December 20, 2013

To the Joint Meeting

Ladies and Gentlemen:

#### ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SEWAGE CONTRIBUTION OF THE MUNICIPALITIES ORGANIZED IN JOINT MEETING (FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE YEAR 2014)

I present herewith the 79<sup>th</sup> Annual Report for the eleven municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, covering their respective contribution to Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and to the Treatment Plant, as called for under the terms of the 1926 Contract.

Article X of the 1926 Contract requires that an estimate be made for the purpose of assessing the costs of maintenance and operation each year.

In order that the amount of work necessary for the preparation of this estimate be completed in time for consideration by the Joint Meeting before the date specified by law for the adoption of the new budget, it has been customary to use the twelve-month period immediately prior thereto as the basis of consideration for the assessment purpose only.

You will please note that this is the first of the two Annual Reports prepared each year, and that it covers the twelve-month period from November 1, 2012 through October 31, 2013. Subsequently, at the beginning of each succeeding year, a second and final report is prepared covering the previously completed calendar year, which coincides with the fiscal year of the Joint Meeting.

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, PL 92-500 and as a requirement of our Construction Grant for the expansion in Secondary Treatment, a "User Charge System" is used to apportion the operation and maintenance costs for the Joint Meeting for 2014. During the year 1978, a User Charge System was prepared and adopted by the member municipalities and is entitled "An Ordinance Establishing and Defining User Charges in Connection with the Collection and Treatment of Wastewater and Providing for the Payment of Said User Charges". During the year 2013, this "User Charge System" was utilized by the various municipalities for collection of the charges associated with the operation and maintenance of the trunk sewer system, the treatment plant, and the Sludge Dewatering Facility, the percentage of assessment based upon a report dated December 21, 2012.

During 2013, the various municipalities computed the actual dwelling units for each of their respective towns in accordance with the revised schedule included in the Sewer Use Ordinance. Table II depicts the new (2014) dwelling unit figures formulated by each municipality in 2013.

It should be noted that the methodology used to assess the City of Elizabeth for services rendered by the Joint Meeting is based on quantity and quality of sewage as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU's) tributary to the gravity Joint Meeting sewer through the Elmora Avenue Area in Elizabeth, plus the tributary area from the City of Linden. This methodology was approved by the Joint Meeting and the Elizabeth City Council. The percentage used in this assessment report for the City will be based upon a twelve (12) month, 365 day period of November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013 and projected for a twelve (12) month period in 2014. This allocation will be assessed and paid in accordance with the member municipalities billing procedure. Then, based on the analysis of the samples taken at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, an adjustment is made (on a quarterly basis) to the percent allocation from the City. At the end of 2014, utilizing twelve (12) months of data, the actual percent contribution by the City will be calculated and certified thereto.

The accompanying computations include the total Dwelling Unit number of 47,181 for the City. (This Equivalent Dwelling Unit number was computed by Elson T. Killam Associates during the period November 8, 1979 and December 12, 1979 and revised by the City Engineer on February 9, 1982).

#### **USER CHARGE APPORTIONMENT**

Set forth below are the basis factors concerning flow, waste characteristics and projected cost of operations of the Facilities derived for the 365 day period from November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013, and projected for 2014 and are based upon actual 2012-2013 operating statistics as well as a recent upgraded 2013 industrial waste survey. The industrial waste figures take into consideration actual yearly operational time for each industry (i.e., 5 day or 7 day working week, etc.).

1.	Flow	19,545.4650	MG/Year		53.5492	MGD
2.	BOD	15,6143904	Tons/Year		85,558.3036	Lbs/Day
	TSS	14,138.9999	Tons/Year		77,473.9721	Lbs/Day
3.	Estimated Indus	strial Flow	578.6670	MG/Year	1.5854	MGD
4.	Estimated Indus	strial BOD	3,658.7497	Tons/Year	20,047.9436	Lbs/Day
5.	Estimated Indus	strial TSS	579.8963	Tons/Year	3,177.5140	Lbs/Day
6.	Estimated Total	l Operating Ex	penses in 2014			
					\$21,798,977	STP
					6,886,738	SDWF
					301,080	SDF
				100	1,042,306	Sewers
				_	\$30,029,101	Total

7. Estimated Total Dwelling Units in System

151,474 JM Members 47,181 Elizabeth 198,655 Total In addition to the foregoing, it has been determined that the following cost allocations would fairly represent the actual costs of treatment.

Flow	33.7244494%
BOD	44.6501657%
Suspended Solids	21.6253849%

The basis for these cost allocations is set forth in Appendix A.

Based upon the foregoing allocations, Table I has been prepared which shows the projected and estimated flow and waste characteristics for 2014 and the projected estimated cost allocation between domestic sewage treated from residential and commercial establishments, and the industrial waste treatment reflecting industrial flow from industries in the collection system. This system takes into consideration the fact that the City of Elizabeth does not use the trunk sewer and will accordingly not be charged for its use. The trunk sewer charge is assessed only against member municipalities, and their respective industries.

# Table I Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties Estimated Flow Waste Characteristics and Cost Allocation for Treatment in 2014

#### Treatment Plant

		Domestic &	
	Total for 2014	Comm ercial	<u>Industrial</u>
Flow	19,545.4650 MG/	Yr. <sup>1</sup> 18,966.7980 MG/Yr.	578.6670 MG/Yr.
BOD	15,614.3904 Tons	s/Yr. <sup>1</sup> 11,955.6407 Tons/Yr	: 3,658.7497 Tons/Yr.
Suspended Solids	14,138.9999 Tons	s/Yr. 13,559.1036 Tons/Yr	579.8963 Tons/Yr.

<sup>(1)</sup> Based upon data from November 1, 2012 thru October 31, 2013 (365 days)

#### **Cost Allocation**

		Domestic &	
_	Total for 2014	Comm ercial_	Industrial
Flow	\$9,775,637.00	\$9,486,218.00	\$289,419.00
BOD	12,942,652.00	9,909,942.00	3,032,710.00
Suspended Solids	6,268,506.00	6,011,410.00	257,096.00
_	\$28,986,795.00	\$25,407,570.00	\$3,579,225.00
	100.000000%	87.65222230%	12.34777770%

#### Elizabeth Contribution

Flow	1	-	5,183.7420	MG/Yr.
BOD		-	6,224.4995	Tons/Yr.
TSS		-	3,692.4336	Tons/Yr.

#### Trunk Sewer Flow 1

	Domestic &	
Total for 2014	Comm ercial	Industrial
14.361.7230 MG/Yr. <sup>2</sup>	13.963.0910 MG/Yr.	398.632 MG/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2012 thru October 31, 2013 (365 days)

(2) (19,545.465 - 5,183.7420)

#### **COST ALLOCATION**

\$1,042,306.00 \$1,013,375.17 \$28,930.83

Member municipalities will be assessed \$6.69 (\$1,013,375.17/151,474) for trunk sewer O&M per equivalent dwelling unit.

The estimated cost for treating industrial wastes has been determined to be \$500.15 per MG for flow, \$828.89 per ton of BOD, and \$443.89 per ton of suspended solids. These estimates are predicated upon the cost allocation to industry and the estimates of flow and strength characteristics of the industrial waste, all as indicated on Table I. These costs were determined as follows:

Flow	\$289,419.00	/	578.6670 =	\$500.1477534 /MG
BOD	\$3,032,710.00	1	3,658.7497 =	\$828.8924492 /Ton
Suspended Solids	\$257,096.00	/	579.8963 =	\$443.3482331 /Ton

All industries within the member municipalities (excluding Elizabeth) will be required to pay an additional \$72.63/MG of flow for trunk sewer use (\$28,930.83/398.632 = \$72.632/MG). This brings the total flow cost to \$572.78/MG (\$500.148 + \$72.63) for the indicated industries of member municipalities.

Based upon the user charges for 2014, a computation has been made to show the budget prepayment for each municipality of the Joint Meeting. These estimates are subject to revision annually, dependent upon the actual number of dwelling units which must be determined for each municipality in accordance with the schedule of dwelling units set forth in the ordinance. In addition thereto, the computation for the industrial user charges has been based upon actual calculations of flow and waste characteristics for the industries in the district as a result of the 2013 industrial waste survey of the service area. These are estimates for 2014 and must be verified on an annual basis to reflect the actual flow and waste characteristics.

The basis for the industrial waste flow and characteristics are set forth in Appendix B of this report. The dwelling unit figures have been compiled by each municipality with the exception of Elizabeth in accordance with the revised Schedule of Dwelling Units incorporated into the User Charge Ordinance.

Table VII sets forth the cost to each municipality to establish the budget requirements of \$30,029,102.00 for 2014. However, it should be noted that in accordance with the Agreement between the Joint Meeting and the City of Elizabeth noted previously, and as a result of the monitoring of the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, the City would provide for 34.1765238% of the treatment plant, sludge dewatering facility and sludge drying facility budgets initially with adjustments made quarterly. Upon completion and verification of the quality and quantity of the City's contribution as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the EDU's from the Elmora Avenue area, plus the City of Linden's contribution, an adjustment will then be made for the member municipalities.

Table II

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities

Municipality Dwelling Units and Industrial User Charge

2014

Municipality	Dwelling Units 1	User Charges Industrial
East Orange	7,215	\$ -
Hillside	8,543	324,693
Irvington	26,957	858,213
Maplewood	9,585	9,851
Millburn	9,851 4	-
Newark	17,427	-
Roselle Park	4,752	682
South Orange	7,988	-
Summit	14,084 2	76,234 <sup>3</sup>
Union	24,995	351,589
West Orange	20,077	<del>-</del>
Elizabeth	47,181 198,655	1,986,898 \$ 3,608,160

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on actual calculation and verification by Municipalities; City of Elizabeth computed by Elson T. Killam Associates in 1979 and updated by City Engineer on February 9, 1982.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes New Providence (3515)

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes Murray Hill

<sup>(4)</sup> Includes Livingston (402)

#### Table III

## Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

# Computation of Estimated Percent

# Contribution By City of Elizabeth

For Period 11/1/2012-10/31/2013 and Projected Contribution for 2014

	Treatment Plant	Elizabeth Pu	mping	Station		Joint M	leeting
Flow:	19,545.465 MG	5,183.742	MG			14,361.723	MG
		Industrial	,	D&C		Industrial	D&C
		161.457	/	5,022.285		398.632	13,963.091
		\$ 80,752.36	\$	2,511,884.56	\$	228,305.73	\$ 7,996,983.87
Unit Charge	\$ 500.1477534 <b>Per MG</b> f	or Elizabeth			J	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Unit Charge	\$ 572.7230359 <b>Per MG</b> f	or Joint Meeting			т—		
BOD:	15,614.3904 Tons	6,224.4995	Tons			9,389.8909	Tons
		Industrial	,	D&C		Industrial	D&C
		2,055.129		4,169.3705		1,540.8698	7,849.0211
		\$ 1,703,480.91	\$	3,455,959.73	\$	1,277,215.34	
Unit Charge	\$828.8924492 Per Ton						
TSS:	14,138.9999 Tons	3,692.4336	Tons			10,446.5663	Tons
		Industrial	į	D&C		Industrial	D&C
		306.6023	/	3,385.8313		261.0537	10,185.5126
		\$ 135,931.59	\$	1,501,102.32	\$	115,737.70	
Unit Charge	\$443.3482331 Per Ton						
Omi Omigo	ψ 115.15 102051 = 1		\$	9,389,111.47	\$	1,621,258.77	
	City of Elizabeth (Contributi	on from Pumping St	tation)		\$	9,389,111.47	
	City of Elizabeth (Industry T	ributary to Joint Me	eting Tr	unk)			
	•					0.001.74	
		MG/Year at	•	500.1477534		9,291.74 52,013.75	
		Tons/Year at Tons/Year at		828.8924492 443.3482331		5,426.72	
	TSS: 12.2403	Tons/rear at		443.3402331		3,420.72	
	Joint Meeting Industrial					1,621,258.77	
	Subtotal				\$	11,077,102.45	
	Total 2014 Budget		\$ 3	0,029,101.00			
	Subtotal (above)			1,077,102.45)			
	Subtotal (EDU's)		\$ 1	8,951,998.55			

Joint Meeting EDU's (X + Y) + Elmora EDU's (X)= \$ 18,951,999

(Y = Additional Assessment to Member Municipalities for Trunk Sewer O&M)151,474 (X + \$6.690093151) + 3905 (X) = \$18,951,999

Equivalent Units-Member Municipalities

Elmora EDU's = 3,905 \$115.4507583390 Per Unit = X

\$122.1408514900 Per Unit = X+Y

Joint Meeting EDU Assessment \$ 18,501,163.34 Elmora Area Assessment \$ 450,835.21

Total Anticipated Payment from the City of Elizabeth

Pumping Station \$ 9,389,111.47

151,474

Elmora EDU's 450,835.21

Elmora Industrial 66,732.21

\$ 9,906,678.89

Total Anticipated Payment from Member Municipalities

EDU's 18,501,163.34

Industrial 1,621,258.77

\$ 20,122,422.11

Estimated Percent Contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facility Budgets by City of Elizabeth

\$ 9,906,678.89 / \$ 28,986,795.00 = 34.1765238%

Table IV Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Percent Allocation of Infiltration / Inflow

			Base Year - 1982	r - 1982			Base Year - 1982	1982		
	Capacity By	Capacity By Capacity By	Phase IIB - SSES	- SSES			Phase IIB - SSES 2	SES 2		
	Contract	Contract	Infiltration	ation	SSES - November 2013	uber 2013	Inflow		SSES - November 2013	er 2013
Municipality	(MGD)	(Percent)	(GPD) <sup>3</sup>	(Percent)	(GPD) 4	(Percent)	(GPD)	(Percent)	(GPD) 5	(Percent)
					32,773				206,403	
East Orange	5.50	4.88%	70,747	2.11%	44,022	2.18%	3,007,440	5.79%	218,160	0.53%
					24,655				1,113,799	
Hillside	12.20	10.83%	79,012	2.36%	32,980	1.64%	1,185,120	2.28%	1,185,120	2.86%
					593,981				7,150,124	
Irvington	18.61	16.52%	1,115,672	33.31%	797,026	39.51%	8,612,640	16.57%	7,610,400	18.36%
					148,683				2,005,618	
Maplewood	7.08	6.29%	389,078	11.62%	199,485	68.6	5,449,680	10.48%	2,134,800	5.15%
					113,504				2,239,282	
Millburn	6.00	5.33%	191,609	5.72%	152,240	7.55%	2,729,520	5.25%	2,384,640	5.75%
					137,558				1,834,264	
Newark	15.50	13.76%	234,484	7.00%	184,463	9.15%	1,959,540	3.77%	1,951,200	4.71%
					48,559				1,479,873	
Roselle Park	9.44	8.38%	106,187	3.17%	65,147	3.23%	1,576,080	3.03%	1,576,080	3.80%
					62,540				1,826,475	
South Orange	7.00	6.22%	410,876	12.27%	83,906	4.16%	2,183,760	4.20%	1,942,560	4.69%
					48,409				1,659,016	
Summit	7.50	99.9	171,657	5.13%	64,916	3.22%	3,651,120	7.02%	1,764,720	4.26%
					177,398				13,096,877	
Union	10.30	9.14%	329,127	9.83%	238,013	11.80%	14,534,640	27.96%	13,939,840	33.63%
					115,459				6,332,300	
West Orange	13.50	11.99%	250,811	7.48%	154,847	7.68%	7,097,040	13.65%	6,744,600	16.26%
٠					1,503,519				38,944,031	
	112.63	100.00%	3,349,260	100.00%	2,017,045	100.01%	51,986,580	100.00%	41,452,120	100.00%

(1) Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-2) with trunk sewer infiltration allocated based on contract capacity.

(2) Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-3)

(3) "Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 6.346%

(4) 2013 - Infiltration related to base year total =  $2.017~\mathrm{MGD}$  /  $52.79~\mathrm{MGD}$  = 3.82079939%

-Adjusted for period 11/1/2012 - 10/31/2013; (3.82079939% x 14,361.7230 = 548.73 MG / Year / 365 = 1,503,519 GPD)

(5) 2013 inflow adjusted for period  $11/1/2012 - 10/31/2013 - (31.21"/33.22") \times (41,452,120) = 38,944,031 \text{ GPD}$ 

Table IV - A
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Adjusted 2013 - Summary of Infiltration and Inflow

	Phase IIB SSES	2012	2013 Infiltration	2013	Phase IIB SSES	2012	2013 Inflow	2013
Municipality	Infiltration (GPD)	Infiltration (GPD)	Removed (GPD)	Infiltration (GPD)	Inflow (GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)
East Orange	70,747	44,022	1	44,022	3,007,440	218,160	I	218,160
Hillside	79,012	32,980	I	32,980	1,185,120	1,185,120	1	1,185,120
Irvington	1,115,672	797,026	I	797,026	8,612,640	7,610,400	ı	7,610,400
Maplewood	389,078	199,485	,	199,485	5,449,680	2,134,800	1	2,134,800
Millbum	191,609	152,240	•	152,240	2,729,520	2,384,640	ı	2,384,640
Newark	234,484	184,463	1	184,463	1,959,540	1,951,200	1	1,951,200
Roselle Park	106,187	65,147	ı	65,147	1,576,080	1,576,080	1	1,576,080
South Orange	410,876	83,906	1	83,906	2,183,760	1,972,080	29,520	1,942,560
Summit	171,657	64,916	ı	64,916	3,651,120	1,775,520	10,800	1,764,720
Union	329,127	238,013	ı	238,013	14,534,640	13,939,840	1	13,939,840
West Orange Total	3,349,260	154,847	1 1	2,017,045	7,097,040	6,744,600	40,320	6,744,600

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

	Cost Allocation - F	Cost Allocation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow	ation / Inflow	
	Total	Domestic & Commercial	ommercial	Industrial
Flow	14,361.7230 MG \$7,707,745.74	<del>⇔</del>	13,963.0910 MG 7,479,440.01	398.6320 MG
				\$228,305.73
Infiltration 3.8207994%	548.73		533.50 \$285,773.49	15.23
Inflow 8.96222559%	1,287.13	1,	1,251.40 \$670,322.30	35.73
BOD at \$828.8924492 Per Ton	9,389.8909 Tons		7,849.0211 Tons	1,540.8698 Tons
	\$7,783,209.67	\$6,505,	\$6,505,994.32	\$1,277,215.34
TSS at \$443.3482331 Per Ton	10,446.5663 Tors \$4,631,466.71	<i>⇔</i>	10,185.5126 Tons 4,515,729.01	261.0537 Tors \$115,737.70
Total	\$20,122,422.12	\$18,501,163.34	.163.34	\$1,621,258.77
(1) Amount anticipated from Members after allocation of	Jo uc	34.1765238% to City of Elizabeth	oeth \$ 9,906,678.89	
"Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD =	6.34590% (Pe	6.34590% (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)		
Inflow. 113 MGD (Excluding Elizabeth) / 137 MGD (Total) =	MGD (Total) ==	82.48	82.4817518% 1 inch of rain = 50 mg of inflow (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)	H&S Phase IIB Report)
"2012 - 2013" Infiltration: 2.017 MGD/52.79MGD=	3.82079939%	<b>X</b> 14,36 (November 1,	14,361,7230 548.73 MG / Year (November 1, 2012 - October 31, 2013)	
Inflow Rainfall = 31.21 Inches X 50 MG X 82.482%	.482%	1,287.13 MG / Year		
Total D&C Less. Infiltration Less. Inflow Net after infiltration and inflow Equivalent Dwelling Units User Charge Per Unit	\$18,501,163.34 (285,773.49) (670,322.30) \$17,545,067.55 151,474 \$115.82890496059			

Table VI Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities

			,	2014				
			ŭ	Domestic & Commercial	nercial			الإفاقية إن الأوراقية ومن الأخافة الناف المساف والمساف المساف المساف المساف المساف
	Dwelling	User Charge \$115.82890496	Infil	Infiltration	In	Inflow		
Municipality	Units	' '	Percent 1	Amount	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Amount	Total Cost	Unit Cost
East Orange	7,215	\$835,705.55	2.18%	\$6,229.86	0.53%	\$3,552.71	\$845,488.12	\$117.1848
Hillside	8,543	989,526.34	1.64%	4,686.69	2.86%	19,171.22	1,013,384.25	. 118.6216
Irvington	26,957	3,122,399.79	39.51%	112,909.11	18.36%	123,071.17	3,358,380.07	124.5829
Maplewood	9,585	1,110,220.05	%68.6	28,263.00	5.15%	34,521.60	1,173,004.65	122.3792
Millbum	9,851 <sup>3</sup>	1,141,030.54	7.55%	21,575.90	5.75%	38,543.53	1,201,149.97	121.9318
Newark	17,427	2,018,550.33	9.15%	26,148.27	4.71%	31,572.18	2,076,270.78	119.1410
Roselle Park	4,752	550,418.96	3.23%	9,230.48	3.80%	25,472.25	585,121.69	123.1317
South Orange	7,988	925,241.29	4.16%	11,888.18	4.69%	31,438.12	968,567.59	121.2528
Summit	14,084	1,631,334.30	3.22%	9,201.91	4.26%	28,555.73	1,669,091.94	118.5098
Union	24,995	2,895,143.48	11.80%	33,721.27	33.63%	225,429.39	3,154,294.14	126.1970
West Orange	20,077	2,325,496.92	7.68%	21,947.40	16.26%	108,994.41	2,456,438.73	122.3509
	151,474	\$17,545,067.55	100.01%	\$285,802.07	100.00%	\$670,322.31	\$18,501,191.93	\$122.1410 \$125.0975 2013
	(1) See Table IV - I	e IV - Infiltration for November of the Prior Year	November of t	he Prior Year				
-	(2) See Table (3) Includes	(2) See Table IV - Inflow for November of the Prior Year	ember of the P	rior Year				\$97.1815 2011
	(4) Includes	(4) Includes New Provi dence (3515)	(5)					

Table VI (Continued)
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2014
Industrial

				АПО	indus triai					
						How (N	How (MG / Year)			
	BOD	$BOD^{1}$	TSS	$TSS^{2}$	Dry	Infiltration	Inflow		Flow 3	Total
Municipality	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	Weather	3.820799%	8.962225%	Total	(\$/Year)	Cost
East Orange	0.0000	\$0.00	0.0000	\$0.00	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hillside	319.3745	264,727.11	38.3685	17,010.61	65.41	2.87	6.72	75.00	42,954.80	324,692.52
Irvington	952.0723	789,165.54	39.4244	17,478.74	78.53	3.44	8.07	90.04	51,567.98	858,212.26
Maplewood	6.3973	5,302.67	2.5598	1,134.88	5.20	0.23	0.53	5.96	3,412.86	9,850.41
Millbum	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Newark	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Roselle Park	0.1290	106.93	0.1548	68.63	0.77	0.03	0.08	0.88	506.29	681.85
South Orange	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Summit 4	28.6204	23,723.23	26.7360	11,853.36	61.91	2.71	6.36	70.99	40,655.89	76,232.48
Union	234.2763	194,189.86	153.8102	68,191.48	135.85	5.95	13.96	155.76	89,207.91	351,589.25
West Orange	0.0000	0.0000 0.000 1,540.8698 \$1,277,215.34	0.0000	0.00 \$115,737.70	347.67	0.00	0.00 35.73	0.00	\$228,305.73	\$1,621,258.77

<sup>(1)</sup> At \$828.8924492/Ton

<sup>(2)</sup> At \$443.3482331/Ton (3) At \$572.7230359/MG (4) Includes Muray Hill

Table VII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Summary of Estimated Annual Operational and Maintenance Expenses To Member Municipalities
2014

Total sessments 1 \$845,488.12	User Charge Domestic Sewage 115.828905 \$835,705.55	User Charges Industrial	Infiltration / Inflow Charges	Asses sment Percentage
\$845,488.12	\$835,705,55	<b>DO 00</b>		
	* y · <del></del>	\$0.00	\$9,782.57	4.2017%
1,338,076.77	989,526.34	324,692.52	23,857.91	6.6497%
4,216,592.33	3,122,399.79	858,212.26	235,980.28	20.9547%
1,182,855.06	1,110,220.05	9,850.41	62,784.60	5.8783%
1,201,149.97	1,141,030.54	0.00	60,119.43	5.9692%
2,076,270.78	2,018,550.33	0.00	57,720.45	10.3182%
585,803.54	550,418.96	681.85	34,702.73	2.9112%
968,567.59	925,241.29	0.00	43,326.30	4.8134%
1,745,324.42	1,631,334.30	76,232.48	37,757.64	8.6735%
3,505,883.39	2,895,143.48	351,589.25	259,150.66	17.4227%
2,456,438.73	2,325,496.92	0.00	130,941.81	12.2074%
	4,216,592.33 1,182,855.06 1,201,149.97 2,076,270.78 585,803.54 968,567.59 1,745,324.42 3,505,883.39	4,216,592.33       3,122,399.79         1,182,855.06       1,110,220.05         1,201,149.97       1,141,030.54         2,076,270.78       2,018,550.33         585,803.54       550,418.96         968,567.59       925,241.29         1,745,324.42       1,631,334.30         3,505,883.39       2,895,143.48	4,216,592.33       3,122,399.79       858,212.26         1,182,855.06       1,110,220.05       9,850.41         1,201,149.97       1,141,030.54       0.00         2,076,270.78       2,018,550.33       0.00         585,803.54       550,418.96       681.85         968,567.59       925,241.29       0.00         1,745,324.42       1,631,334.30       76,232.48         3,505,883.39       2,895,143.48       351,589.25	4,216,592.33       3,122,399.79       858,212.26       235,980.28         1,182,855.06       1,110,220.05       9,850.41       62,784.60         1,201,149.97       1,141,030.54       0.00       60,119.43         2,076,270.78       2,018,550.33       0.00       57,720.45         585,803.54       550,418.96       681.85       34,702.73         968,567.59       925,241.29       0.00       43,326.30         1,745,324.42       1,631,334.30       76,232.48       37,757.64         3,505,883.39       2,895,143.48       351,589.25       259,150.66

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects anticipated payment of \$9,906,651.30 from the City of Elizabeth which is 34.1765238% of allocation from Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying budget. Exact 2014 percent contribution to be calculated at the end of fiscal year. Quarterly adjustments to be made in accordance with Agreement between Elizabeth and Joint Meeting.

Table VIII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Comparison of 2014 Assessment with 2013 Assessment

				Compa	arison
Municipality	2013	2014		Amount	Percen tage
East Orange	\$867,024.73	\$845,488.12	(-)	(\$21,536.61)	-2.48%
Hillside	1,598,364.88	1,338,076.77	(-)	(260,288.11)	-16.28%
Irvington	3,513,760.55	4,216,592.33	(+)	702,831.78	20.00%
Maplewood	1,591,145.57	1,182,855.06	(-)	(408,290.51)	-25.66%
Millburn	1,228,395.93	1,201,149.97	(-)	(27,245.96)	-2.22%
Newark	2,128,022.38	2,076,270.78	(-)	(51,751.60)	-2.43%
Roselle Park	599,921.18	585,803.54	(-)	(14,117.64)	-2.35%
South Orange	994,330.15	968,567.59	(-)	(25,762.56)	-2.59%
Summit	1,812,322.82	1,745,324.42	(-)	(66,998.40)	-3.70%
Union	3,600,291.51	3,505,883.39	(-)	(94,408.12)	-2.62%
West Orange	2,516,336.30	2,456,438.73	(-)	(59,897.57)	-2.38%
C	\$20,449,916.00	\$20,122,450.70	(-)	(\$327,465.30)	-1.60%
Elizabeth	10,819,077.01	9,906,651.30	(-)	\$ (912,425.71)	-8.43%
Total	\$31,268,993.01	\$30,029,102.00	(-)	(\$1,239,891.01)	-3.97%

Note: City of Elizabeth percentage contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying Budget:

City of Elizabeth percentage

2010	34.62822260%
2011	38.46028870%
2012	38.46747020%.
2013	35.5058206%

Table III, IV, V, VI, and VII, have been prepared to reflect the Domestic Sewer Usage and Industrial User assessment to each municipality for 2014 as a result of the Agreement with the City of Elizabeth which requires 34.1765238% of the 2014 Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Budget to be paid in Quarterly assessments with adjustments made following the determination of the actual percentage of contribution for each quarter. The 34.1765238 figure is an estimate based upon actual monitoring of quality and quantity at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, etc., in accordance with the Agreement. The actual percentage of contribution will be determined following the completion of the annual audit of expenses. In addition, these tables reflect the costs associated with Infiltration / Inflow for the member municipalities, the percentages of which were determined from the Phase IIB SSES Reports, adjusted as a result of rehabilitation work, and updated in 2013.

#### **Summary and Certification**

On the basis of the measured usage of Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and the Treatment Plant, including the Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facilities, and an estimate of Dwelling Units and Waste Characteristics for the municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, and in accordance with the provisions of the 1926 contract, I hereby certify that the estimated maintenance and operating costs of the Joint Meeting for the 2014 are apportioned as follows:

	2014	2013		2014	2013
East Orange	4.2017%	4.2397%	Roselle Park	2.9112%	2.9336%
Hillside	6.6497%	7.8160%	South Orange	4.8134%	4.8623%
Irvington	20.9547%	17.1823%	Summit	8.6735%	8.8623%
Maplewood	5.8783%	7.7807%	Union	17.4227%	17.6054%
Millburn	5.9692%	6.0069%	West Orange	12.2074%	12.3048%
Newark	10.3182%	10.4060%	Total	100.0000%	100.0000%

Respectfully submitted,

A. Ralph LaMendola. P.E., BCEE

# Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A 2014 Budget

	Treatment Plant	Dewatering Facility	Drying Facility	Sewer	Total
Electric Service	\$ 844,000	\$ 111,000	\$ 5,000	\$ -	\$ 960,000
Gas Service	645,200	120,000	-	-	765,200
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	188,500	75,500	-	-	264,000
Water Service	150,000	85,000	3,500	-	238,500
Chemicals	1,951,625	655,900	6,800	2,200	2,616,525
Sludge Disposal	-	2,148,480	-	-	2,148,480
Insurance	541,500	108,000	50,500	22,000	722,000
Administration	3,952,686	1,145,504	10,000	222,933	5,331,123
Labor	5,100,000	1,050,000	135,000	16,000	6,301,000
Benefits	3,978,766	687,104	85,280	52,723	4,803,873
Equipment	332,200	87,000	-	· -	419,200
Printing & Stationery	21,000	2,000	in.	1,000	24,000
Maintenance, Supplies & Spare Parts	1,261,500	461,750	5,000	570,750	2,299,000
Screening Disposal	160,000	-	-	-	160,000
Reserve Contingency	115,000	-	-	-	115,000
Miscellaneous Expenses	177,500	87,500	-	120,000	385,000
Technical & Professional Services	662,500	50,000	-	25,000	737,500
Replacement Fund	1,250,000	-	-	-	1,250,000
NJPDES & Miscellaneous Permit Fee	467,000	12,000	-	9,700	488,700
	\$ 21,798,977	\$ 6,886,738	\$ 301,080	\$ 1,042,306	\$ 30,029,101
	72.59%	22.93%	1.00%	3.48%	100.00%

# Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

# Operations and Management Cost Allocations

(Treatment Plant	eatment Plai	ıt)
------------------	--------------	-----

	Estimated Total Cost	Percent Flow		Cost Flow	Percent SS		Cost SS	Percent BOD		Cost BOD
General Expenditures 1	\$ 3,729,525	80%	\$	2,983,620	10%	\$	372,953	10%	\$	372,952
Power	844,000	40%	\$	337,600	5%	\$	42,200	55%	\$	464,200
Chlorine	845,000	40%	\$	338,000				60%	\$	507,000
Maintenance, Equipment,	,									
Supplies, Spare Parts,									_	
& Replacement Fund	2,958,700	28%	\$	828,436	27%	\$	798,849	45%	\$	1,331,415
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	188,500	40%	\$	75,400	30%	\$	56,550	30%	\$	56,550
Administration, Labor & Benefits										
(Payroll Retirement)	13,031,452	40%		5,212,581	10%	\$	1,303,145	50%	\$	6,515,726
Sludge Processing 2	201,800				50%	\$	100,900	50%	\$	100,900
Total	\$21,798,977		-\$	9,775,637		\$	2,674,597		-\$	9,348,743
	100%		44	1.8444760%		12	2.2693693%		42	2.8861547%

				_	
Insurance	\$	541,500	Polymer	\$	170,000
Technical & Professional Services		662,500	K2MnO4		31,800
Stationery & Printing		21,000			
Gas		645,200			
Water		150,000			
Miscellaneous		177,500			
Service Contracts		160,000			
Permit Fees		467,000			
Sodium Bisulfite & Misc. Chemicals		904,825			
	\$3	3,729,525		\$	201,800

# Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

**Operations and Management Allocations** 

	Operations and 172	mugement 2 mile	44 U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U	
	Estimated			
	Total Cost	Cost Flow	Cost TSS	Cost BOD
Treatment Plant	\$ 21,798,977	\$ 9,775,637	\$ 2,674,597	\$ 9,348,743
Dewatering Facility	6,886,738		3,443,369	3,443,369
Drying Facility	301,080		150,540	150,540
	\$ 28,986,795	\$ 9,775,637	\$ 6,268,506	\$12,942,652
Subtotal	100.00%	33.7244494%	21.6253849%	44.6501657%
Sewers 1	\$ 1,042,306			
Total	\$ 30,029,101			

<sup>(1)</sup> Joint Meeting Members Only

## INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014 SUMMARY

		LOADINGS		
MUNICIPALITY	FLOW (MG)	BOD (tons)	TSS (tons)	
HILLSIDE	75.001	319.37445366	38.36845773	
IRVINGTON	90.040	952.07229233	39.42441265	
MAPLEWOOD	5.959	6.39728874	2.55983790	
MURRAY HILL	19.020	9.28769922	5.22321273	
ROSELLE PARK	0.884	0.12901980	0.15482376	
SUMMIT	51.967	19.33272882	21.51282567	
UNION	155.761	234.27633375	153.81022899	-
MEMBERS TOTAL	398.632	1540.86981632	261.05379943	
ELIZABETH	180.035	2117.87986203	318.84263897	
GRAND TOTAL	578.666	3658.74967835	579.89643840	
		costs		
MUNICIPALITY	FLOW	BOD	TSS	TOTAL
HILLSIDE	\$42,954.80	\$264,727.07	\$17,010.59	\$324,692.46
IRVINGTON	\$51,567.87	\$789,165.53	\$17,478.74	\$858,212.15
MAPLEWOOD	\$3,412.86	\$5,302.66	\$1,134.90	\$9,850.42
MURRAY HILL	\$10,893.19	\$7,698.50	\$2,315.70	\$20,907.40
ROSELLE PARK	\$506.29	\$106.94	\$68.64	\$681.87
SUMMIT	\$29,762.70	\$16,024.75	\$9,537.67	\$55,325.12
UNION	\$89,207.91	\$194,189.88	\$68,191.49	\$351,589.29
MEMBERS TOTAL	\$228,305.61	\$1,277,215.36	\$115,737.74	\$1,621,258.71
ELIZABETH	\$90,043.85	\$1,755,494.63	\$141,358.32	\$1,986,896.80
GRAND TOTAL	\$318,349.47	\$3,032,709.98	\$257,096.06	\$3,608,155.51

## INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014 COST FACTORS

	FLOW	BOD	TSS
MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES	\$572.7230359	\$828.8924492	\$443.3482331
ELIZABETH	\$500.1477534	\$828.8924492	\$443.3482331

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014

Municipality: HILLSIDE

						Cost Factors		
					Flow per MG	BOD per Ton \$828.8924492	TSS per Ton \$443,3482331	
			Concenti	ration	\$572.7230359			
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
10 #	INDOSTRI	0112	(111971)	(g,	()	(,,,,,,	()	
1042	GEC Marconi / BAE	1	2	3	7.355	0.0613	0.0920	
	Totals:				7.355	0.0613	0.0920	
	Cost Analysis:				\$4,212.38	\$50.84	\$40.79	\$4,304.02
	·							
					0.704	0.0700	4.0050	
1050	Manhattan Drug Co.	4	706	438	0.704	2.0726 2.0726	1.2858 1.2858	
	Totals:				0.704 <b>\$403.20</b>	\$1,717.95	\$570.07	\$2,691.22
	Cost Analysis:				\$403.20	\$1,717.55	\$570.07	\$2,051.22
1094	Oasis Foods	3	1267	335	8.089	42.7373	11.2999	
	(AAK Inc.)	99	198	177	1.059	0.8744	0.7816	
	Totals:				9.148	43.6117	12.0816	
	Cost Analysis:				\$5,239.27	\$36,149.42	\$5,356.34	\$46,745.03
1058	Quest Industries	2	69	61	0.394	0.1134	0.1002	
		99	198	177	0.005	0.0041	0.0037	
	Totals:				0.399	0.1175	0.1039	
	Cost Analysis:				\$228.52	\$97.39	\$46.07	\$371.98
1090	Union Beverages	1	1172	97	51.109	249.7819	20.6731	
		2	153	164	1.443	0.9206	0.9868	
	Totals:				52.552	250.7026	21.6599	40.45 500.40
	Cost Analysis:				\$30,097.74	\$207,805.49	\$9,602.89	\$247,506.12
						47.7050	0.0055	
1091	Hillside Beverage	2	2030	44	2.101	17.7852	0.3855 0.0996	
	T-4-1	99	198	177	0,135 2,236	0.1115 17.8966	0.4851	
	Totals:				\$1,280.61	\$14,834.39	\$215.08	\$16,330.08
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,200.01	<b>\$14,034.33</b>	φ2 15.00	\$ 10,550.06
			400	046	0.454	4.7833	2.5449	
1092	A&H Products	1	468	249	2.451	4.7833 0.1288	2.5449 0,1151	
	Totals:	99	198	177	0.156 2.607	0.1288 4.9121	0,1151 2.6601	
					\$1,493.09	\$4,071.58	\$1,179.35	\$6,744.02
	Cost Analysis:				φ1,453.US	φ <del>4,</del> υ/ 1.50	φ1,173.33	φυ, <i>ι</i> +4.02
			-					
	HILLSIDE TOTALS				75.0010	319.3745	38,3685	****
					\$42,954.80	\$264,727.07	\$17,010.59	\$324,692.46

#### **INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014**

Municipality: IRVINGTON

				Cost Factors							
			Concenti	efion	Flow per MG \$572,7230359	BOD per Ton \$828.8924492	TSS per Ton \$443,3482331				
			Ooncent	ation	SOUTHAND LANGUAGE CONTRACTOR AND						
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL			
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/i)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT			
2066	Wayne County Foods	1	3541	142	0.150	2.2149	0.0888				
		99	198	177	0.135	0.1115	0.0996				
	Totals:				0.285	2.3264	0.1885				
	Cost Analysis:				\$163.23	\$1,928.30	\$83.55	\$2,175.08			
2072	Clean-Tex Services	1	244	82	49.249	50,1099	16.8402				
		99	198	177	0.349	0.2882	0.2576				
	Totals:				49.598	50.3980	17.0978				
	Cost Analysis:				\$28,405.92	\$41,774.54	\$7,580.28	\$77,760.74			
2076	International Vitamin	1	565	133	2.513	5.9208	1.3937				
	Totals:				2.513	5.9208	1.3937				
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,439.25	\$4,907.67	\$617.91	\$6,964.83			
2077	Table Linen LLC	1	237	802	0,324	0.3202	1.0836				
		99	198	177	0.392	0.3237	0.2893				
					0.716	0.6439	1.3729				
					\$410.07	\$533.69	\$608.67	\$1,552.44			
3055	Maplewood Beverage	2	5885	125	36,361	892.3153	18.9532				
		99	198	177	0.567	0.4680	0.4183				
					36.928	892.7833	19.3715	<b>\$700.750.00</b>			
					\$21,149.40	\$740,021.32	\$8,588.33	\$769,759.06			
	IRVINGTON TOTALS				90.0398	952.0723	39.4244				
					\$51,567.87	\$789,165.53	\$17,478.74	\$858,212.15			

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014

#### Municipality: SUMMIT

						Cost Factors		
					Flow per MG	BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton	
			Concenti	ation	\$572,7230359	\$828.8924492	\$443,3482331	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
5511	Novartis Groundwater	4	2	1	0.881	0.0073	0.0037	
	Totals:				0.881	0.0073	0.0037	
	Cost Analysis:				\$504.57	\$6.09	\$1.63	\$512.29
5540	Committee Description	004	20	0.4	07.070	4.7400	F 0447	
5512	Summit Property Co.	03A	30	34	37.676	4.7133	5.3417	
	(MSD Corp)	99	198	177	3.182	2.6273	2.3486	
	Totals:				40.858	7.3405	7.6903	******
	Cost Analysis:				\$23,400.32	\$6,084.50	\$3,409.48	\$32,894.30
5513	Celgene Corporation	1	281	324	10.228	11.9849	13,8188	
0010	Totals:	•	201	021	10.228	11.9849	13.8188	
	Cost Analysis:				\$5,857.81	\$9,934.16	\$6,126.56	\$21,918.54
	SUMMIT TOTALS				51,9670	19.3327	21.5128	
					\$29,762.70	\$16,024.75	\$9,537.67	\$55,325.12

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014

Municipality: MAPLEWOOD

						Cost Factors BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton	
			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG			
			Concent	auon	\$572.7230359 \$828.8924492 \$443.3482331			
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
3020	Gleason Cleaners	1	244	52	0.605	0.6156	0.1312	
		99	198	177	0.120	0.0991	0.0886	
	Totals	:			0.725	0.7147	0.2198	
	Cost Analysis	:			\$415.22	\$592.37	\$97.43	\$1,105.03
3033	NJ Transit - Hilton Gar.	3a	297	375	1.106	1.3698	1.7295	
		4	415	42	2.164	3.7449	0.3790	
	Totals	:			3.270	5,1147	2,1085	
	Cost Analysis				\$1,872.80	\$4,239.52	\$934.80	\$7,047.13
3046	UniClean	1	57	14	1.792	0.4259	0,1046	
0040	Cinoican	99	198	177	0.172	0.1420	0.1270	
	Totals				1.964	0,5680	0.2316	
	Cost Analysis				\$1,124.83	\$470.77	\$102.67	\$1,698.27
N	IAPLEWOOD TOTALS				5.9590	6.3973	2.5598	
					\$3,412.86	\$5,302.66	\$1,134.90	\$9,850.42

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014

Municipality: MURRAY HILL

						Cost Factors		
		Concentration			Flow per MG			
					\$572.7230359	\$828.8924492	\$443.3482331	
			BOD	) TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
5010	Alcatel-Lucentd USA	1	7	20	12.381	0.3614	1.0326	
	Totals:				12.381	0.3614	1.0326	
	Cost Analysis:				\$7,090.88	\$299.56	\$457.79	\$7,848.24
5011	Baxter Pharmaceutical	1	339	819	0.099	0.1399	0.3381	
0011	Totals:	•	555	0.0	0.099	0.1399	0.3381	
	Cost Analysis:				\$56.70	\$116.00	\$149.90	\$322.60
5020	Fablok Mills	4	437	171	4.542	8.2768	3.2388	
0020	I abiok milis	99	198	177	0.138	0.1139	0.1019	
	Totals:		,00	• • • •	4.6800	8,3908	3.3406	
	Cost Analysis:				\$2,680.34	\$6,955.06	\$1,481.06	\$11,116.46
5021	FRC-Electrical Ind	1	51	66	. 1.860	0.3956	0.5119	
0021	Totals:	•	٠.		1.860	0.3956	0.5119	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,065.26	\$327.88	\$226.95	\$1,620.10
M	IURRAY HILL TOTALS				19.0200	9.2877	5.2232	
	•				\$10,893.19	\$7,698.50	\$2,315.70	\$20,907.40

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014

#### Municipality: ROSELLE PARK

						Cost Factors		
			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG \$572,7230359	All and the control of the control o	TSS per Ton 443,3482331	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
6005	Hexacon Electric Tota Cost Analys		35	42	0.884 0.884 <b>\$506.29</b>	0.1290 0.1290 <b>\$106.94</b>	0.1548 0.1548 <b>\$68.64</b>	\$681.87
RO	SELLE PARK TOTALS				0.8840 <b>\$506.29</b>	0.1290 <b>\$106.94</b>	0.1548 <b>\$68.64</b>	\$681.87

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014

#### Municipality: UNION

						Cost Factors	•	
			Concent	ration	Flow per MG \$572.7230359	BOD per Ton \$828,8924492	TSS per Ton \$443,3482331	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
7015	ACuPowder	3 (99) 4	198 104	177 116	0.016 0.353	0.0132 0.1531	0.0118 0.1708	
	Total		104	110	0.369	0.1663	0.1826	
	Cost Analysis				\$211.33	\$137.84	\$80.94	\$430.12
7035	American Products	1	198	270	0.469	0.3872	0.5280	
	Total	s:			0.469	0.3872	0.5280	
	Cost Analysis	s:			\$268.61	\$320.98	\$234.11	\$823.69
7070	Durex	1	102	204	3.283	1.3964	2.7928	
	Total:	s:			3.283	1.3964	2.7928	
	Cost Analysis	s:			\$1,880.25	\$1,157.46	\$1,238.18	\$4,275.88
7077	Siemens Water Technology	4	178	275	1.780	1.3212	2.0412	
	Totals				1.780	1.3212	2.0412	
	Cost Analysis	s:			\$1,019.45	\$1,095.15	\$904.97	\$3,019.57
7080	Foremost Mfg	2	13	195	14.574	0.7901	11.8508	
	Totals				14.574	0.7901	11.8508	444
	Cost Analysis	S:			\$8,346.87	\$654.87	\$5,254.05	\$14,255.79
7092	International Paint	2	41	36	6.809	1.1641	1.0222	
	Totals				6.809	1.1641	1.0222	A
	Cost Analysis	S:			\$3,899.67	\$964.94	\$453.18	\$5,317.79
7145	Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.	5	9	13	71.958	2.7006	3.9008	
	(Schering)				71.958	2.7006	3.9008	£45 470 02
	Cost Analysis	s.			\$41,212.00	\$2,238.49	\$1,729.43	\$45,179.93
7155	Tessler & Weiss	22	107	180	1.283	0.5725	0.9630	
	Totals				1.283	0.5725 \$474.51	0.9630 <b>\$426.95</b>	\$1,636.27
	Cost Analysis	<b>5.</b>			\$734.80	\$474.51	<b>#420.33</b>	\$1,030.2 <i>[</i>
7167	Turbo Braze	1	380	442	0.194	0.3074	0.3576	
	Totals Cost Analysis				0.194 <b>\$111.11</b>	0.3074 <b>\$254.81</b>	0.3576 <b>\$158.53</b>	\$524.45
	OOSt Analysis	٥.			Ψ	Ψ20-7.01	ψ.00.00	<b>₩</b>

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014

Municipality: UNION

				_		Cost Factors		
			Concentration		Flow per MG \$572.7230359	BOD per Ton \$828,8924492	TSS per Ton \$443,3482331	
			Concent	iauon [	ψυ/ <b>Σ.1 Συυυ</b> σου	APERTONOUS		
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
7191	Cintas	1	267	291	27.351	30.4523	33.1896	
	To	otals:			27.351	30.4523	33.1896	
	Cost Ana	lysis:			\$15,664.55	\$25,241.71	\$14,714.56	\$55,620.81
7192	Merril Corporation	1	259	295	0.834	0.9007	1.0259	
1152		otals:	209	290	0.834	0.9007	1.0259	
	Cost Ana				\$477.65	\$746.62	\$454.85	\$1,679.12
7193	Lioni Latticini	1	2486	694	6.964	72.1931	20.1537	
		99	198	177	0.702	0.5796	0.5181	
	To	otals:			7.666	72.7728	20.6718	
	Cost Ana	lysis:			\$4,390.49	\$60,320.79	\$9,164.81	\$73,876.09
7194	BASF Catalysts	1 99	145	260	0.077	0.0466	0.0835	
	т.	otals:	198	177	0.864 0.941	0.7134 0.7599	0.6377 0.7212	
	Cost Ana				\$538 <b>.9</b> 3	\$629.90	\$319.74	\$1,488.57
	Cost Alia	iysis.			<b>\$536.53</b>	<b>\$625.50</b>	<b>\$313.74</b>	ψ1,400.5 <i>1</i>
7195	Deep Foods	1	1597	987	18.087	120,4502	74,4423	
	•	2(99)	198	177	0.163	0.1346	0.1203	
	To	otals:			18.25	120.5848	74.5626	
	Cost Ana	lysis:			\$10,452.20	\$99,951.81	\$33,057.20	\$143,461.21
	UNION TOTALS				155.7610	234,2763	153.8102	
	·.				\$89,207.91	\$194,189.88	\$68,191.49	\$351,589.29

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014

#### Municipality: ELIZABETH

			Concentr	ration	Flow per MG \$500.1477534			
					SECULIARE CORE ACTUAL RECEIVED AND ACTUAL AC	\$828.8924492	\$443,3482331	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
0192	Wild Flavors Inc. Totals:	1	3457	308	0.159 0.159	2.2921 2.2921	0.2042 0.2042	
	Cost Analysis:				\$79.52	\$1,899.90	\$90.54	\$2,069.96
0037	Deb-El Foods Totals:	1	2535	445	5.229 5.229	55.2755 55.2755	9.7032 9.7032	
	Cost Analysis:				\$2,615.27	\$45,817.44	\$4,301.89	\$52,734.61
0062	Garcia Laundry	2	982	271	2.185	8.9474	2.4692	
	Totals:	99	198	177	0.114 2.299	0.0941 9.0416	0.0841 2,5533	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,149.84	\$7,494.49	\$1,132.02	\$9,776.35
	Actavis (formerly	_						
0067	Purepac) Totals:	3	810	158	18.578 18.578	62.7509 62.7509	12.2403 12.2403	
	Cost Analysis:				\$9,291.74	\$52,013.76	\$5,426.72	\$66,732.22
0070	LORCO Totals:	2	10491	91	21.466 21.466	939,0832 939,0832	8.1457 8.1457	
	Cost Analysis:				\$10,736.17	\$778,398.97	\$3,611.38	\$792,746.52
	Mastercraft							
0075	Electroplating Totals:	99	198	177	0.027 0.027	0.0223 0.0223	0.0199 0.0199	
	Cost Analysis:				\$13.50	\$18.48	\$8.84	\$40.82
0078	Magnolia Beef	1 99	1728 198	524 177	0.149	1.0737	0.3256	
	Totals:	99	198	177	0.192 0.341	0.1585 1.2322	0.1417 0.4673	
	Cost Analysis:				\$170.55	\$1,021.35	\$207.17	\$1,399.07
0093	OENJ Totals:	4	11	32	2.578 2.578	0.1183 0.1183	0.3440	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,289.38	\$98.02	0.3440 <b>\$152.52</b>	\$1,539.92
0098	Olympia Trails	1	654	195	2.061	5.6207	1.6759	
	Totals:	99	198	177	0.439 2.500	0.3625 5.9832	0.3240 1.9999	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,250.37	\$4,959.41	\$886.66	\$7,096.45
	Michaels Foods - North		0.455		20.045	500.000-	100.045	
0100	Avenue Facility	4 4a	2155 3455	686 718	66.015 9.168	593,2339 132.0866	188.8438 27.4495	
		4b	1510	364	8.798	55.3984	13.3543	
	***_j.1	99	198	177	0.457	0.3773	0.3373	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				84.438 <b>\$42,231.48</b>	781.0962 <b>\$647,444.72</b>	229.9850 <b>\$101,963.44</b>	\$791,639.63

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2014

#### Municipality: ELIZABETH

						Cost Factors		
			Concenti	ration	Flow per MG \$500.1477534	BOD per Ton \$828.8924492	TSS per Ton \$443.3482331	
			BOD	TSS	· FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
	Michaels Foods -							
0105	Papetti Plaza Facility	2	3082	437	15.221	195.6194	27.7371	
		2a	2414	338	1.671	16.8209	2.3552	
•		2b	2055	390	1.802	15.4420	2.9306	
		3	381	459	0.145	0.2304	0.2775	
	Totals				18.8390	228.1126	33.3004	
	Cost Analysis	:			\$9,422.28	\$189,080.84	\$14,763.68	\$213,266.81
0120	Phelps Dodge	99	198	177	0.218	0.1800	0.1609	
0120	Totals		190	177	0.218	0.1800	0.1609	
	Cost Analysis				\$109.03	\$149.20	\$71.34	\$329.56
	OUST Allalysis				\$103.03	ψ143.20	φ/ 1.5 <del>4</del>	<b>\$323.30</b>
	Superior Powder							
0148	Coating	1	172	34	2.637	1.8914	0.3739	
		99	198	177	0.481	0.3971	0.3550	
	Totals				3.118	2.2885	0.7289	
	Cost Analysis	:			\$1,559.46	\$1,896.92	\$323.15	\$3,779.54
0155	Duro Bag	1	301	211	5,115	6.4202	4.5005	
0,00		2	61	45	3.050	0,7758	0.5723	
		3	306	546	1.182	1,5083	2.6912	
	Totals				9.347	8.7043	7.7641	
	Cost Analysis	:			\$4,674.88	\$7,214.91	\$3,442.19	\$15,331.98
0165	Wakefern Food Corp.	2	52	104	3.340	0.7242	1.4485	
		3a 4	287	298	3.890	4.6555	4.8339	
		99 (7)	198	177	0,269	0,2221	0.1985	
	Totals				7.499	5,6019	6.4810	
	Cost Analysis	:			\$3,750.61	\$4,643.34	\$2,873.33	\$11,267.28
0470	814 Americas	3	402	000	2.168	0.0040		
0178	814 Americas	99	402 198	236 177	2.168 0.119	3.6343	2.1336	
	Totals		190	177	2.287	0.0983 3.7326	0.0878 2.2214	
	Cost Analysis				\$1,143.84	\$3,093.89	\$984.86	\$5,222.58
	OUST Arialysis.	•			φ1,145.04	<b>Ф</b> 3,033.03	φ304.00	\$5,222.50
0189	Prince Donut Co.	3	3109	610	0.943	12,2256	2.3987	
		99	198	177	0.169	0.1391	0.1244	
	Totals	:			1.112	12.3647	2.5231	
	Cost Analysis	:			\$555.91	\$10,248.99	\$1,118.60	\$11,923.50
F	ELIZABETH TOTALS				180.0345	2,117.8799	318.8426	
•					\$90,043.85	\$1,755,494.63	\$141,358.32	\$1,986,896.80