December 16, 2011

To the Joint Meeting

Ladies and Gentlemen:

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SEWAGE CONTRIBUTION OF THE MUNICIPALITIES ORGANIZED IN JOINT MEETING (FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE YEAR 2012)

I present herewith the 77th Annual Report for the eleven municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, covering their respective contribution to Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and to the Treatment Plant, as called for under the terms of the 1926 Contract.

Article X of the 1926 Contract requires that an estimate be made for the purpose of assessing the costs of maintenance and operation each year.

In order that the amount of work necessary for the preparation of this estimate be completed in time for consideration by the Joint Meeting before the date specified by law for the adoption of the new budget, it has been customary to use the twelve-month period immediately prior thereto as the basis of consideration for the assessment purpose only.

You will please note that this is the first of the two Annual Reports prepared each year, and that it covers the twelve-month period from November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2011. Subsequently, at the beginning of each succeeding year, a second and final report is prepared covering the previously completed calendar year, which coincides with the fiscal year of the Joint Meeting.

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, PL 92-500 and as a requirement of our Construction Grant for the expansion in Secondary Treatment, a "User Charge System" is used to apportion the operation and maintenance costs for the Joint Meeting for 2012. During the year 1978, a User Charge System was prepared and adopted by the member municipalities and is entitled "An Ordinance Establishing and Defining User Charges in Connection with the Collection and Treatment of Wastewater and Providing for the Payment of Said User Charges". During the year 2011, this "User Charge System" was utilized by the various municipalities for collection of the charges associated with the operation and maintenance of the trunk sewer system, the treatment plant, and the Sludge Dewatering Facility, the percentage of assessment based upon a report dated December 17, 2010.

During 2011, the various municipalities computed the actual dwelling units for each of their respective towns in accordance with the revised schedule included in the Sewer Use Ordinance. Table II depicts the new (2012) dwelling unit figures formulated by each municipality in 2011.

It should be noted that the methodology used to assess the City of Elizabeth for services rendered by the Joint Meeting is based on quantity and quality of sewage as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU's) tributary to the gravity Joint Meeting sewer through the Elmora Avenue Area in Elizabeth, plus the tributary area from the City of Linden. This methodology was approved by the Joint Meeting and the Elizabeth City Council. The percentage used in this assessment report for the City will be based upon a twelve (12) month, 366 day period of November 1, 2010, through October 31, 2011 and projected for a twelve (12) month period in 2012. This allocation will be assessed and paid in accordance with the member municipalities billing procedure. Then, based on the analysis of the samples taken at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, an adjustment is made (on a quarterly basis) to the percent allocation from the City. At the end of 2012, utilizing twelve (12) months of data, the actual percent contribution by the City will be calculated and certified thereto.

The accompanying computations include the total Dwelling Unit number of 47,181 for the City. (This Equivalent Dwelling Unit number was computed by Elson T. Killam Associates during the period November 8, 1979 and December 12, 1979 and revised by the City Engineer on February 9, 1982).

USER CHARGE APPORTIONMENT

Set forth below are the basis factors concerning flow, waste characteristics and projected cost of operations of the Facilities derived for the 365 day period from November 1, 2010, through October 31, 2011, and projected for 2012 and are based upon actual 2010-2011 operating statistics as well as a recent upgraded 2011 industrial waste survey. The industrial waste figures take into consideration actual yearly operational time for each industry (i.e., 5 day or 7 day working week, etc.).

| 1. | Flow | 23,074.7210 | MG/Year | | 63.2184 | MGD |
|----|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| 2. | BOD | 15,891.2093 | Tons/Year | | 87,075.1195 | Lbs/Day |
| | TSS | 13,002.9719 | Tons/Year | | 71,249.1611 | Lbs/Day |
| | | | | | | |
| 3. | Estimated Indus | strial Flow | 639.5940 | MG/Year | 1.7523 | MGD |
| 4. | Estimated Indus | strial BOD | 3,801.4439 | Tons/Year | 20,829.8296 | Lbs/Day |
| 5. | Estimated Indus | strial TSS | 807.2313 | Tons/Year | 4,423.1852 | Lbs/Day |
| 6. | Estimated Total | l Operating Ex | penses in 2012 | | | |
| | | | | | \$18,285,958 | STP |
| | | | | y.**. | 7,062,994 | SDWF |
| | | | | | 518,684 | SDF |
| | | | | | 828,253 | Sewers |
| | | | | | \$26,695,889 | Total |
| | | | | | | |

7. Estimated Total Dwelling Units in System

| 151,474 | JM Members |
|---------|------------|
| 47,181 | Elizabeth |
| 198,655 | Total |

In addition to the foregoing, it has been determined that the following cost allocations would fairly represent the actual costs of treatment.

| Flow | 31.2143406% |
|------------------|-------------|
| BOD | 45.1677030% |
| Suspended Solids | 23.6179564% |

The basis for these cost allocations is set forth in Appendix A.

Based upon the foregoing allocations, Table I has been prepared which shows the projected and estimated flow and waste characteristics for 2012 and the projected estimated cost allocation between domestic sewage treated from residential and commercial establishments, and the industrial waste treatment reflecting industrial flow from industries in the collection system. This system takes into consideration the fact that the City of Elizabeth does not use the trunk sewer and will accordingly not be charged for its use. The trunk sewer charge is assessed only against member municipalities, and their respective industries.

Table I Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties Estimated Flow Waste Characteristics and Cost Allocation for Treatment in 2012

Treatment Plant

| | | Domestic & | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | Total for 2012 | Commercial | Industrial |
| Flow | 23,074.7210 MG/Yr. 1 | 22,435.1270 MG/Yr. | 639.5940 MG/Yr. |
| BOD | 15,891.2093 Tons/Yr. ¹ | 12,089.7654 Tons/Yr. | 3,801.4439 Tons/Yr. |
| Suspended Solids | 13,002.9719 Tons/Yr. ¹ | 12,195.7406 Tons/Yr. | 807.2313 Tons/Yr. |

⁽¹⁾ Based upon data from November 1, 2010 thru October 31, 2011 (365 days)

Cost Allocation

| | | Domestic & | |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | Total for 2012 | Comm ercial_ | Industrial |
| Flow | \$8,074,412.00 | \$7,850,602.00 | \$223,810.00 |
| BOD | 11,683,817.00 | 8,888,852.00 | 2,794,965.00 |
| Suspended Solids | 6,109,407.00 | 5,730,132.00 | 379,275.00 |
| · | \$25,867,636.00 | \$22,469,586.00 | \$3,398,050.00 |
| | 100.000000% | 86.86370100% | 13.13629900% |

Elizabeth Contribution

| Flow | - | 6,214.6940 | MG/Yr. |
|------|---|------------|----------|
| BOD | - | 7,656.8531 | Tons/Yr. |
| TSS | - | 3,596.2081 | Tons/Yr. |

Trunk Sewer Flow 1

| | Domestic & | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Total for 2012 | Commercial | Industrial |
| 16,860.0270 MG/Yr. ² | 16,455.8060 MG/Yr. | 404.221 MG/Yr. |

- (1) Based upon data from November 1, 2010 thru October 31, 2011 (365 days)
- (2) (23,074.721 6,214.6940)

COST ALLOCATION

\$828,253.00 \$808,395.54 \$19,857.46

Member municipalities will be assessed \$5.34 (\$808,395.54/151,474) for trunk sewer O&M per equivalent dwelling unit.

The estimated cost for treating industrial wastes has been determined to be \$349.93 per MG for flow, \$735.24 per ton of BOD, and \$469.85 per ton of suspended solids. These estimates are predicated upon the cost allocation to industry and the estimates of flow and strength characteristics of the industrial waste, all as indicated on Table I. These costs were determined as follows:

| Flow | \$223,810.00 | / | 639.5940 = | \$349.9251087 | /MG |
|------------------|----------------|---|--------------|---------------|------|
| BOD | \$2,794,965.00 | / | 3,801.4439 = | \$735.2377343 | /Ton |
| Suspended Solids | \$379,275.00 | / | 807.2313 = | \$469.8467465 | /Ton |

All industries within the member municipalities (excluding Elizabeth) will be required to pay an additional \$49.13/MG of flow for trunk sewer use (\$19,857.46/404.221 = \$49.125/MG). This brings the total flow cost to \$399.06/MG (\$349.925 + \$49.13) for the indicated industries of member municipalities.

Based upon the user charges for 2012, a computation has been made to show the budget prepayment for each municipality of the Joint Meeting. These estimates are subject to revision annually, dependent upon the actual number of dwelling units which must be determined for each municipality in accordance with the schedule of dwelling units set forth in the ordinance. In addition thereto, the computation for the industrial user charges has been based upon actual calculations of flow and waste characteristics for the industries in the district as a result of the 2011 industrial waste survey of the service area. These are estimates for 2012 and must be verified on an annual basis to reflect the actual flow and waste characteristics.

The basis for the industrial waste flow and characteristics are set forth in Appendix B of this report. The dwelling unit figures have been compiled by each municipality with the exception of Elizabeth in accordance with the revised Schedule of Dwelling Units incorporated into the User Charge Ordinance.

Table VII sets forth the cost to each municipality to establish the budget requirements of \$26,695,889.00 for 2012. However, it should be noted that in accordance with the Agreement between the Joint Meeting and the City of Elizabeth noted previously, and as a result of the monitoring of the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, the City would provide for 38.4674702% of the treatment plant, sludge dewatering facility and sludge drying facility budgets initially with adjustments made quarterly. Upon completion and verification of the quality and quantity of the City's contribution as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the EDU's from the Elmora Avenue area, plus the City of Linden's contribution, an adjustment will then be made for the member municipalities.

Table II

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities

Municipality Dwelling Units and Industrial User Charge

2012

| Municipality | Dwelling Units ¹ | User Charges Industrial |
|--------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| East Orange | 7,215 | \$ - |
| Hillside | 8,534 | 333,630 |
| Irvington | 27,006 | 48,330 |
| Maplewood | 9,561 | 270,801 |
| Millburn | 9,822 4 | - |
| Newark | 17,427 | - |
| Roselle Park | 4,752 | 441 |
| South Orange | 8,000 | - |
| Summit | 14,101 ² | 97,943 ³ |
| Union | 25,006 | 364,207 |
| West Orange | 20,050 | - |
| Elizabeth | 47,181 198,655 | 2,302,554 \$ 3,417,906 |

⁽¹⁾ Based on actual calculation and verification by Municipalities; City of Elizabeth computed by Elson T. Killam Associates in 1979 and updated by City Engineer on February 9, 1982.

⁽²⁾ Includes New Providence (3625)

⁽³⁾ Includes Murray Hill

⁽⁴⁾ Includes Livingston (409)

Table III

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Computation of Estimated Percent

Contribution By City of Elizabeth

For Period 11/1/2010-10/31/2011 and Projected Contribution for 2012

| | Treatment Plant | Elizabeth Po | ımping Station | Joint M | Ieeting |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Flow: | 23,074.721 MG | 6,214.694 | MG | 16,860.027 | MG |
| | | Industrial | D&C | Industrial | D& C |
| | | 217.135 | 5,997.559 | 404.221 | 16,455.806 |
| | | \$ 75,980.99 | \$ 2,098,696.49 | \$ 161,304.54 | \$ 6,566,695.38 |
| Unit Charge Unit Charge | | for Elizabeth for Joint Meeting | | | |
| BOD: | 15,891.2093 Tons | 7,656.8531 | Tons | 8,234.3562 | Tons |
| | | Industrial | D&C | Industrial | D& C |
| • | | 2,601.8588 | 5,054.9943 | 1,113.4937 | 7,120.8625 |
| Unit Charge | \$735.2377343 Per Ton | \$ 1,912,984.77 | \$ 3,716,622.56 | \$ 818,682.59 | |
| TSS: | 13,002.9719 Tons | 3,596.2081 | Tons | 9,406.7638 | Tons |
| | | Industrial | D&C | Industrial | D& C |
| | , | 508.7038 | 3,087.5043 | 288.1083 | 9,118.6555 |
| | | \$ 239,012.83 | \$ 1,450,653.85 | \$ 135,366.75 | |
| Unit Charge | \$469.8467465 Per Ton | | | | |
| | | | \$ 9,493,951.49 | \$ 1,115,353.88 | |
| | City of Elizabeth (Contributi | on from Pumping S | tation) | \$ 9,493,951.49 | |
| | City of Elizabeth (Industry T | ributary to Joint Me | eting Trunk) | | |
| | |) MG/Year at | \$ 349.9251087 | 6,381.93 | |
| | | Tons/Year at | 735.2377343 | 63,297.65 | |
| | TSS: 10.4192 | ? Tons/Year at | 469.8467465 | 4,895.43 | |
| | Joint Meeting Industrial | | | 1,115,353.88 | |
| | Subtotal | | | \$ 10,683,880.38 | |
| | Total 2012 Budget | | \$ 26,695,889.00 | | |
| | Subtotal (above) | | (10,683,880.38) | | |
| | Subtotal (EDU's) | | \$ 16,012,008.62 | | |

Joint Meeting EDU's (X + Y) + Elmora EDU's (X)= \$ 16,012,009 (Y = A dditional Assessment to Member Municipalities for Trunk Sewer O&M)

151,474 (X + \$5.336860055) + 3905 (X) = \$ 16,012,009

Equivalent Units-Member Municipalities

151,474

Elmora EDU's = 3,905 \$ 97.8485707850 Per Unit = X

103.1854308400 Per Unit = X+Y

Joint Meeting EDU Assessment \$ 15,629,909.95 Elmora Area Assessment \$ 382,098.67

Total Anticipated Payment from the City of Elizabeth

Pumping Station \$ 9,493,951.49

Elmora EDU's 382,098.67

Elmora Industrial 74,575.01

\$ 9,950,625.17

Total Anticipated Payment from Member Municipalities

EDU's 15,629,909.95

Industrial 1,115,353.88

\$ 16,745,263.83

Estimated Percent Contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facility Budgets by City of Elizabeth

\$ 9,950,625.17 / \$ 25,867,636.00 = 38.4674702%

Table IV

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Percent Allocation of Infiltration / Inflow

| | Capacity By | Capacity By | Base Year - 1982 Phase IIB - SSES ¹ | r - 1982 - SSES ¹ | | | Base Year - 1982 Phase IIB - SSES ² | 1982 SES ² | | |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| | Contract | Contract | Infiltration | ation | SSES - November 2011 | nber 2011 | Inflow | | SSES - November 2011 | er 2011 |
| Municipality | (MGD) | (Per cent) | (GPD) ³ | (Percent) | (GPD) ⁴ | (Percent) | (GPD) | (Percent) | (GPD) ⁵ | (Percent) |
| | | | | | 38,475 | | | | 383,179 | |
| East Orange | 5.50 | 4.88% | 70,747 | 2.11% | 44,022 | 2.18% | 3,007,440 | 5.79% | 218,160 | 0.52% |
| | | | | | 28,944 | | | | 2,092,746 | |
| Hillside | 12.20 | 10.83% | 79,012 | 2.36% | 32,980 | 1.64% | 1,185,120 | 2.28% | 1,185,120 | 2.84% |
| | | | | | 697,314 | | | | 13,779,703 | |
| Irvington | 18.61 | 16.52% | 1,115,672 | 33.31% | 797,026 | 39.51% | 8,612,640 | 16.57% | 7,791,840 | 18.70% |
| | | | | | 174,549 | | | | 3,772,838 | |
| Maplewood | 7.08 | 6.29% | 389,078 | 11.62% | 199,485 | %68.6 | 5,449,680 | 10.48% | 2,134,800 | 5.12% |
| | | | | | 133,250 | | | | 4,214,968 | |
| Millburn | 90.90 | 5.33% | 191,609 | 5.72% | 152,240 | 7.55% | 2,729,520 | 5.25% | 2,384,640 | 5.72% |
| | | | | | 161,489 | | | | 3,448,610 | |
| Newark | 15.50 | 13.76% | 234,484 | 7.00% | 184,463 | 9.15% | 1,959,540 | 3.77% | 1,951,200 | 4.68% |
| | | | | | 57,006 | | | | 2,785,416 | |
| Roselle Park | 9.44 | 8.38% | 106,187 | 3.17% | 65,147 | 3.23% | 1,576,080 | 3.03% | 1,576,080 | 3.78% |
| | | | | | 73,420 | | | | 3,485,454 | |
| South Orange | 7.00 | 6.22% | 410,876 | 12.27% | 83,906 | 4.16% | 2,183,760 | 4.20% | 1,972,080 | 4.73% |
| | | | | | 56,830 | | | | 3,139,119 | |
| Summit | 7.50 | %99'9 | 171,657 | 5.13% | 64,916 | 3.22% | 3,651,120 | 7.02% | 1,775,520 | 4.26% |
| | | | | | 208,259 | | | | 24,648,720 | |
| Union | 10.30 | 9.14% | 329,127 | 9.83% | 238,013 | 11.80% | 14,534,640 | 27.96% | 13,939,840 | 33.45% |
| | | | | | 135,545 | | | | 11,937,498 | |
| West Orange | 13.50 | 11.99% | 250,811 | 7.48% | 154,847 | 7.68% | 7,097,040 | 13.65% | 6,744,600 | 16.20% |
| | | | | | 1,765,081 | | | | 73,688,251 | |
| | 112.63 | 100.00% | 3,349,260 | 100.00% | 2,017,045 | 100.01% | 51,986,580 | 100.00% | 41,673,880 | 100.00% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-2) with trunk sewer infiltration allocated based on contract capacity.

⁽²⁾ Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-3)

^{(3) &}quot;Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 6.346%

^{(4) 2011 -} Infiltration related to base year total = 2.017 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 3.82079939%

⁻ Adjusted for period 11/1/2010 - 10/31/2011; (3.82079939% x 16,860.0270 = 644.18 MG / Year / 365 = 1,765,081 GPD)

^{(5) 2011} inflow adjusted for period 11/1/2010 - 10/31/2011 - (58.74" / 33.22") x (41,673,880) = 73,688,251 GPD

Table IV - A Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

| | | Adjust | ed 2011 - Sum | mary of Infilt | Adjusted 2011 - Summary of Infiltration and Inflow | M. | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | Phase IIB | | 2011 | | Phase IIB | | 2011 | |
| | SSES | 2010 | Infiltration | 2011 | SSES | 2010 | Inflow | 2011 |
| Municipality | Infiltration (GPD) | Infiltration (GPD) | Removed (GPD) | Infiltration (GPD) | Inflow (GPD) | Inflow (GPD) | Removed (GPD) | Inflow (GPD) |
| East Orange | 70,747 | 44,022 | 1 | 44,022 | 3,007,440 | 218,160 | | 218,160 |
| Hillside | 79,012 | 32,980 | 1 | 32,980 | 1,185,120 | 1,185,120 | 1 | 1,185,120 |
| Irvington | 1,115,672 | 797,026 | 1 | 797,026 | 8,612,640 | 7,791,840 | ı | 7,791,840 |
| Maplewood | 389,078 | 199,485 | ı | 199,485 | 5,449,680 | 2,134,800 | 1 | 2,134,800 |
| Millbum | 191,609 | 152,240 | 1 | 152,240 | 2,729,520 | 2,384,640 | 1 | 2,384,640 |
| Newark | 234,484 | 184,463 | ı | 184,463 | 1,959,540 | 1,951,200 | | 1,951,200 |
| Roselle Park | 106,187 | 65,147 | J | 65,147 | 1,576,080 | 1,576,080 | 1 | 1,576,080 |
| South Orange | 410,876 | 83,906 | 1 | 83,906 | 2,183,760 | 1,979,280 | 7,200 | 1,972,080 |
| Summit | 171,657 | 64,916 | ı | 64,916 | 3,651,120 | 1,872,720 | 97,200 | 1,775,520 |
| Union | 329,127 | 238,013 | 1 | 238,013 | 14,534,640 | 13,939,840 | 1 | 13,939,840 |
| West Orange Total | 250,811 | 2,017,045 | | 154,847 | 7,097,040 | 6,744,600 | 104,400 | 6,744,600 |

Table V Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

| | | Cost Allocation | Cost Allocation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow | with Infiltration / I | nflow | |
|--|--------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| | | Total | Ω | Domestic & Commercial | al | Industrial |
| Flow | | 16,860.0270 N \$6,271,317.06 | MG | 16,455.8060 MG \$6,110,012.52 | MG | 404.2210 MG |
| Infiltration 3.8207994% Inflow 14.3682451% | | 644.18 2,422.49 | | 628.74 2,364.41 | \$233,450.08 \$877,901.37 | \$161,304.54 15.44 58.08 |
| BOD at \$735.2377343 Per | Per Ton | 8,234,3562 | Tons | 7,120.8625 | Tons | 1,113,4937 Tons \$818,682.59 |
| TSS at \$469.8467465 Per | Per Ton | 9,406.7638 Tors \$4,419,737.37 | ons | 9,118.6555 34,284,370.62 | Tons | 288.1083 Tons \$135,366.75 |
| Total | | \$16,745,263.83 | | \$15,629,909.95 | | \$1,115,353.88 |
| (1) Amount anticipated from Members after allocation of | after allocation o |)f | 38.4674702% to City of Elizabeth | ity of Elizabeth | \$ 9,950,625.17 | |
| "Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD = | | 6.34590% (| 6.34590% (Per H&S Phase IIB Report) | eport) | | |
| Inflow: 113 MGD (Excluding Elizabeth) / 137 MGD (Total) = | zabeth) / 137 MC | 3D (Total) = | | 82.4817518% | 1 inch of rain = 50 mg of inf | 82.4817518% 1 inch of rain = 50 mg of inflow (Per H&S Phase IIB Report) |
| "2010 - 2011" Infiltration: 2.017 MGD/52.79MGD = | | 3.82079939% | × | 16,860.0270 644. (November 1, 2010 - October 31, 2011) | 644.19 MG/Year ctober31, 2011) | 4 |
| Inflow: Rainfall = 58.74 Inches X 50 M | ; 50 MG X 82.482% | 2% | 2,422.49 M | MG / Y ear | | |
| Total D&C Less. Infiltration Less. Inflow Net after infiltration and inflow Equivalent Dwelling Units User Charge Per Unit | 89 | \$15,629,909.95 (233,450.08) (877,901.37) \$14,518,558.50 151,474 | | | | |

Table VI
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2012

| | | | 2 | 2012 | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | | DC | Domestic & Commercial | mercial | | | |
| | Dwelling | User Charge \$ 95.84851856 | Infil | Infiltration | In | Inflow | | |
| Municipality | Units | Per Unit | Percent 1 | Amount | Percent ² | Amount | Total Cost | Unit Cost |
| East Orange | 7,215 | \$691,547.06 | 2.18% | \$5,089.21 | 0.52% | \$4,565.09 | \$701,201.36 | \$97.1866 |
| Hillside | 8,534 | 817,971.26 | 1.64% | 3,828.58 | 2.84% | 24,932.40 | 846,732.24 | 99.2187 |
| Irvington | 27,006 | 2,588,485.09 | 39.51% | 92,236.13 | 18.70% | 164,167.56 | 2,844,888.78 | 105.3428 |
| Maplewood | 9,561 | 916,407.69 | %68.6 | 23,088.21 | 5.12% | 44,948.55 | 984,444.45 | 102.9646 |
| Millbum | 9,822 ³ | 941,424.15 | 7.55% | 17,625.48 | 5.72% | 50,215.96 | 1,009,265.59 | 102.7556 |
| Newark | 17,427 | 1,670,352.13 | 9.15% | 21,360.68 | 4.68% | 41,085.78 | 1,732,798.59 | 99.4318 |
| Roselle Park | 4,752 | 455,472.16 | 3.23% | 7,540.44 | 3.78% | 33,184.67 | 496,197.27 | 104.4186 |
| South Orange | 8,000 | 766,788.15 | 4.16% | 9,711.52 | 4.73% | 41,524.73 | 818,024.40 | 102.2531 |
| Summit | 14,101 4 | 1,351,559.96 | 3.22% | 7,517.09 | 4.26% | 37,398.60 | 1,396,475.65 | 99.0338 |
| Union | 25,006 | 2,396,788.06 | 11.80% | 27,547.11 | 33.45% | 293,658.01 | 2,717,993.18 | 108.6936 |
| West Orange | 20,050 | 1,921,762.80 | 7.68% | 17,928.97 \$233,473.42 | 16.20% | 142,220.02 | 2,081,911.79 | \$103.1856 |
| | (1) See Tabl(2) See Tabl(3) Includes(4) Includes | (1) See Table IV - Infiltration for November of the Prior Year (2) See Table IV - Inflow for November of the Prior Year (3) Includes Livingston (409) (4) Includes New Providence (3625) | November of ti ember of the P. 25) | he Prior Year rior Year | | | | |

Table VI (Continued)
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2012

| | | | | Ind | Industrial | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|--|---------------|------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------|------------|
| | | | | | | Flow (N | How (MG / Year) | | | |
| | BOD | BOD ' | TSS | TSS ² | Dry | Infiltration | Inflow | | Flow 3 | Total |
| Municipality | (Tons / Year) | (\$/Year) | (Tons / Year) | (\$/Year) | Weather | 3.820799% | 14368245% | Total | (\$/Year) | Cost |
| East Orange | 0.0000 | \$0.00 | 0.0000 | \$0.00 | 0.00 | 00.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Hillside | 396.5001 | 291,521.84 | 37.2757 | 17,513.87 | 50.42 | 2.36 | 8.86 | 61.63 | 24,593.87 | 333,629.58 |
| Irvington | 38.7674 | 28,503.26 | 15.0803 | 7,085.43 | 26.12 | 1.22 | 4.59 | 31.93 | 12,742.48 | 48,331.17 |
| Maplewood | 338.9883 | 249,236.99 | 19.0656 | 8,957.91 | 25.84 | 1.21 | 4.54 | 31.59 | 12,605.60 | 270,800.50 |
| Millbum | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 00.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Newark | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 00.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Roselle Park | 0.1216 | 89.40 | 0.1307 | 61.41 | 09.0 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.73 | 290.91 | 441.72 |
| South Orange | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 00.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Summit 4 | 47.0045 | 34,559.48 | 52.2466 | 24,547.90 | 79.62 | 3.72 | 13.98 | 97.32 | 38,836.38 | 97,943.76 |
| Union | 292.1118 | 214,771.62 | 164.3094 | 77,200.24 | 148.09 | 6.92 | 26.01 | 181.02 | 72,235.30 | 364,207.16 |
| West Orange | 0.0000 | 0.0000 0.000 0.00 1,113.4937 \$ 818,682.59 | 0.0000 | \$135,366.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$161,304.54 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ At \$735.2377343/Ton (2) At \$469.8467465/Ton (3) At \$399.0503644/MG (4) Includes Murray Hill

Table VII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Summary of Estimated Annual Operational and Maintenance Expenses To Member Municipalities
2012

| | | Domestic & Co | mmercial | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Municipality | Total As sessments ¹ | User Charge Domestic Sewage 95.84851856 | User Charges Industrial | Infiltration / Inflow Charges | Asses sment Percentage |
| East Orange | \$701,201.36 | \$691,547.06 | \$0.00 | \$9,654.30 | 4.1875% |
| Hillside | 1,180,361.82 | 817,971.26 | 333,629.58 | 28,760.98 | 7.0489% |
| Irvington | 2,893,219.95 | 2,588,485.09 | 48,331.17 | 256,403.69 | 17.2778% |
| Maplewood | 1,255,244.95 | 916,407.69 | 270,800.50 | 68,036.76 | 7.4961% |
| Millbum | 1,009,265.59 | 941,424.15 | 0.00 | 67,841.44 | 6.0272% |
| Newark | 1,732,798.59 | 1,670,352.13 | 0.00 | 62,446.46 | 10.3480% |
| Roselle Park | 496,638.99 | 455,472.16 | 441.72 | 40,725.11 | 2.9658% |
| South Orange | 818,024.40 | 766,788.15 | 0.00 | 51,236.25 | 4.8851% |
| Summit | 1,494,419.41 | 1,351,559.96 | 97,943.76 | 44,915.69 | 8.9244% |
| Union | 3,082,200.34 | 2,396,788.06 | 364,207.16 | 321,205.12 | 18.4064% |
| West Orange | 2,081,911.79 | 1,921,762.80 | 0.00 | 160,148.99 | 12.4328% |
| | \$16,745,287.19 | \$14,518,558.51 | \$1,115,353.89 | \$1,111,374.79 | 100.0000% |
| Elizabeth | 9,950,601.81 | | | | |
| Total | \$26,695,889.00 | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Reflects anticipated payment of \$9,950,601.81 from the City of Elizabeth which is 38.4674702% of allocation from Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying budget. Exact 2012 percent contribution to be calculated at the end of fiscal year. Quarterly adjustments to be made in accordance with Agreement between Elizabeth and Joint Meeting.

Table VIII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Comparison of 2012 Assessment with 2011 Assessment

| | | | | Comp | arison |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|------------|
| Municipality | 2011 | 2012 | | Amount | Percentage |
| East Orange | \$669,423.99 | \$701,201.36 | (+) | \$31,777.37 | 4.75% |
| Hillside | 1,219,043.24 | 1,180,361.82 | (-) | (38,681.42) | -3.17% |
| Irvington | 2,741,076.62 | 2,893,219.95 | (+) | 152,143.33 | 5.55% |
| Maplewood | 1,224,264.87 | 1,255,244.95 | (+) | 30,980.08 | 2.53% |
| Millburn | 954,785.55 | 1,009,265.59 | (+) | 54,480.04 | 5.71% |
| Newark | 1,646,913.23 | 1,732,798.59 | (+) | 85,885.36 | 5.21% |
| Roselle Park | 466,888.20 | 496,638.99 | (+) | 29,750.79 | 6.37% |
| South Orange | 761,228.44 | 818,024.40 | (+) | 56,795.96 | 7.46% |
| Summit | 1,411,104.43 | 1,494,419.41 | (+) | 83,314.98 | 5.90% |
| Union | 2,829,645.93 | 3,082,200.34 | (+) | 252,554.41 | 8.93% |
| West Orange | 1,949,915.15 | 2,081,911.79 | (+) | 131,996.64 | 6.77% |
| | \$15,874,289.65 | \$16,745,287.19 | (+) | \$870,997.54 | 5.49% |
| Elizabeth | 9,456,251.96 | 9,950,601.81 | (+) | \$ 494,349.85 | 5.23% |
| Total | \$25,330,541.61 | \$26,695,889.00 | (+) | \$1,365,347.39 | 5.39% |

Note: City of Elizabeth percentage contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying Budget:

City of Elizabeth percentage

| 2008 | 33.62887370% |
|------|--------------|
| 2009 | 35.97984850% |
| 2010 | 34.62822260% |
| 2011 | 38.46028870% |

Table III, IV, V, VI, and VII, have been prepared to reflect the Domestic Sewer Usage and Industrial User assessment to each municipality for 2012 as a result of the Agreement with the City of Elizabeth which requires 38.4674702% of the 2012 Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Budget to be paid in Quarterly assessments with adjustments made following the determination of the actual percentage of contribution for each quarter. The 38.4674702 figure is an estimate based upon actual monitoring of quality and quantity at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, etc., in accordance with the Agreement. The actual percentage of contribution will be determined following the completion of the annual audit of expenses. In addition, these tables reflect the costs associated with Infiltration / Inflow for the member municipalities, the percentages of which were determined from the Phase IIB SSES Reports, adjusted as a result of rehabilitation work, and updated in 2011.

Summary and Certification

On the basis of the measured usage of Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and the Treatment Plant, including the Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facilities, and an estimate of Dwelling Units and Waste Characteristics for the municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, and in accordance with the provisions of the 1926 contract, I hereby certify that the estimated maintenance and operating costs of the Joint Meeting for the 2012 are apportioned as follows:

| | 2012 | 2011 | | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| East Orange | 4.1875% | 4.2170% | Roselle Park | 2.9658% | 2.9412% |
| Hillside | 7.0489% | 7.6794% | South Orange | 4.8851% | 4.7954% |
| Irvington | 17.2778% | 17.2674% | Summit | 8.9244% | 8.8892% |
| Maplewood | 7.4961% | 7.7122% | Union | 18.4064% | 17.8253% |
| Millburn | 6.0272% | 6.0147% | West Orange | 12.4328% | 12.2835% |
| Newark | 10.3480% | 10.3747% | Total | 100.0000% | 100.0000% |

Respectfully submitted,

a. Robal L. mendel

A. Ralph LaMendola. P.E., BCEE

-16-

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A 2012 Budget

| | Treatment Plant | Dewatering Facility | Drying Facility | Sewer | Total |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Electric Service | \$ 937,416 | \$ 106,987 | \$ 13,926 | \$ - | \$ 1,058,329 |
| Gas Service | 456,150 | 20,000 | - | - | 476,150 |
| Fuel, Oil & Kerosene | 181,000 | 144,500 | - | · - | 325,500 |
| Water Service | 229,337 | 76,446 | 3,500 | - | 309,283 |
| Chemicals | 1,297,649 | 922,300 | 6,800 | 2,200 | 2,228,949 |
| Sludge Disposal | - | 2,576,496 | - | - | 2,576,496 |
| Insurance | 487,835 | 97,567 | 45,531 | 19,513 | 650,446 |
| Administration | 1,817,832 | 990,464 | 10,000 | 193,601 | 3,011,897 |
| Labor | 5,139,225 | 837,020 | 131,515 | 13,925 | 6,121,685 |
| Benefits | 3,479,347 | 611,131 | 52,412 | 89,564 | 4,232,454 |
| Equipment | 203,000 | 58,000 | - | - | 261,000 |
| Printing & Stationery | 15,000 | 2,000 | - | 1,000 | 18,000 |
| Maintenance, Supplies & Spare Parts | 1,223,167 | 470,583 | 5,000 | 353,750 | 2,052,500 |
| Screening Disposal | 216,000 | - | - | - | 216,000 |
| Reserve Contingency | 106,000 | i = | - | - | 106,000 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 177,500 | 87,500 | - | 120,000 | 385,000 |
| Technical & Professional Services | 602,500 | 50,000 | - | 25,000 | 677,500 |
| Replacement Fund | 1,250,000 | - | - | - | 1,250,000 |
| NJPDES & Miscellaneous Permit Fee: | 467,000 | 12,000 | 250,000 | 9,700 | 738,700 |
| • | \$ 18,285,958 | \$ 7,062,994 | \$ 518,684 | \$ 828,253 | \$ 26,695,889 |
| | 68.50% | 26.46% | 1.94% | 3.10% | 100.00% |

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

Operations and Management Cost Allocations (Treatment Plant)

| | Estimated | Percent | | | F | Percent | | | Percent | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----|--------------|--------|---------|----|-----------|---------|----|----------------------|
| | Total Cost | Flow | (| Cost Flow | | SS | | Cost SS | BOD | (| Cost BOD |
| General Expenditures 1 | \$ 2,983,221 | 80% | \$ | 2,386,577 | | 10% | \$ | 298,322 | 10% | \$ | 298,322 |
| Power | 937,416 | 40% | \$ | 374,966 | | 5% | \$ | 46,871 | 55% | \$ | 515,579 |
| Chlorine | 717,250 | 40% | \$ | 286,900 | | | | | 60% | \$ | 430,350 |
| Maintenance, Equipment, | , | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supplies, Spare Parts, | 0.500.165 | 2004 | • | ### 0.0# | | 070/ | • | aao. | 450/ | Ф. | 1 051 055 |
| & Replacement Fund | 2,782,167 | 28% | \$ | 779,007 | | 27% | \$ | 751,185 | 45% | \$ | 1,251,975 |
| Fuel, Oil & Kerosene | 181,000 | 40% | \$ | 72,400 | | 30% | \$ | 54,300 | 30% | \$ | 54,300 |
| Administration, Labor & Benefits | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Payroll Retirement) | 10,436,404 | 40% | | 4,174,562 | | 10% | \$ | 1,043,640 | 50% | \$ | 5,218,202 |
| Sludge Processing 2 | 248,500 | | | | | 50% | \$ | 124,250 | 50% | \$ | 124,250 |
| Total | \$18,285,958 | | \$ | 8,074,412 | | | \$ | 2,318,568 | | \$ | 124,250 7,892,978 |
| | 100% | | 44 | 1.1563521% | | | 12 | 6794998% | | 43 | .1641481% |
| (1) General Expenditures | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (From the Approved Budg | get) | | (2) | Sludge Proce | essing | | | | | | |
| Insurance | | \$ 487,835 | Pol | ymer | \$ | 142,500 | | | | | |
| Technical & Professional | Services | 602,500 | K2 | MnO4 | | 106,000 | | | | | |
| Stationery & Printing | | 15,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Gas | | 456,150 | | | | | | | | | |
| Water | | 229,337 | | | | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | 177,500 | | | | | | | | | |
| Service Contracts | | 216,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Permit Fees | | 467,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sodium Bisulfite & Misc. | Chemicals | 331,899 | | | | | | | | | |

248,500

\$2,983,221

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

Operations and Management Allocations

| | Estimated | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Total Cost | Cost Flow | Cost TSS | Cost BOD |
| Treatment Plant | \$ 18,285,958 | \$ 8,074,412 | \$ 2,318,568 | \$ 7,892,978 |
| Dewatering Facility | 7,062,994 | | 3,531,497 | 3,531,497 |
| Drying Facility | 518,684 | | 259,342 | 259,342 |
| | \$ 25,867,636 | \$ 8,074,412 | \$ 6,109,407 | \$11,683,817 |
| Subtotal | 100.00% | 31.2143406% | 23.6179564% | 45.1677030% |
| Sewers 1 | \$ 828,253 | | | |
| Total | \$ 26,695,889 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Joint Meeting Members Only

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2012 SUMMARY

| MUNICIPALITY HILLSIDE IRVINGTON MAPLEWOOD MURRAY HILL | FLOW (MG) 61.631 31.932 31.589 24.146 | LOADINGS BOD (tons) 396.50012738 38.76744750 338.98828635 12.25209801 | TSS (tons) 37.27574092 15.08031711 19.06557777 4.55738883 | |
|---|--|---|--|----------------|
| ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT UNION | 0.729 73.176 181.018 | 0.12159720 34.75240470 292.11180264 | 0.13071699 47.68917501 164.30935908 | |
| MEMBERS TOTAL | 404.221 | 1113.49376378 | 288.10827571 | |
| ELIZABETH | 235.373 | 2687.95017122 | 519.12299893 | |
| GRAND TOTAL | 639.594 | 3801.44393500 | 807.23127464 | |
| | | COSTS | | |
| MUNICIPALITY | FLOW | BOD | TSS | TOTAL |
| HILLSIDE | \$24,593.95 | \$291,521.86 | \$17,513.89 | \$333,629.69 |
| IRVINGTON | \$12,742.48 | \$28,503.29 | \$7,085.44 | \$48,331.20 |
| MAPLEWOOD | \$12,605.60 | \$249,236.98 | \$8,957.90 | \$270,800.48 |
| MURRAY HILL | \$9,635.47 | \$9,008.20 | \$2,141.27 | \$20,784.95 |
| ROSELLE PARK | \$290.91 | \$89.40 | \$61.42 | \$441.73 |
| SUMMIT | \$29,200.91 | \$25,551.28 | \$22,406.60 | \$77,158.79 |
| UNION | \$72,235.30 | \$214,771.62 | \$77,200.22 | \$364,207.14 |
| MEMBERS TOTAL | \$161,304.62 | \$818,682.63 | \$135,366.74 | \$1,115,353.99 |
| ELIZABETH | \$82,362.78 | \$1,976,282.39 | \$243,908.25 | \$2,302,553.43 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$243,667.40 | \$2,750,314.10 | \$379,274.99 | \$3,417,907.41 |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2012 COST FACTORS

| | FLOW | BOD | TSS |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES | \$399.0503644 | \$735,2377343 | \$469.8467465 |
| ELIZABETH | \$349.9251087 | \$735.2377343 | \$469.8467465 |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: HILLSIDE

| | | | Concentration | | Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton \$399.0503644 \$735.2377343 \$469.8467465 | | | | |
|------|---|---------|---------------|---------------|--|---|---|-------------------|--|
| IU # | INDUSTRY | SITE | BOD (mg/l) | TSS (mg/l) | FLOW (MG) | BOD (Tons) | TSS (Tons) | ANNUAL PAYMENT | |
| 1042 | GEC Marconi / BAE Totals: Cost Analysis: | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6.401 6.401 \$2,554.32 | 0.0267 0.0267 \$19.63 | 0.0534 0.0534 \$25.08 | \$2,599.03 | |
| 1050 | Manhattan Drug Co. Totals: Cost Analysis: | 4 | 560 | 355 | 0.714 0.714 \$284.92 | 1.6673 1.6673 \$1,225.89 | 1.0570 1.0570 \$496.61 | \$2,007.42 | |
| 1054 | Oasis Foods Totals: Cost Analysis: | 3 99 | 1963 177 | 355 143 | 5.200 1.245 6.445 \$2,571.88 | 42.5657 0.9189 43.4846 \$31,971.53 | 7.6978 0.7424 8.4402 \$3,965.61 | \$38,509.02 | |
| 1058 | Quest Industries Totals: Cost Analysis: | 2 99 | 55 177 | 48 143 | 0.296 0.005 0.301 \$120.19 | 0.0679 0.0038 0.0717 \$52.74 | 0.0592 0.0031 0.0623 \$29.29 | \$202.22 | |
| 1090 | Union Beverages Totals: Cost Analysis: | 1 2 | 1872 895 | 94 1342 | 41.832 1.419 43.251 \$17,259.33 | 326.5506 5.2959 331.8466 \$243,986.11 | 16.3973 7.9409 24.3382 \$11,435.24 | \$272,680.67 | |
| 1091 | Hillside Beverage Totals: Cost Analysis: | 2 99 | 1270 177 | 24 143 | 2.645 0.044 2.689 \$1,073.05 | 14.0077 0.0325 14.0401 \$10,322.83 | 0.2647 0.0262 0.2909 \$136.70 | \$11,532.58 | |
| 1092 | A&H Products Totals: Cost Analysis: | 1 99 | 743 177 | 417 143 | 1.700 0.130 1.830 \$730.26 | 5.2671 0.0960 5.3631 \$3,943.14 | 2.9561 0.0775 3.0336 \$1,425.34 | \$6,098.74 | |
| | HILLSIDE TOTALS | • | | | 61.6312 \$24,593.95 | 396.5001 \$291,521.86 | 37.2757 \$17,513.89 | \$333,629.69 | |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: IRVINGTON

| | | | | | Flow per MG | Cost Factors BOD per Ton | TSS per Ton | |
|------|---------------------------|------|----------|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | Concentr | ation | \$399.0503644 | | | |
| | | | BOD | TSS | FLOW | BOD | TSS | ANNUAL |
| IU# | INDUSTRY | SITE | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (MG) | (Tons) | (Tons) | PAYMENT |
| 2030 | Hi-Speed Plating | 1 | 24 | 13 | 0.021 | 0.0021 | 0.0011 | |
| | | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.062 | 0.0458 | 0.0370 | |
| | Totals: | | | | 0.083 | 0.0479 | 0.0381 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$33.12 | \$35.19 | \$17.91 | \$86.22 |
| 2000 | Wayne County Foods | 1 | 3800 | 396 | 0.141 | 2.2343 | 0,2328 | |
| 2066 | Wayne County Foods | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.256 | 0.1890 | 0.1527 | |
| | Totals: | 33 | 177 | 145 | 0.397 | 2.4232 | 0.3855 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$158.42 | \$1,781.66 | \$181.12 | \$2,121.20 |
| 2072 | Clean-Tex Services | 1 | 208 | 107 | 28.376 | 24.6122 | 12.6611 | |
| 2012 | Clean-Tex Services | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.926 | 0,6835 | 0.5522 | |
| | Totals: | 33 | 177 | 140 | 29.302 | 25.2957 | 13.2133 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$11,692.97 | \$18,598.34 | \$6,208.21 | \$36,499.52 |
| 0070 | 1 4 | 4 | 1227 | 161 | 2.15 | 11.0007 | 1.4434 | |
| 2076 | International Vitamin | 1 | 1227 | 101 | 2.15 | 11.0007 | 1,4434 | |
| | Totals: Cost Analysis: | | | | \$857.96 | \$8,088.11 | \$678.20 | \$9,624.26 |
| | | | | | 24.0220 | 38.7674 | 15.0803 | |
| | IRVINGTON TOTALS | | | | 31.9320 \$12,742.48 | \$28,503.29 | \$7,085.44 | \$48,331.20 |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2012

Municipality: MAPLEWOOD

| | | | | | Flow per MG | BOD per Ton | TSS per Ton | |
|------|--------------------------|------|----------|--------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | Concentr | ation | \$399.0503644 | \$735,2377343 \$469,8467465 | | |
| | | | BOD | TSS | FLOW | BOD | TSS | ANNUAL |
| IU# | INDUSTRY | SITE | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (MG) | (Tons) | (Tons) | PAYMENT |
| 3020 | Gleason Cleaners | 1 | 216 | 56 | 0.688 | 0.6197 | 0.1607 | |
| 3020 | Gleason Gleaners | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.125 | 0.0923 | 0.0745 | |
| | Totals: | 00 | .,, | | 0.813 | 0.7120 | 0.2352 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$324.43 | \$523.46 | \$110.51 | \$958.39 |
| | | | | | 4.000 | 4.004.4 | 4.4200 | |
| 3033 | NJ Transit - Hilton Gar. | 3a | 270 | 242 | 4.380 | 4.9314 | 0,4073 | |
| | | 4 | 342 | 29 | 3.368 | 4.8032 | | |
| | Totals: | | | | 7.748 | 9.7347 | 4.8273 | £40 547 05 |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$3,091.84 | \$7,157.31 | \$2,268.10 | \$12,517.25 |
| 2040 | UniClean | 1 | 84 | 19 | 2.002 | 0.7013 | 0.1586 | |
| 3046 | Uniclean | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.140 | 0.1033 | 0.0835 | |
| | Totals: | 99 | 177 | 140 | 2.142 | 0.8046 | 0.2421 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$854.77 | \$591.57 | \$113.75 | \$1,560.08 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3050 | Maplewood Beverage | 1 | 3763 | 158 | 20.886 | 327.7371 | 13.7609 | |
| | Totals: | | | | 20.886 | 327.7371 | 13.7609 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$8,334.57 | \$240,964.65 | \$6,465.54 | \$255,764.75 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| M | IAPLEWOOD TOTALS | | | | 31.5890 | 338,9883 | 19.0656 | |
| | | | | | \$12,605.60 | \$249,236.98 | \$8,957.90 | \$270,800.48 |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: MURRAY HILL

| | | | | | (| | | |
|------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------|--------|---------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| | | Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per To | | | | | TSS per Ton | |
| | | | Concentr | ation | \$399.0503644 | \$469.8467465 | | |
| | | | BOD | TSS | FLOW | BOD | TSS | ANNUAL |
| IU# | INDUSTRY | SITE | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (MG) | (Tons) | (Tons) | PAYMENT |
| 5010 | Bell Labs / Lucent | 1 | 3 | 16 | 16.748 | 0.2095 | 1.1174 | |
| | Totals: | | | | 16.748 | 0,2095 | 1.1174 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$6,683.30 | \$154.05 | \$525.02 | \$7,362.36 |
| 5044 | Baxter Pharmaceutical | 1 | 390 | 545 | 0.600 | 0.9758 | 1.3636 | |
| 5011 | Totals: | 1 | 390 | 545 | 0.600 | 0.9758 | 1.3636 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$239.43 | \$717.43 | \$640.68 | \$1,597.54 |
| | Cost Arialysis. | | | | Ψ200.40 | ψ | 40.000 | ******** |
| 5020 | Fablok Mills | 4 | 522 | 86 | 4.907 | 10.6813 | 1.7597 | |
| | | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.185 | 0.1365 | 0.1103 | |
| | Totals: | | | | 5.092 | 10.8178 | 1.8701 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$2,031.96 | \$7,953.66 | \$878.64 | \$10,864.27 |
| 5021 | FRC-Electrical Ind | 1 | 35 | 29 | 1.706 | 0.2490 | 0.2063 | |
| 5021 | Totals: | , | 33 | 20 | 1.706 | 0.2490 | 0.2063 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$680.78 | \$183.07 | \$96.93 | \$960.78 |
| | Cost Atlalysis. | | | | V | • | , | |
| M | URRAY HILL TOTALS | | | | 24,1460 | 12.2521 | 4.5574 | |
| | | | | | \$9,635.47 | \$9,008.20 | \$2,141.27 | \$20,784.95 |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: ROSELLE PARK

| | | | Concentr | ation | Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton \$399.0503644 \$735.2377343 \$469.8467465 | | | | |
|------|---|------|---------------|---------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 1U # | INDUSTRY | SITE | BOD (mg/l) | TSS (mg/l) | FLOW (MG) | BOD (Tons) | TSS (Tons) | ANNUAL PAYMENT | |
| 6005 | Hexacon Electric Tota Cost Analys | | 40 | 43 | 0.729 0.729 \$290.91 | 0.1216 0.1216 \$89.40 | 0.1307 0.1307 \$61.42 | \$441.73 | |
| RC | OSELLE PARK TOTALS | | | | .0.7290 \$290.91 | 0.1216 \$89.40 | 0.1307 \$61.42 | \$441.73 | |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: SUMMIT

| | TSS per Ton- \$469.8467465 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|---------------|---------------|-----------|--|------|--|--|--|--|
| ANNUAL PAYMENT | TSS (Tons) | BOD (Tons) | FLOW (MG) | TSS (mg/l) | BOD (mg/l) | SITE | INDUSTRY | IU# | | | | |
| \$300.91 | 0.0122 0.0122 \$5.75 | 0.0031 0.0031 \$2.25 | 0.734 0.734 \$292.90 | 4 | 1 | 4 | Novartis Groundwater Totals: Cost Analysis: | 5511 | | | | |
| \$46,369.59 | 19.9816 2.0281 22.0096 \$10,341.16 | 14.4053 2.5102 16.9156 \$12,436.97 | 55.718 3.401 59.119 \$23,591.46 | 86 143 | 62 177 | 03A 99 | Summit Property Co. Totals: Cost Analysis: | 5512 | | | | |
| \$30,488.30 | 25.6673 25.6673 \$12,059.69 | 17.8338 17.8338 \$13,112.06 | 13.323 13.323 \$5,316.55 | 462 | 321 | 1 | Celgene Corporation . Totals: Cost Analysis: | 5513 | | | | |
| \$77,158.79 | 47.6892 \$22,406.60 | 34.7524 \$25,551.28 | 73.1760 \$29,200.91 | | | | SUMMIT TOTALS | | | | | |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: UNION

| | | | Concentr | ation | Flow per MG \$399,0503644 | Cost Factors BOD per Ton \$735.2377343 | TSS per Ton. \$469,8467465 | |
|------|---|------|---------------|---------------|--|---|---|-------------------|
| IU# | INDUSTRY | SITE | BOD (mg/l) | TSS (mg/l) | FLOW (MG) | BOD (Tons) | TSS (Tons) | ANNUAL PAYMENT |
| 7015 | ACuPowder Totals Cost Analysis | | 177 128 | 143 222 | 0.035 0.616 0.651 \$259.78 | 0.0258 0.3288 0.3546 \$260.74 | 0.0209 0.5703 0.5911 \$277.74 | \$798.26 |
| 7035 | American Products Totals Cost Analysis | | 260 | 186 | 0.482 0.482 \$192.34 | 0.5226 0.5226 \$384.22 | 0.3738 0.3738 \$175.65 | \$752.22 |
| 7070 | Durex Totals Cost Analysis | | 87 | 226 | 5.469 5.469 \$2,182.41 | 1.9841 1.9841 \$1,458.78 | 5.1541 5.1541 \$2,421.63 | \$6,062.83 |
| 7077 | Siemens Water Technology Totals Cost Analysis | s: | 40 | 65 | 3.784 3.784 \$1,510.01 | 0.6312 0.6312 \$464.06 | 1.0257 1.0257 \$4 81.90 | \$2,455.97 |
| 7080 | Foremost Mfg Totals Cost Analysis | | 10 | 159 | 13.358 13.358 \$5,330.51 | 0.5570 0.5570 \$409.55 | 8.8568 8.8568 \$4,161.32 | \$9,901.38 |
| 7092 | International Paint Totals Cost Analysis | | 19 | 32 | 7.487 7.487 \$2, 987.69 | 0.5932 0.5932 \$436.14 | 0.9991 0.9991 \$469.41 | \$3,893.24 |
| 7145 | Schering Totals Cost Analysis | | 13 | 17 | 90.575 90.575 \$36,143.99 | 4.9101 4.9101 \$3,610.07 | 6.4209 6.4209 \$3,016.82 | \$42,770.88 |
| 7155 | Tessler & Weiss Total Cost Analysi | | 149 | 187 | 1.727 1.727 \$689.16 | 1.0730 1.0730 \$788.94 | 1.3467 1.3467 \$632.74 | \$2,110.84 |
| 7167 | Turbo Braze Total: Cost Analysi: | | 112 | 119 | 0.636 0.636 \$253.80 | 0.2970 0.2970 \$218.39 | 0.3156 0.3156 \$148.28 | \$620.47 |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: UNION

| | | | Concentr | ation | Flow per MG \$399.0503644 | | | |
|------|---|------|---------------|---------------|--|---|--|-------------------|
| IU# | INDUSTRY | SITE | BOD (mg/l) | TSS (mg/l) | FLOW (MG) | BOD (Tons) | TSS (Tons) | ANNUAL PAYMENT |
| 7191 | Cintas Totals Cost Analysis | | 280 | 342 | 31.985 31.985 \$12,763.63 | 37.3457 37.3457 \$27,457.96 | 45.6151 45.6151 \$21,432.10 | \$61,653.68 |
| 7192 | Merril Corporation Totals Cost Analysis | | 377 | 372 | 0.819 0.819 \$ 326.82 | 1.2875 1.2875 \$946.65 | 1.2705 1.2705 \$596.92 | \$1,870.40 |
| 7193 | Lioni Latticini Totals Cost Analysis | | 4311 177 | 1276 143 | 9.244 0.309 9.553 \$3,812.13 | 166.1782 0.2281 166.4063 \$122,348.16 | 49.1866 0.1843 49.3708 \$23,196.73 | \$149,357.02 |
| 7194 | BASF Catalysts Totals Cost Analysis | | 38 177 | 103 143 | 0.069 1.545 1.614 \$644.07 | 0.0109 1.1403 1.1513 \$846.47 | 0.0296 0.9213 0.9509 \$446.79 | \$1,937.33 |
| 7195 | Deep Foods Totals Cost Analysis | | 1414 298 | 790 306 | 12.677 0.201 12.878 \$5,138.97 | 74.7484 0.2498 74.9982 \$55,141.49 | 41.7618 0.2565 42.0183 \$19,742.17 | \$80,022.64 |
| | UNION TOTALS | | | | 181.0180 \$72,235.30 | 292.1118 \$214,771.62 | 164.3094 \$77,200.22 | \$364,207.14 |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: ELIZABETH

| | | | Canacat | | Flow per MG \$349.9251087 | Cost Factors BOD per Ton ; \$735.2377343 | TSS per Ton \$469,8467465 | |
|------|-----------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---|---|---|-------------------|
| | | | Concenti | | (12) Professional was appropriate the season of the season of | Colonia providente de mentre el 20, pilopo estra de mayor en montra esta en 20, | And a series of the contraction | |
| IU# | INDUSTRY | SITE | BOD (mg/l) | TSS (mg/l) | FLOW (MG) | BOD (Tons) | TSS (Tons) | ANNUAL PAYMENT |
| 0033 | Cargill Flavor Systems Totals: | 1 | 2671 | 246 | 0.234 0.234 | 2.6063 2.6063 | 0.2400 0.2400 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$81.88 | \$1,916.26 | \$112.78 | \$2,110.92 |
| 0037 | Deb-El Foods Totals: | 1 | 1779 | 321 | 3.920 3.920 | 29.0802 29.0802 | 5.2472 5.2472 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$1,371.71 | \$21,380.89 | \$2,465.38 | \$25,217.98 |
| 0062 | Garcia Laundry | 2 | 796 | 267 | 2.691 | 8.9323 | 2.9961 | |
| | Totals: | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.114 2.805 | 0.0841 9.0164 | 0.0680 3.0641 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$981.54 | \$6,629.22 | \$1,439.66 | \$9,050.42 |
| | Actavis (formerly | | | | | | | |
| 0067 | Purepac) | 3 | 1132 | 137 | 18.238 18.238 | 86.0914 86.0914 | 10.4192 10.4192 | |
| | Totals: Cost Analysis: | | | | \$6,381.93 | \$63,297.63 | \$4,895.42 | \$74,574.99 |
| 0070 | LORCO | 2 | 7575 | 33 | 22.960 | 725.2547 | 3.1595 | |
| | Totals: Cost Analysis: | | | | 22.960 \$8,034.28 | 725.2547 \$533,234.65 | 3.1595 \$1,484.49 | \$542,753.43 |
| | Mastercraft | | | | | | | |
| 0075 | Electroplating . | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.028 | 0.0207 | 0.0167 | |
| | Totals: Cost Analysis: | | | | 0.028 \$9.80 | 0.0207 \$15.19 | 0.0167 \$7.84 | \$32.84 |
| | Cost Analysis. | | | | ψ0,00 | ¥10110 | **** | , |
| 0078 | Magnolia Beef | 1 | 1315 | 340 | 0.167 | 0.9158 | 0.2368 | |
| | Totals: | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.247 0.414 | 0.1823 1.0981 | 0.1473 0.3841 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$144.87 | \$807.34 | \$180.45 | \$1,132.65 |
| 0093 | OENJ | 4 | 4 | 37 | 10.564 | 0.1762 | 1.6299 | |
| | Totals: Cost Analysis: | | | | 10.564 \$3,696.61 | 0.1762 \$129.55 | 1.6299 \$765.81 | \$4,591.98 |
| | Cost Analysis. | | | | ψ3,030.01 | Ψ120.00 | Ψ. σσ.σ. | ¥ 1,00 1.00 |
| 0098 | Olympia Trails | 1 | 425 | 261 | 2.397 | 4.2481 | 2.6088 | |
| | Totals: | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.507 2.904 | 0.3742 4.6223 | 0.3023 2.9112 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$1,016.18 | \$3,398.49 | \$1,367.80 | \$5,782.46 |
| | Michaels Foods - North | | | | | 077.7.7 | 001.150= | |
| 0100 | Avenue Facility | 4 4a | 2681 1518 | 710 366 | 78.074 5.435 | 872.8494 34.4039 | 231.1537 8.2950 | |
| | | 4a 4b | 1057 | 234 | 4.510 | 19.8787 | 4.4008 | |
| | - · · | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.505 | 0.3727 | 0.3011 | |
| | Totals: Cost Analysis: | | | | 88.524 \$30,976.77 | 927.5047 \$681,936.42 | 244.1506 \$114,713.37 | \$827,626.56 |

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: ELIZABETH

| | | | | | | Cost Factors | | |
|------|------------------------|---------|---------|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------|
| | | | Concent | ration | Flow per MG \$349,9251087 | BOD per Ton \$735.2377343 | TSS per Ton \$469,8467465 | |
| | | | BOD | TSS | FLOW | BOD | TSS | ANNUAL |
| IU# | INDUSTRY | SITE | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (MG) | (Tons) | (Tons) | PAYMENT |
| | Michaels Foods - | | | | | | | |
| 0105 | Papetti Plaza Facility | 2 | 3626 | 996 | 52.540 | 794.4269 | 218.2154 | |
| 0.00 | ,, | 2a | 2463 | 358 | 6.198 | 63.6579 | 9.2527 | |
| | | 2b | 2117 | 349 | 1.326 | 11.7058 | 1.9298 | |
| | | 3 | 429 | 687 | 0.223 | 0.3989 | 0.6388 | |
| | Totals: | | | | 60.287 | 870.1894 | 230.0368 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$21,095.94 | \$639,796.11 | \$108,082.04 | \$768,974.09 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 0120 | Phelps Dodge | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.274 | 0.2022 | 0.1634 | |
| | Totals: | : | | | 0.274 | 0.2022 | 0.1634 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$95.88 | \$148.69 | \$76.77 | \$321.34 |
| | Superior Powder | | | | | | | |
| 0148 | Coating | 1 | 80 | 49 | 2.854 | 0.9521 | 0.5832 | |
| 0148 | Coating | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.419 | 0.3093 | 0.2499 | |
| | Totals: | | 177 | 145 | 3.273 | 1,2614 | 0.8330 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$1,145.30 | \$927.40 | \$391.39 | \$2,464.09 |
| | Cost Analysis. | • | | | Ψ1,140.00 | 4 02 | ******* | ,-,, |
| 0155 | Duro Bag | 1 | 277 | 119 | 5.623 | 6.4951 | 2.7903 | |
| | _ | 2 | 64 | 79 | 4.077 | 1.0881 | 1.3431 | |
| | | 3 | 320 | 398 | 0.524 | 0.6992 | 0.8697 | |
| | Totals: | : | | | 10.224 | 8.2824 | 5.0030 | |
| | Cost Analysis: | | | | \$3,577.63 | \$6,089.51 | \$2,350.67 | \$12,017.81 |
| 0405 | Wakefern Food Corp. | 2 | 192 | 191 | 2.261 | 1.8102 | 1.8008 | |
| 0165 | wakelern Food Corp. | 2 3a | 406 | 314 | 3.803 | 6,4386 | 4.9796 | |
| | | 3a 4 | 198 | 294 | 0.529 | 0.4368 | 0.6485 | |
| | | 99 (7) | 177 | 143 | 0.424 | 0.3130 | 0.2528 | |
| | Totals | | 177 | 170 | 7.017 | 8.9985 | 7.6818 | |
| | Cost Analysis | | | | \$2,455.42 | \$6,616.06 | \$3,609.25 | \$12,680.74 |
| | Oust Analysis. | • | | | 42,100 .72 | 72, 21222 | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | . , |
| 0178 | 814 Americas | 3 | 334 | 211 | 2.809 | 3.9123 | 2.4716 | |
| | | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.039 | 0.0288 | 0.0233 | |
| | Totals | : | | | 2.848 | 3.9411 | 2.4948 | |
| | Cost Analysis | • | | | \$996.59 | \$2,897.65 | \$1,172.18 | \$5,066.41 |
| 0189 | Prince Donut Co. | 3 | 3084 | 524 | 0.740 | 9.5166 | 1.6170 | |
| 0108 | i filice Dollat Co. | 99 | 177 | 143 | 0.119 | 0.0875 | 0.0707 | |
| | Totals | | 177 | 143 | 0.859 | 9.6041 | 1.6877 | |
| | Cost Analysis | | | | \$300.45 | \$7,061.33 | \$792.95 | \$8,154.73 |
| | Cost Allalysis | • | | | 4000.40 | ¥1,001100 | ų. J | ÷=,.= = |
| | ELIZABETH TOTALS | | | | 235.3726 | 2,687.9502 | 519.1230 | |
| | | | | | \$82,362.78 | \$1,976,282.39 | \$243,908.25 | \$2,302,553.43 |