facility	2	1994	548	41.285	343.2839	94.3428	
	•	2 <b>a</b>	2438	454	6.476	65.8380	12.2602	
		2b	1190	396	5.193	25.7692	8.5753	
		3	408	630	0.417	0.7095	1.0955	
	Totals:				53.3710	435.6006	116.2739	
	Cost Analysis:				\$26,217.31	\$368,235.80	\$53,497.82	\$447,950.93
0120	Phelps Dodge	99	190	170	0.268	0.2123	0.1900	
	Totals:				0.268	0.2123	0.1900	
	Cost Analysis:				\$131.65	\$179.50	\$87.41	\$398.56
	Superior Powder							
0148	Coating	1	139	31	2.877	1,6676	0.3719	
• • • •		99	190	170	0.394	0.3122	0.2793	
	Totals:				3.271	1.9798	0.6512	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,606.81	\$1,673.60	\$299.63	\$3,580.03
	Duro Hilex Poly LLC							
0195	(Formerly Duro-Bag)	1	633	282	4.917	12.9790	5.7821	
		2	75	117	3.136	0.9808	1.5300	
		3	493	683	2.153	4.4262	6.1320	
	Totals:				10.206	18.3859	13. <del>444</del> 1	
	Cost Analysis:				\$5,013.47	\$15,542.56	\$6,185.66	\$26,741.68
0165	Wakefern Food Corp.	2	105	134	1.378	0.6034	0.7700	
	·	3a	204	400	3.402	2.8940	5.6745	
		4	411	185	1.936	3.3181	1.4935	
		99 (7)	190	170	0.029	0.0230	0.0206	
	Totals:				6.745	6.8384	7.9586	***
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,313.33	\$5,780.85	\$3,661.78	\$12,755.96
0178	814 Americas	3	356	229	1.728	2.5653	1.6501	
		99	190	170	0.055	0.0436	0.0390	
	Totals:				1.783	2.6088	1.6891	
	Cost Analysis:				\$875.86	\$2,205.38	\$777.16	\$3,858.40
0189	Prince Donut Co.	3	4367	825	0.929	16.9175	3.1960	
		99	190	170	0.168	0.1331	0.1191	
	Totals:				1.097	17.0506	3.3151	A.A
	Cost Analysis:				\$538.88	\$14,413.72	\$1,525.28	\$16,477.88
E	ELIZABETH TOTALS				188.7850	1,876.1072	270.3294	
					\$92,736.41	\$1,585,970.69	\$124,379.04	\$1,803,086.14