December 17, 2010

To the Joint Meeting

Ladies and Gentlemen:

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SEWAGE CONTRIBUTION OF THE MUNICIPALITIES ORGANIZED IN JOINT MEETING (FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE YEAR 2011)

I present herewith the 76th Annual Report for the eleven municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, covering their respective contribution to Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and to the Treatment Plant, as called for under the terms of the 1926 Contract.

Article X of the 1926 Contract requires that an estimate be made for the purpose of assessing the costs of maintenance and operation each year.

In order that the amount of work necessary for the preparation of this estimate be completed in time for consideration by the Joint Meeting before the date specified by law for the adoption of the new budget, it has been customary to use the twelve-month period immediately prior thereto as the basis of consideration for the assessment purpose only.

You will please note that this is the first of the two Annual Reports prepared each year, and that it covers the twelve-month period from November 1, 2009 through October 31, 2010. Subsequently, at the beginning of each succeeding year, a second and final report is prepared covering the previously completed calendar year, which coincides with the fiscal year of the Joint Meeting.

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, PL 92-500 and as a requirement of our Construction Grant for the expansion in Secondary Treatment, a "User Charge System" is used to apportion the operation and maintenance costs for the Joint Meeting for 2011. During the year 1978, a User Charge System was prepared and adopted by the member municipalities and is entitled "An Ordinance Establishing and Defining User Charges in Connection with the Collection and Treatment of Wastewater and Providing for the Payment of Said User Charges". During the year 2010, this "User Charge System" was utilized by the various municipalities for collection of the charges associated with the operation and maintenance of the trunk sewer system, the treatment plant, and the Sludge Dewatering Facility, the percentage of assessment based upon a report dated December 18, 2009.

During 2010, the various municipalities computed the actual dwelling units for each of their respective towns in accordance with the revised schedule included in the Sewer Use Ordinance. Table II depicts the new (2011) dwelling unit figures formulated by each municipality in 2010.

It should be noted that the methodology used to assess the City of Elizabeth for services rendered by the Joint Meeting is based on quantity and quality of sewage as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU's) tributary to the gravity Joint Meeting sewer through the Elmora Avenue Area in Elizabeth, plus the tributary area from the City of Linden. This methodology was approved by the Joint Meeting and the Elizabeth City Council. The percentage used in this assessment report for the City will be based upon a twelve (12) month, 365 day period of November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010 and projected for a twelve (12) month period in 2011. This allocation will be assessed and paid in accordance with the member municipalities billing procedure. Then, based on the analysis of the samples taken at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, an adjustment is made (on a quarterly basis) to the percent allocation from the City. At the end of 2011, utilizing twelve (12) months of data, the actual percent contribution by the City will be calculated and certified thereto.

The accompanying computations include the total Dwelling Unit number of 47,181 for the City. (This Equivalent Dwelling Unit number was computed by Elson T. Killam Associates during the period November 8, 1979 and December 12, 1979 and revised by the City Engineer on February 9, 1982).

USER CHARGE APPORTIONMENT

Set forth below are the basis factors concerning flow, waste characteristics and projected cost of operations of the Facilities derived for the 365 day period from November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010, and projected for 2011 and are based upon actual 2009-2010 operating statistics as well as a recent upgraded 2010 industrial waste survey. The industrial waste figures take into consideration actual yearly operational time for each industry (i.e., 5 day or 7 day working week, etc.).

1.	Flow	21,441.2180	MG/Year		58.7431	MGD
2.	BOD	16,158.0409	Tons/Year		88,537.2104	Lbs/Day
	TSS	12,570.2899	Tons/Year		68,878.3008	Lbs/Day
2	17 -41 4 - 4 T., 4	-4i-1 T1	604 2010	MC/Voor	1.9024	MGD
3.	Estimated Indus			MG/Year		
4.	Estimated Indus	strial BOD	4,210.9890	Tons/Year	23,073.9123	Lbs/Day
5.	Estimated Indus	strial TSS	734.8877	Tons/Year	4,026.7819	Lbs/Day
6.	Estimated Total	l Operating Ex	penses in 2011			
					\$17,279,104	STP
					6,859,775	SDWF
					448,175	SDF
					743,466	Sewers
				_	\$25,330,520	Total
7.	Estimated Total	l Dwelling Uni	its in System			
, .	Dominated Total	Dwelling on	ito in Dy Stoin		151,334	JM Members

In addition to the foregoing, it has been determined that the following cost allocations would fairly represent the actual costs of treatment.

47,181 Elizabeth 198,515 Total

Flow	30.5632265%
BOD	45.6828500%
Suspended Solids	23.7539235%

The basis for these cost allocations is set forth in Appendix A.

Based upon the foregoing allocations, Table I has been prepared which shows the projected and estimated flow and waste characteristics for 2011 and the projected estimated cost allocation between domestic sewage treated from residential and commercial establishments, and the industrial waste treatment reflecting industrial flow from industries in the collection system. This system takes into consideration the fact that the City of Elizabeth does not use the trunk sewer and will accordingly not be charged for its use. The trunk sewer charge is assessed only against member municipalities, and their respective industries.

Table I Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties Estimated Flow Waste Characteristics and Cost Allocation for Treatment in 2011

Treatment Plant

			Domestic &	
_	Total for 2011		Commercial	Industrial
Flow	21,441.2180	MG/Yr. ¹	20,746.8270 MG/Yr.	694.3910 MG/Yr.
BOD	16,158.0409	Tons/Yr.1	11,947.0519 Tons/Yr.	4,210.9890 Tons/Yr.
Suspended Solids	12,570.2899	Tons/Yr.1	11,835.4022 Tons/Yr.	734.8877 Tons/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2009 thru October 31, 2010 (365 days)

Cost Allocation

		Domestic &	
	Total for 2011	Commercial	Industrial
Flow	\$7,514,597.00	\$7,271,231.00	\$243,366.00
BOD	11,232,067.00	8,304,849.00	2,927,218.00
Suspended Solids	5,840,390.00	5,498,948.00	341,442.00
·	\$24,587,054.00	\$21,075,028.00	\$3,512,026.00
	100.000000%	85.71595440%	14.28404560%

Elizabeth Contribution

Flow	-	5,649.5780 MG/Yr.
BOD	-	7,698.3412 Tons/Yr.
TSS	-	3,645.7974 Tons/Yr.

Trunk Sewer Flow 1

	Domestic &	
Total for 2011	Commercial	Industrial
15,791.6400 MG/Yr. ²	15,337.1880 MG/Yr.	454.452 MG/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2009 thru October 31, 2010 (365 days)

(2) (21,441.218 - 5,649.5780)

COST ALLOCATION

\$743,466.00 \$722,070.53 \$21,395.47

Member municipalities will be assessed \$4.77 (\$722,070.53/151,334) for trunk sewer O&M per equivalent dwelling unit.

The estimated cost for treating industrial wastes has been determined to be \$350.47 per MG for flow, \$695.14 per ton of BOD, and \$464.62 per ton of suspended solids. These estimates are predicated upon the cost allocation to industry and the estimates of flow and strength characteristics of the industrial waste, all as indicated on Table I. These costs were determined as follows:

Flow	\$243,366.00	/	694.3910 =	\$350.4740125	/MG
BOD	\$2,927,218.00	/	4,210.9890 =	\$695.1378880	/Ton
Suspended Solids	\$341,442.00	/	734.8877 =	\$464.6179273	/Ton

All industries within the member municipalities (excluding Elizabeth) will be required to pay an additional \$47.08/MG of flow for trunk sewer use (\$21,395.47/454.452 = \$47.080/MG). This brings the total flow cost to \$397.55/MG (\$350.474 + \$47.08) for the indicated industries of member municipalities.

Based upon the user charges for 2011, a computation has been made to show the budget prepayment for each municipality of the Joint Meeting. These estimates are subject to revision annually, dependent upon the actual number of dwelling units which must be determined for each municipality in accordance with the schedule of dwelling units set forth in the ordinance. In addition thereto, the computation for the industrial user charges has been based upon actual calculations of flow and waste characteristics for the industries in the district as a result of the 2010 industrial waste survey of the service area. These are estimates for 2011 and must be verified on an annual basis to reflect the actual flow and waste characteristics.

The basis for the industrial waste flow and characteristics are set forth in Appendix B of this report. The dwelling unit figures have been compiled by each municipality with the exception of Elizabeth in accordance with the revised Schedule of Dwelling Units incorporated into the User Charge Ordinance.

Table VII sets forth the cost to each municipality to establish the budget requirements of \$25,330,520.00 for 2011. However, it should be noted that in accordance with the Agreement between the Joint Meeting and the City of Elizabeth noted previously, and as a result of the monitoring of the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, the City would provide for 38.4602887% of the treatment plant, sludge dewatering facility and sludge drying facility budgets initially with adjustments made quarterly. Upon completion and verification of the quality and quantity of the City's contribution as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the EDU's from the Elmora Avenue area, plus the City of Linden's contribution, an adjustment will then be made for the member municipalities.

Table II

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities

Municipality Dwelling Units and Industrial User Charge

2011

Municipality	Dwelling Units 1	User Charges Industrial
East Orange	7,215	\$ -
Hillside	8,536	415,006
Irvington	27,104	52,907
Maplewood	9,561	294,028
Millburn	9,843 4	-
Newark	17,427	-
Roselle Park	4,752	448
South Orange	7,879	-
Summit	14,043 ²	88,431 ³
Union	24,999	316,606
West Orange	19,975	-
Elizabeth	47,181 198,515	2,365,996 \$ 3,533,422

⁽¹⁾ Based on actual calculation and verification by Municipalities; City of Elizabeth computed by Elson T. Killam Associates in 1979 and updated by City Engineer on February 9, 1982.

⁽²⁾ Includes New Providence (3557)

⁽³⁾ Includes Murray Hill

⁽⁴⁾ Includes Livingston (409)

Table III

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Computation of Estimated Percent

Contribution By City of Elizabeth

For Period 11/1/2009-10/31/2010 and Projected Contribution for 2011

	Treatment Plant	Elizabeth Pu	umpin	g Station	İ	Joint M	leeting
Flow:	21,441.218 MG	5,649.578	MG			15,791.640	MG
		Industrial		D& C		Industrial	D&C
		221.858		5,427.72		454.452	15,337.188
		\$ 77,755.46	\$	1,902,274.81	\$	180,669.09	\$ 6,097,356.24
Unit Charge				***	<u>l</u> .		
Unit Charge	\$ 397.5537261 Per MG	for Joint Meeting			T		
BOD:	16,158.0409 Tons	7,698.3412	Tons	3		8,459.6997	Tons
		Industrial		D& C		Industrial	D&C
		2,876.4436		4,821.8976		1,251.0047	7,208.695
		\$ 1,999,524.93	\$	3,351,883.71	\$	869,620.77	
Unit Charge	\$695.1378880 Per Ton						
TSS:	12,570.2899 Tons	3,645.7974	Tons	3		8,924.4925	Tons
		Industrial		D& C		Industrial	D&C
		470.638		3,175.1594		252.1107	8,672.3818
		\$ 218,666.85	\$	1,475,235.98	\$	117,135.15	
Unit Charge	\$464.6179273 Per Ton						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		\$	9,025,341.74	\$	1,167,425.01	
	City of Elizabeth (Contribut	ion from Pumping St	ation)		\$	9,025,341.74	
	City of Elizabeth (Industry 7	ributary to Joint Mee	eting T	'nınk')			
	City of Elizabeth (madsily 1	Troutary to Jonie 14100	oung i	ruini,			
	Flow: 18.081	0 MG/Year at	\$	350.4740125		6,336.92	
	BOD: 83.540	7 Tons/Year at		695.1378880		58,072.31	
	TSS: 12.13	9 Tons/Year at		464.6179273		5,640.00	
	Joint Meeting Industrial					1,167,425.01	
	Subtotal				\$	10,262,815.98	
	Total 2011 Budget		\$	25,330,520.00			
	Subtotal (above)			10,262,815.98)			
	Subtotal (EDU's)			15,067,704.02			

Joint Meeting EDU's (X + Y) + Elmora EDU's (X)= \$ 15,067,704 (Y = Additional Assessment to Member Municipalities for Trunk Sewer O&M)

151,334 (X + \$4.771370148) + 3905 (X) = \$ 15,067,704

Elmora EDU's = 3,905 \$ 92.4099838960 Per Unit = X

\$ 97.1813540440 Per Unit = X+Y

Joint Meeting EDU Assessment \$ 14,706,843.03 Elmora Area Assessment \$ 360,860.99

Total Anticipated Payment from the City of Elizabeth

Equivalent Units-Member Municipalities

Pumping Station \$ 9,025,341.74

151,334

Elmora EDU's 360,860.99

Elmora Industrial 70,049.23

\$ 9,456,251.96

Total Anticipated Payment from Member Municipalities

EDU's 14,706,843.03

Industrial 1,167,425.01

\$ 15,874,268.04

Estimated Percent Contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facility Budgets by City of Elizabeth

\$ 9,456,251.96 / \$ 24,587,054.00 = 38.4602887%

Table IV
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Percent Allocation of Infiltration / Inflow

Municipality (M-GD) Contract Contract Contract Contract Contract Infiltration GCPD / GPC or According (GPD) / GP				Base Year - 1982	r - 1982			Base Year - 1982	7861		
Contract Contract Infiliaration SSES - November 2010 Inflow GPD) ³ GPC GPD) ³ GPC GPD) ⁴ GPC GPC		Capacity By	Capacity By	Phase IIB	- SSES 1			Phase IIB - S	SES 2		
(MGD) (Percent) (GPD) ⁴ (Percent) (GPD) ⁴ (Percent) (GPD) ⁵		Contract	Contract	Infiltr	ation	SSES - Noven	aber 2010	Inflow		SSES - Novemb	er 2010
Part	Municipality	(MGD)	(Percent)	(GPD) ³	(Percent)	(GPD) ⁴	(Percent)	(GPD)	(Percent)	$(GPD)^{5}$	(Percent)
gg 5.50 4.88% 70,747 2.11% 44,022 2.18% 3,007,440 5.79% 218,160 12.20 1.083% 79,012 2.3,68% 32,288 1.64% 1.185,120 2.28% 1,148,187 12.20 1.083% 79,012 2.3,68% 35,31% 79,208 1.653,127 3.115,672 33.31% 79,018 1.653% 1,185,120 2.28% 1,185,120 3.148,847 1,148,120 2.28% 1,148,120 2.28% 1,148,120 2.28% 1,148,120 3.28% 0 1,148,120 2.28% 1,148,120 3.28% 0 1,148,120 3.28% 0 1,148,120 3.28% 1,148,120 3.28% 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,234 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,144,230 3,						36,037				271,165	
12.20 10.83% 79,012 2.36% 12,980 1.64% 1,185,120 2.28% 1,185,120 65.11 16.52% 1,115,072 33.31% 797,026 39.51% 2.549,680 16.57% 1,185,120 2.28% 1,185,120 1.18.61 16.52% 1,115,072 33.31% 797,026 39.51% 2.549,680 16.57% 2,739,840 1.18.61 16.52% 1,115,072 13.31% 16.00 5.33% 191,609 5.72% 15.24% 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 2,729,520 5.25% 2,384,640 15.50 13.76% 234,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1,576,080 3.03% 1,576,080 1.15,974,896 17.50 17	East Orange	5.50	4.88%	70,747	2.11%	44,022	2.18%	3,007,440	5.79%	218,160	0.53%
12.20 10.83% 79,012 2.36% 32,980 1.64% 1,185,120 2.28% 1,185,120 6.53,127 6.53,127 6.53,127 6.53,127 6.53,127 6.50 7.08 6.29% 389,078 11.62% 1.09,485 9.89% 5,449,680 10.48% 2,134,800 2.093,042 2.093,042 15.50 15.50 13.76% 2.34,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1.576,080 3.03% 1.576,080 10.30 6.22% 17.11,657 6.51,38% 17.80 15.50 13.76% 184,63 9.15% 15.50 13.76% 184,63 9.15% 15.50 13.76% 184,63 9.15% 15.50 13.76% 184,63 9.15% 15.50 13.76% 184,63 9.15% 15.50 15.50 13.76% 17.50 15.30 15.50 15.50 13.76% 184,63 9.15% 15.50 15.						27,110				1,488,847	
18.61 16.52% 1,115,672 33.31% 797,026 39.51% 8,612,640 16.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.57% 7,791,840 1.6.50 1.6.50%	Hillside	12.20	10.83%	79,012	2.36%	32,980	1.64%	1,185,120	2.28%	1,185,120	2.91%
18.61 16.52% 1,115,672 33.31% 797,026 39.51% 8,612,640 16.57% 7,791,840 1						653,127				9,777,271	
od 7.08 6.29% 389,078 11.62% 199,485 9.89% 5,449,680 10.48% 2,134,800 2.99,485 9.89% 5,449,680 10.48% 2,114,800 2.993,042 124,807 15.50 13.76% 234,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1,576,080 2.729,520 5.25% 2,384,640 2.34,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1,576,080 3.03% 1,576,080 1.974,896 3.34,844 7.00% 1,844,63 9.15% 1,576,080 3.03% 1,576,080 1.04,876 12.27% 83,906 4.16% 2,183,760 4.20% 1,979,280 1.07,92,80 1.03,080 1.04,87 1.05,080 1.05,081 1.05,082 1.05,0	Irvington	18.61	16.52%	1,115,672	33.31%	797,026	39.51%	8,612,640	16.57%	7,791,840	19.11%
od 7.08 6.29% 389,078 11.62% 199,485 9.89% 5,449,680 10.48% 2,134,800 2,993,042 6.00 5.33% 191,609 5.72% 152,240 7.55% 2,729,520 5.25% 2,384,640 15.50 13.76% 234,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1,955,540 3.77% 1,951,200 2.445,597 15.50 13.76% 234,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1,955,540 3.77% 1,951,200 2.445,597 2.445,608 2.445,597 2.445,597 2.445,608 2.444,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.445,608 2.444,608 2.445,6						163,488				2,680,947	
6.00 5.33% 191,609 5.72% 152,40 755% 2,729,520 5.25% 2,384,640 15.50 13.76% 234,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1,959,540 3.77% 1,951,200 2.445,597 15.50 13.76% 234,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1,959,540 3.77% 1,951,200 3.33% 106,187 3.17% 65,147 3.23% 1,576,080 3.03% 1,576,080 7.00 6.22% 410,876 12.27% 83,906 4.16% 2,183,760 4.20% 1,979,280 7.50 6.66% 171,657 5.13% 64,916 3.22% 3,651,120 7.02% 12,939,840 3.03% 103.00 9.14% 3.29,127 9.83% 238,013 11.80% 7,097,040 13.65% 6,744,600 11.26,32 11.26% 100,00% 3,349,260 100,00% 2,017,045 100,01% 2,017,045 100,01% 2,017,045 100,01% 2,017,045 100,01% 2,017,045 100,01% 2,017,045 100,01% 40,778,280 100	Maplewood	7.08	6.29%	389,078	11.62%	199,485	68.6	5,449,680	10.48%	2,134,800	5.24%
6.00 5.33% 191,609 5.72% 152,240 7.55% 2,729,520 5.25% 2,384,640 7.55% 15.50 15.50 15.50 13.76% 224,484 7.00% 184,63 91.5% 1.959,540 3.77% 1.951,200 2.445,597 1.951,200 15.50 13.76% 234,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1.959,540 3.77% 1.951,200 1.974,896 233,394 3.17% 65,147 3.23% 1.576,080 3.03% 1.576,080 1.974,896 1.974,8						124,807				2,993,042	
15.50 13.76% 234,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1,959,540 3.77% 1,951,200 Park 9.44 8.38% 106,187 3.17% 65,147 3.23% 1,575,080 3.03% 1,576,080	Millburn	90.9	5.33%	191,609	5.72%	152,240	7.55%	2,729,520	5.25%	2,384,640	5.85%
15.50 13.76% 234,484 7.00% 184,463 9.15% 1,959,540 3.77% 1,951,200 Park						151,256				2,445,597	
Park 9.44 8.38% 106,187 3.17% 65,147 3.23% 1,576,080 3.03% 1,974,896 range 7.00 6.22% 410,876 12.27% 83,906 4.16% 2,183,760 4.20% 1,979,280 range 7.50 6.66% 171,657 5.13% 64,916 3.22% 3,651,120 7.02% 1,979,280 10.30 9.14% 329,127 9.83% 2.38,013 11.80% 14,534,640 27.96% 12,939,840 3.46,491 range 13.50 11.99% 250,811 7.48% 154,847 7.68% 7,097,040 13.65% 6,744,600 112.63 10.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 2,017,045 100.01% 51,986,580 100.00% 40,778,280 10	Newark	15.50	13.76%	234,484	7.00%	184,463	9.15%	1,959,540	3.77%	1,951,200	4.78%
Park 9.44 8.38% 106,187 3.17% 65,147 3.23% 1,576,080 3.03% 1,576,080 2,481,411 range 7.00 6.22% 410,876 12.27% 83,906 4.16% 2,183,760 4.20% 1,979,280 range 7.50 6.66% 171,657 5.13% 64,916 3.22% 3,651,120 7.02% 1,979,280 10.30 9.14% 329,127 9.83% 238,013 11.80% 14,534,640 27.96% 12,939,840 3,467,497 amge 13.50 11.99% 250,811 7.48% 154,847 7.68% 7,097,040 13.65% 6,744,600 1,653,234 112.63 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 2,017,045 100.01% 51,986,580 100.00% 40,778,280 10						53,394				1,974,896	
Frange 7.00 6.22% 410,876 12.27% 83,906 4.16% 2,183,760 4.20% 1,979,280 2348,387 23,229 3,651,120 7.02% 1,872,720 16,234,057 10.30 9.14% 329,127 9.83% 238,013 11.80% 14,534,640 27.96% 12,939,840 313.50 11.99% 250,811 7.48% 1553,234 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 2,017,045 100.01% 2,1986,580 100.00% 2,0178,280 10.01% 2,1986,580 100.00% 2,0178,280 10.01% 2,1986,580 100.00% 2,0178,280 10.01% 2,1986,580 100.00% 2,0178,280 10.01% 2,1986,580 100.00% 2,0178,280 10.00%	Roselle Park	9.44	8.38%	106,187	3.17%	65,147	3.23%	1,576,080	3.03%	1,576,080	3.86%
range 7.00 6.22% 410,876 12.27% 83,906 4.16% 2,183,760 4.20% 1,979,280 7.50 6.66% 171,657 5.13% 64,916 3.22% 3,651,120 7.02% 1,872,720 10.30 9.14% 329,127 9.83% 238,013 11.80% 14,534,640 27.96% 12,939,840 3,467,497 amge 13.50 11.99% 250,811 7.48% 154,847 7.68% 7,097,040 13.65% 6,744,600 1,653,234 112.63 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 2,017,045 100.01% 51,986,580 100.00% 40,778,280 10						68,768				2,481,411	
7.50 6.66% 171,657 5.13% 64,916 3.22% 3,651,120 7.02% 1,872,720 195,062 10.30 9.14% 329,127 9.83% 238,013 11.80% 14,534,640 27.96% 12,939,840 3 126,956 11.99% 250,811 7.48% 154,847 7.68% 17,097,040 13.65% 6,744,600 11.63 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 2,017,045 100.01% 51,986,580 100.00% 40,778,280 10	South Orange	7.00	6.22%	410,876	12.27%	83,906	4.16%	2,183,760	4.20%	1,979,280	4.85%
7.50 6.66% $171,657$ 5.13% $64,916$ 3.22% $3,651,120$ 7.02% $1,872,720$ $16,234,057$ 10.30 9.14% 329,127 9.83% 238,013 11.80% $14,534,640$ 27.96% 12,939,840 3 126,956 11.99% 250,811 7.48% $154,847$ 7.68% $7.097,040$ 13.65% $6,744,600$ 112.63 112.64			4			53,229				2,348,387	
195,062 16,234,057 15,062 16,234,057 16,236,057 16,234,057 16,234,057 12,939,840 12,939,840 12,939,840 8,467,497 8,467,497 8,467,497 Drange 13.50 113.65 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 20,17,045 100.01% 51,986,580 100.00% 40,778,280 1	Summit	7.50	%99'9	171,657	5.13%	64,916	3.22%	3,651,120	7.02%	1,872,720	4.59%
10.309.14%329,1279.83%238,01311.80%14,534,64027.96%12,939,840Drange13.5011.99%250,8117.48%154,8477.68%7.097,04013.65%6,744,600112.63100.00%3,349,260100.00%2,017,045100.01%51,986,580100.00%40,778,2801						195,062				16,234,057	
12.6956 13.50 11.99% 250,811 7.48% 154,847 1,653,234 112.63 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 2,017,045 100.01% 2,017,045 100.01% 3,349,260 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 126,958	Union	10.30	9.14%	329,127	9.83%	238,013	11.80%	14,534,640	27.96%	12,939,840	31.73%
13.50 11.99% 250,811 7.48% 154,847 7.68% 7.097,040 13.65% 6,744,600 112.63 100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 2,017,045 100.01% 51,986,580 100.00% 40,778,280 1						126,956				8,467,497	
1,653,234 51,163,117 51,000% 3,349,260 100.00% 2,017,045 100.01% 51,986,580 100.00% 40,778,280	West Orange	13.50	11.99%	250,811	7.48%	154,847	7.68%	7,097,040	13.65%	6,744,600	16.55%
100.00% 3,349,260 100.00% 2,017,045 100.01% 51,986,580 100.00% 40,778,280						1,653,234				51,163,117	
		112.63	100.00%	3,349,260	100.00%	2,017,045	100.01%	51,986,580	100.00%	40,778,280	100.00%

(1) Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-2) with trunk sewer infiltration allocated based on contract capacity.

⁽²⁾ Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-3)

^{(3) &}quot;Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD= 6.346%

⁽⁴⁾ 2010 - Infiltration related to base year total = $2.017 \, \text{MGD}$ / $52.79 \, \text{MGD} = 3.82079939\%$

⁻ Adjusted for period 11/1/2009 - 10/31/2010; (3.82079939% x 15,791.6400 = 603.36 MG / Y ear / 365 = 1,653,234 GPD)

^{(5) 2010} inflow adjusted for period 11/1/2009 - 10/31/2010 - (41.68"/33.22")x (40,778,280) = 51,163,117 GPD

Table IV - A
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Adjusted 2010 - Summary of Infiltration and Inflow

	Phase IIB		2010	.	Phase IIB		2010	
	SSES	2009	Infiltration	2010	SSES	2009	Inflow	2010
	Infiltration	Infiltration	Removed	Infiltration	Inflow	Inflow	Removed	Inflow
Municipality	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)
Hact Orange	70 747	44.022	•	44 022	3 007 440	218 160	'	218 160
		,						
Hillside	79,012	32,980	•	32,980	1,185,120	1,185,120	ı	1,185,120
Irvington	1,115,672	797,026	•	797,026	8,612,640	7,791,840	ı	7,791,840
Maplewood	389,078	199,485		199,485	5,449,680	2,137,680	2,880	2,134,800
Millburn	191,609	152,240	ı	152,240	2,729,520	2,384,640	,	2,384,640
Newark	234,484	184,463	ı	184,463	1,959,540	1,951,200	1	1,951,200
Roselle Park	106,187	65,147	1	65,147	1,576,080	1,576,080	ı	1,576,080
South Orange	410,876	83,906	ı	83,906	2,183,760	2,027,520	48,240	1,979,280
Summit	171,657	64,916	ı	64,916	3,651,120	1,872,720	1	1,872,720
Union	329,127	238,013	ı	238,013	14,534,640	13,170,240	230,400	12,939,840
West Orange	250,811	154,847	ı	154,847	7,097,040	6,744,600	1	6,744,600
Total	3,349,260	2,017,045	ı	2,017,045	51,986,580	41,059,800	281,520	40,778,280

Table V

Cost Albeation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

		Cost Ameration - Lions, DO	Cost America - Alon, Bob & 155 Will Millia adoll / Amilon		
		<u>Total</u>	Domestic & Commercial		Industrial
Flow		15,791.6400 MG	15,337.1880 MG	(G	454.4520 MG
		\$5,847,131.04	\$5,666,461.95		
					\$180,669.09
Infiltration	3.8207994%	603.36	586.00	\$216,502.97	17.36
Inflow	10.8849999%	1,718.92	1,669.45	\$616,793.31	49.47
BOD at	\$695.1378880 Per Ton	8,459.6997 Tons	7,208.6950 Tons	ons	1,251.0047 Tons
		\$5,880,657.78	\$5,011,037.02		\$869,620.77
TSS at	\$464.6179273 Per Ton	8,924.4925 Tons	8,672.3818 Tons	ons	252.1107 Tons
		\$4,146,479.21	\$4,029,344.06		\$117,135.15
Tota1		\$15,874,268.03 1	\$14,706,843.03		\$1,167,425.01
(1) Amount anticipat	(1) Amount anticipated from Members after allocation of		38.4602887% to City of Elizabeth \$	9,456,251.96	

6.34590% (Per H&S Phase IIB Report) Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD ="Base Year - 1982"

82.4817518% 1 inch of rain = 50 mg of inflow (Per H&S Phase IIB Report) 15,791.6400 × 3.82079939% 113 MGD (Excluding Elizabeth) / 137 MGD (Total) = Infiltration: 2.017 MGD/52.79MGD = "2009 - 2010" Inflow:

603.37 MG / Year (November 1, 2009 - October 31, 2010)

1,718.92 MG/ Year

(216,502.97) (616,793.31) \$13,873,546.75 \$14,706,843.03 151,334 \$91.6750151981709 Rainfall = 41.68 Inches X 50 MG X 82.482%Net after infiltration and inflow Equivalent Dwelling Units User Charge Per Unit Less: Infiltration Less: Inflow Total D&C Inflow:

Table VI
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2011

		l		1	1107				
				Do	Domestic & Commercial	nercial			
	Dwelling	3	User Charge	11.HuT	Infiltration	, ,	, and 0 and 1		
Municipality	Units	•	Per Unit	Percent 1	Amount	Percent 2	Amount	Total Cost	Unit Cost
East Orange	7,215		\$661,435.23	2.18%	\$4,719.76	0.53%	\$3,269.00	\$669,423.99	\$92.7823
Hillside	8,536		782,537.93	1.64%	3,550.65	2.91%	17,948.69	804,037.27	94.1937
Irvington	27,104		2,484,759.61	39.51%	85,540.32	19.11%	117,869.20	2,688,169.13	99.1798
Maplewood	9,561		876,504.82	%68.6	21,412.14	5.24%	32,319.97	930,236.93	97.2949
Millbum	9,843 ³	_	902,357.17	7.55%	16,345.97	5.85%	36,082.41	954,785.55	97.0015
Newark	17,427		1,597,620.49	9.15%	19,810.02	4.78%	29,482.72	1,646,913.23	94.5035
Roselle Park	4,752		435,639.67	3.23%	6,993.05	3.86%	23,808.22	466,440.94	98.1568
South Orange	7,879		722,307.44	4.16%	9,006.52	4.85%	29,914.48	761,228.44	96.6149
Summit	14,043 4	_	1,287,392.24	3.22%	6,971.40	4.59%	28,310.81	1,322,674.45	94.1875
Union	24,999		2,291,783.70	11.80%	25,547.35	31.73%	195,708.52	2,513,039.57	100.5256
West Orange	19,975		1,831,208.43	7.68%	16,627.43	16.55%	102,079.29	1,949,915.15	97.6178
	151,334	93	\$13,873,546.73	100.01%	\$216,524.61	100.00%	\$616,793.31	\$14,706,864.65	\$97.1815
	(1) See Table	e IV -	(1) See Table IV - Infiltration for November of the Prior Year	Jovember of th	e Prior Year				
	(2) See Table	e IV -	(2) See Table IV - Inflow for November of the Prior Year	mber of the Pri	ior Year				
	(3) Includes Livingston (409)(4) Includes New Providence	Livin	(3) Includes Livingston (409) (4) Includes New Providence (35 <i>5</i> 7)	E C					\$89.5386 2007

Table VI (Continued)
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2011

				Ind	Industrial					
						Flow (M	Flow (MG / Year)			
	BOD	BOD^{1}	TSS	TSS ²	Dry	Infiltration	Inflow		Flow 3	Total
Municipality	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	(Tons / Year)	(\$Year)	Weather	3.820799%	10.885000%	Total	(\$/Year)	Cost
East Orange	0.0000	\$0.00	0.0000	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hillside	535.2733	372,088.75	34.6783	16,112.16	57.51	2.58	7.34	67.43	26,805.06	415,005.97
Irvington	40.1539	27,912.50	18.3949	8,546.60	35.29	1.58	4.50	41.37	16,448.39	52,907.49
Maplewood	384.8591	267,530.14	26.47 <i>6</i> 7	12,301.55	30.46	136	3.89	35.71	14,196.25	294,027.94
Millbum	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Newark	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Roselle Park	0.1490	103.58	0.1630	75.73	0.58	0.03	0.07	0.67	267.95	447.26
South Orange	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Summit 4	43.4616	30,211.80	42.9583	19,959.20	82.08	3.68	10.48	96.24	38,258.98	88,429.98
Union	247.1078	171,773.99	129.4395	60,139.91	181.71	8.14	23.19	213.03	84,692.46	316,606.36
West Orange	0.0000	0.0000 0.00 1,251.0047 \$ 869,620.76	0.0000	\$117,135.15	387.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 \$1,167,425.00

(1) At \$695.137888/Ton (2) At \$464.6179273/Ton (3) At \$397.5537261/MG (4) Includes Murray Hill

Table VII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Summary of Estimated Annual Operational and Maintenance Expenses To Member Municipalities
2011

The Contract of the Contract o		Domestic & Co	mmercial		
Municipality	Total Assessments ¹	User Charge Domestic Sewage 91.6750152	User Charges In dustrial	Infiltration / Inflow Charges	Assess ment Percentage
East Orange	\$669,423.99	\$661,435.23	\$0.00	\$7,988.76	4.2170%
Hillside	1,219,043.24	782,537.93	415,005.97	21,499.34	7.6794%
Irvington	2,741,076.62	2,484,759.61	52,907.49	203,409.52	17.2674%
Maplewood	1,224,264.87	876,504.82	294,027.94	53,732.11	7.7122%
Millburn	954,785.55	902,357.17	0.00	52,428.38	6.0147%
Newark	1,646,913.23	1,597,620.49	0.00	49,292.74	10.3747%
Roselle Park	466,888.20	435,639.67	447.26	30,801.27	2.9412%
South Orange	761,228.44	722,307.44	0.00	38,921.00	4.7954%
Summit	1,411,104.43	1,287,392.24	88,429.98	35,282.21	8.8892%
Union	2,829,645.93	2,291,783.70	316,606.36	221,255.87	17.8253%
West Orange	1,949,915.15	1,831,208.43	0.00	118,706.72	12.2835%
West Orange	1,949,915.15 \$15,874,289.65	1,831,208.43 \$13,873,546.73	\$1,167,425.00	\$833,317.92	12.2835
Elizabeth	9,456,230.35				
Total	\$25,330,520.00				

(1) Reflects anticipated payment of \$9,456,230.35 from the City of Elizabeth which is 38.4602887% of allocation from Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying budget. Exact 2011 percent contribution to be calculated at the end of fiscal year. Quarterly adjustments to be made in accordance with Agreement between Elizabeth and Joint Meeting.

Table VIII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Comparison of 2011 Assessment with 2010 Assessment

				Comp	arison
Municipality	2010	2011		Amount	Percentage
East Orange	\$696,293.07	\$669,423.99	(-)	(\$26,869.08)	-3.86%
Hillside	1,306,182.91	1,219,043.24	(-)	(87,139.67)	-6.67%
Irvington	2,853,908.83	2,741,076.62	(-)	(112,832.21)	-3.95%
Maplewood	1,286,680.39	1,224,264.87	(-)	(62,415.52)	-4.85%
Millbum	987,721.12	954,785.55	(-)	(32,935.57)	-3.33%
Newark	1,710,921.26	1,646,913.23	(-)	(64,008.03)	-3.74%
Ros el le Park	483,566.91	466,888.20	(-)	(16,678.71)	-3.45%
South Orange	790,642.08	761,228.44	(-)	(29,413.64)	-3.72%
Summit	1,464,329.77	1,411,104.43	(-)	(53,225.34)	-3.63%
Union	2,861,990.26	2,829,645.93	(-)	(32,344.33)	-1.13%
West Orange	2,013,147.33	1,949,915.15	(-)	(63,232.18)	-3.14%
Č	\$16,455,383.93	\$15,874,289.65	(-)	(\$581,094.28)	-3.53%
Elizabeth	8,378,937.34	9,456,230.35	(+)	\$ 1,077,293.01	12.86%
Total	\$24,834,321.27	\$25,330,520.00	(+)	\$496,198.73	2.00%

Note: City of Elizabeth percentage contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying Budget:

City of Elizabeth percentage

2007	32.78089120%
2008	33.62887370%
2009	35.97984850%
2010	34.62822260%

Table III, IV, V, VI, and VII, have been prepared to reflect the Domestic Sewer Usage and Industrial User assessment to each municipality for 2011 as a result of the Agreement with the City of Elizabeth which requires 38.4602887% of the 2011 Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Budget to be paid in Quarterly assessments with adjustments made following the determination of the actual percentage of contribution for each quarter. The 38.4602887 figure is an estimate based upon actual monitoring of quality and quantity at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, etc., in accordance with the Agreement. The actual percentage of contribution will be determined following the completion of the annual audit of expenses. In addition, these tables reflect the costs associated with Infiltration / Inflow for the member municipalities, the percentages of which were determined from the Phase IIB SSES Reports, adjusted as a result of rehabilitation work, and updated in 2010.

Summary and Certification

On the basis of the measured usage of Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and the Treatment Plant, including the Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facilities, and an estimate of Dwelling Units and Waste Characteristics for the municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, and in accordance with the provisions of the 1926 contract, I hereby certify that the estimated maintenance and operating costs of the Joint Meeting for the 2011 are apportioned as follows:

	2011	2010		2011	2010
East Orange	4.2170%	4.2314%	Roselle Park	2.9412%	2.9387%
Hillside	7.6794%	7.9377%	South Orange	4.7954%	4.8048%
Irvington	17.2674%	17.3433%	Summit	8.8892%	8.8988%
Maplewood	7.7122%	7.8192%	Union	17.8253%	17.3924%
Millburn	6.0147%	6.0024%	West Orange	12.2835%	12.2340%
Newark	10.3747%	10.3973%	Total	100.0000%	100.0000%

Respectfully submitted,

a, Rolph Le medel

A. Ralph LaMendola Chief Engineer

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A 2011 Budget

	Treatment Plant	Dewatering Facility	Drying Facility	Sewer	Total
Electric Service	\$ 680,000	80,000	\$ 11,000	\$ -	\$ 771,000
Gas Service	402,150	98,500	-	-	500,650
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	134,000	247,500	-	-	381,500
Water Service	195,000	65,000	3,500	-	263,500
Chemicals	926,220	845,900	6,800	2,200	1,781,120
Sludge Disposal	-	2,411,291	-	-	2,411,291
Insurance	510,260	102,051	47,624	20,410	680,345
Administration	1,806,166	944,438	10,000	198,623	2,959,227
Labor	5,567,87	908,444	65,751	9,188	6,551,254
Benefits	3,218,604	559,984	48,500	82,845	3,909,933
Equipment	165,000	61,000	-	-	226,000
Printing & Stationery	15,000	2,000	-	1,000	18,000
Maintenance, Supplies & Spare Parts	1,128,333	376,167	5,000	276,000	1,785,500
Screening Disposal	209,000	-	-	-	209,000
Reserve Contingency	175,000	-	-	-	175,000
Miscellaneous Expenses	177,500	87,500	-	120,000	385,000
Technical & Professional Services	267,500	50,000	-	25,000	342,500
Replacement Fund	1,174,000	-	-	-	1,174,000
NJPDES & Miscellaneous Permit Fee	527,500	20,000	250,000	8,200	805,700
	\$ 17,279,104	\$ 6,859,775	\$ 448,175	\$ 743,466	\$ 25,330,520
	68.219	% 27.08%	1.77%	2.94%	100.00%

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

Operations and Management Cost Allocations (Treatment Plant)

	Estimated Total Cost	Percent Flow		Cost Flow	P	ercent SS		Cost SS	Percent BOD	(Cost BOD
General Expenditures 1	\$ 2,482,610	80%	\$	1,986,088		10%	\$	248,261	10%	\$	248,261
Power	680,000	40%	\$	272,000		5%	\$	34,000	55%	\$	374,000
Chlorine	565,000	40%	\$	226,000					60%	\$	339,000
Maintenance, Equipment,											
Supplies, Spare Parts, & Replacement Fund	2,642,333	28%	\$	739,853		27%	\$	713,430	45%	\$	1,189,050
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	134,000	40%	\$	53,600		30%	\$	40,200	30%	\$	40,200
Administration, Labor & Benefits											
(Payroll Retirement)	10,592,641	40%		4,237,056		10%	\$	1,059,264	50%	\$	5,296,321
Sludge Processing 2	182,520					50%	\$	91,260	50%	_\$	91,260
Total	\$17,279,104 100%		\$ 43	7,514,597 3.4895062%			\$ 12	2,186,415 6535207%			7,578,092 .8569731%
(1) General Expenditures	٥		(O)	CL 1 D							
(From the Approved Budg	get)		(2)	Sludge Proce	essing						
Insurance Technical & Professional Stationery & Printing Gas Water Miscellaneous Service Contracts Permit Fees Sodium Bisulfite & Misc.		\$ 510,260 267,500 15,000 402,150 195,000 177,500 209,000 527,500 178,700		lymer MnO4	\$	85,000 97,520					

$\label{lem:continuous} \begin{tabular}{ll} Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties \\ Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities \\ Appendix A (Continued) \end{tabular}$

Operations and Management Allocations

	Operations untarian			
	Estimated			
	Total Cost	Cost Flow	Cost TSS	Cost BOD
Treatment Plant	\$ 17,279,104	\$ 7,514,597	\$ 2,186,415	\$ 7,578,092
Dewatering Facility	6,859,775		3,429,888	3,429,888
Drying Facility	448,175		224,088	224,088
	\$ 24,587,054	\$ 7,514,597	\$ 5,840,390	\$11,232,067
Subtotal	100.00%	30.5632265%	23.7539235%	45.6828500%
Sewers 1	\$ 743,466			
Total	\$ 25,330,520			

⁽¹⁾ Joint Meeting Members Only

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011 SUMMARY

	F1 014	LOADINGS	TOO	
	FLOW	BOD	TSS	
MUNICIPALITY	(MG)	(tons)	(tons)	
HILLSIDE	67.425	535.27333053	34.67825793	
IRVINGTON	41.374	40.15390161	18.39490833	
MAPLEWOOD	35.709	384.85910367	26.47668942	
MURRAY HILL	25.391	12.10532235	7.20735711	
ROSELLE PARK	0.674	0.14896074	0.16301364	
SUMMIT	70.845	31.35625662	35.75091537	
UNION	213.034	247.10781990	129.43951467	
MEMBERS TOTAL	454.452	1251.00469542	252.11065647	
ELIZABETH	239.939	2959.98430707	482.77701288	
GRAND TOTAL	694.391	4210.98900249	734.88766935	
		COSTS		
MUNICIPALITY	FLOW	BOD	TSS	TOTAL
HILLSIDE	\$26,805.06	\$372,088.77	\$16,112.14	\$415,005.97
IRVINGTON	\$16,448.39	\$27,912.50	\$8,546.60	\$52,907.49
MAPLEWOOD	\$14,196.25	\$267,530.14	\$12,301.54	\$294,027.94
MURRAY HILL	\$10,094.29	\$8,414.87	\$3,348.67	\$21,857.82
ROSELLE PARK	\$267.95	\$103.55	\$75.74	\$447.24
SUMMIT	\$28,164.69	\$21,796.92	\$16,610.52	\$66,572.13
UNION	\$84,692.46	\$171,774.01	\$60,139.92	\$316,606.39
MEMBERS TOTAL	\$180,669.09	\$869,620.76	\$117,135.13	\$1,167,424.98
ELIZABETH	\$84,092.38	\$2,057,597.24	\$224,306.86	\$2,365,996.48
GRAND TOTAL	\$264,761.47	\$2,927,218.00	\$341,441.99	\$3,533,421.46

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011 COST FACTORS

 FLOW
 BOD
 TSS

 MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES
 \$397.5537261
 \$695.1378880
 \$464.6179273

 ELIZABETH
 \$350.4740125
 \$695.1378880
 \$464.6179273

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Cost Factors

Municipality: HILLSIDE

			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG \$397.5537261	BOD per Ton \$695.1378880	TSS per Ton \$464,6179273	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
1042	GEC Marconi / BAE	1	2	2	8.736	0.0729	0.0729	
	Totals:				8.736	0.0729	0.0729	**
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,473.03	\$50.65	\$33.85	\$3,557.53
1050	Manhattan Drug Co.	4	426	150	0.567	1.0072	0.3547	
	Totals:				0.567	1.0072	0.3547	
	Cost Analysis:				\$225.41	\$700.16	\$164.78	\$1,090.36
1054	Oasis Foods	3	2291	401	5.556	53.0791	9.2906	
		99	193	149	1.506	1.2120	0.9357	
	Totals:				7.062	54.2911	10.2263	
	Cost Analysis:				\$2,807.52	\$37,739.82	\$4,751.32	\$45,298.66
1058	Quest Industries	2	46	45	0.351	0.0673	0.0659	
		99	193	149	0.005	0.0040	0.0031	
	Totals:				0.356	0.0714	0.0690	****
	Cost Analysis:				\$141.53	\$49.60	\$32.05	\$223.17
1090	Union Beverages	1	2421	87	45.756	461.9329	16.5998	
		2	935	851	1.084	4.2265	3.8468	
	Totals:				46.84	466.1594	20.4466	\$252.4CC.20
	Cost Analysis:				\$18,621.42	\$324,045.03	\$9,499.85	\$352,166.30
1091	Hillside Bottling	2	1437	119	1.623	9.7255	0.8054	
		99	193	149	0.035	0.0282	0.0217	
	Totals:				1.658	9.7537	0.8271	\$7 000 50
	Cost Analysis:				\$659.14	\$6,780.14	\$384.30	\$7,823.58
1092	A&H Products	1	443	302	2.055	3.7962	2.5879	
		99	193	149	0.151	0.1215	0.0938	
	Totals:				2.206	3.9177	2.6818	* 4.040.07
	Cost Analysis:				\$877.00	\$2,723.38	\$1,246.00	\$4,846.37
	HILLSIDE TOTALS				67.4250	535,2733	34.6783	
					\$26,805.06	\$372,088.77	\$16,112.14	\$415,005.97

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: IRVINGTON

						Cost Factors		
					Flow per MG	BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton	
			Concentr	ation	\$397.5537261	\$695,1378880	\$464.6179273	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
1U #	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
2030	Hi-Speed Plating	1	80	24	0.015	0.0050	0.0015	
		99	193	149	0.061	0.0491	0.0379	
	Totals:				0.076	0.0541	0.0394	
	Cost Analysis:				\$30.21	\$37.61	\$18.31	\$86.13
			1004	400	0.121	2.1348	0.0696	
2066	Wayne County Foods	1	4231	138		2.1346 0.2841	0.0090	
		99	193	149	0.353		0,2193	
	Totals:				0.474	2.4189		to 004.40
	Cost Analysis:				\$188.44	\$1,681.49	\$134.26	\$2,004.19
2072	Clean-Tex Services	1	187	91	37.187	28.9981	14,1114	
2012	Clean-Tex Services	99	193	149	1.552	1.2491	0.9643	
	Totals:	33	100	1.10	38.739	30.2471	15.0757	
	Cost Analysis:				\$15,400.83	\$21,025.92	\$7,004.42	\$43,431.17
					0.005	7 4000	2.9909	
2076	International Vitamin	1	855	344	2.085	7.4338		
	Totals:				2.085	7.4338	2.9909	¢7.000.04
	Cost Analysis:				\$828.90	\$5,167.48	\$1,389.62	\$7,386.01
	IRVINGTON TOTALS				41.3740	40.1539	18.3949	
					\$16,448.39	\$27,912.50	\$8,546.60	\$52,907.49
					•			

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: MAPLEWOOD

BOD (mg/l) 280 193	TSS (mg/l) 93 149	Flow per MG \$397.5537261 FLOW (MG) 0.605 0.140 0.745	BOD per Ton \$695.1378880 BOD (Tons) 0.7064 0.1127 0.8191	TSS per Ton \$464,6179273 TSS (Tons) 0.2346 0.0870	ANNUAL PAYMENT
(mg/l) 280	(mg/l) 93	(MG) 0.605 0.140 0.745	(Tons) 0.7064 0.1127	(Tons) 0.2346	
280	93	0.605 0.140 0.745	0.7064 0.1127	0.2346	PAYMENT
		0.140 0.745	0.1127		
193	149	0.745		0.0870	
	,		0.9101		
			0.0181	0.3216	
		\$296.18	\$569.37	\$149.43	\$1,014.97
0.40	200	0.040	0 1317	10 7020	
209	51				
					\$17,530.85
		\$4,524.96	\$1,101.21	\$3,244.03	Ψ17,550.05
68	16	2.028	0.5751	0.1353	
	149	0.140	0.1127	0.0870	
		2.168	0.6877	0.2223	
		\$861.90	\$478.07	\$103.28	\$1,443.25
4400	404	24 44 4	272 1972	14 6446	
4168	164				
					\$274,038.86
		\$8,513.22	\$236,721.30	Ф 0,004.13	\$274,030.00
		35.7090	384.8591	26.4767 \$42.204.54	\$294,027.94
	242 209 68 193 4168	209 51 - 68 16 193 149	242 286 9.049 209 51 2.333 11.382 \$4,524.96 68 16 2.028 193 149 0.140 2.168 \$861.90 4168 164 21.414 21.414 \$8,513.22	242 286 9.049 9.1317 209 51 2.333 2.0333 11.382 11.1650 \$4,524.96 \$7,761.21 68 16 2.028 0.5751 193 149 0.140 0.1127 2.168 0.6877 \$861.90 \$478.07 4168 164 21.414 372.1873 21.414 372.1873 \$8,513.22 \$258,721.50	242 286 9.049 9.1317 10.7920 209 51 2.333 2.0333 0.4962 11.382 11.1650 11.2882 \$4,524.96 \$7,761.21 \$5,244.69 68 16 2.028 0.5751 0.1353 193 149 0.140 0.1127 0.0870 2.168 0.6877 0.2223 \$861.90 \$478.07 \$103.28 4168 164 21.414 372.1873 14.6446 21.414 372.1873 14.6446 \$8,513.22 \$258,721.50 \$6,804.15

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: MURRAY HILL

					Flow per MG	Cost Factors BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton	
			Concentr	ation	\$397.5537261	\$695.1378880	\$464.6179273	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
5010	Bell Labs / Lucent	1	7	12	17.748	0.5181	0.8881	
3010	Totals:	•	•		17.748	0.5181	0.8881	
	Cost Analysis:				\$7,055.78	\$360.13	\$412.63	\$7,828.54
		4	626	838	0.942	2.4590	3,2918	
5011	Baxter Pharmaceutical	1	626	030	0.942	2.4590	3.2918	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$374.50	\$1,709.35	\$1,529.42	\$3,613.27
					4.000	8.6114	2.5855	
5020	Fablok Mills	4	423	127	4.882	0.6114	0.1149	
		99	193	149	0.185 5.067	8.7603	2.7004	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$2,014.40	\$6,089.62	\$1,254.66	\$9,358.68
			_,	40	4.004	0.3679	0.3271	
5021	FRC-Electrical Ind	1	54	48	1.634 1.634	0.3679	0.3271	
	Totals:				\$649.60	\$255.77	\$151.96	\$1,057,33
	Cost Analysis:				\$ 649.60	φ233.77	ψ101.50	\$1,007.00
М	URRAY HILL TOTALS				25.3910	12.1053	7.2074	****
					\$10,094.29	\$8,414.87	\$3,348.67	\$21,857.82

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: ROSELLE PARK

			Cost Factors						
			Concentration		Flow per MG \$397.5537261	BOD per Ton TSS per Ton \$695.1378880 \$464.6179273			
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT	
6005	Hexacon Electric Total Cost Analysi		53	58	0.674 0.674 \$267.95	0.1490 0.1490 \$103.55	0.1630 0.1630 \$75.74	\$447.24	
RO	SELLE PARK TOTALS				0.6740 \$267.95	0.1490 \$103.55	0.1630 \$75.74	\$447.24	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: SUMMIT

			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG \$397.5537261			
1U #	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
5511	Novartis Groundwater Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	1	2	0.642 0.642 \$255.23	0.0027 0.0027 \$1.86	0.0054 0.0054 \$2.49	\$259.58
5512	Summit Property Co. Totals: Cost Analysis:	03A 99	67 193	72 149	54.914 4.038 58.952 \$23,436.59	15.3424 3.2498 18.5922 \$12,924.17	16.4874 2.5089 18.9963 \$8,826.03	\$45,186.79
5513	Celgene Corporation Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	272	357	11.251 11.251 \$4,472.88	12.7613 12.7613 \$8,870.89	16.7493 16.7493 \$7,782.00	\$21,125.77
	SUMMIT TOTALS				70.8450 \$28,164.69	31.3563 \$21,796.92	35.7509 \$16,610.52	\$66,572.13

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: UNION

			Concentr	ation		Cost Factors BOD per Ton \$695.1378880		
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
7015	ACuPowder Total Cost Analysi		193 132	149 127	0.035 0.652 0.687 \$273.12	0.0282 0.3589 0.3871 \$269.0 6	0.0217 0.3453 0.3670 \$170.53	\$712.71
7035	American Products Total Cost Analysi		367	493	0.555 0.555 \$220.64	0.8494 0.8494 \$5 90.43	1.1410 1.1410 \$530.12	\$1,341.19
7070	Durex Total Cost Analysi		171	262	4.225 4.225 \$1,679.66	3.0127 3.0127 \$2,094.26	4.6160 4.6160 \$2,144.67	\$5,918.59
7077	Siemens Water Technolog Total Cost Analysi	s:	23	33	3.058 3.058 \$1,215.72	0.2933 0.2933 \$203.88	0.4208 0.4208 \$195.52	\$1,615.11
7080	Foremost Mfg Total Cost Analysi		9	79	12.531 12.531 \$4,981.75	0.4703 0.4703 \$326.92	4.1281 4.1281 \$1,917.98	\$7,226.64
7092	International Paint Total Cost Analysi		228	344	4.947 4.947 \$1,9 66.70	4.7034 4.7034 \$3,269.52	7.0964 7.0964 \$3,297.10	\$8,533.32
7105	Stonco Lighting Total Cost Analysi		296	440	0.767 0.767 \$304.92	0.9467 0.9467 \$658.10	1.4073 1.4073 \$653.85	\$1,616.88
7145	Schering Total Cost Analysi		30	26	135.277 135.277 \$53,779.88	16.9232 16.9232 \$11,763.92	14.6667 14.6667 \$6,814.43	\$72,358.23
7155	Tessler & Weiss Total Cost Analysi		145	163	1.476 1.476 \$586.79	0.8925 0.8925 \$620.39	1.0033 1.0033 \$466.13	\$1,673.30
7167	Turbo Braze Total Cost Analysi		245	204	0.231 0.231 \$91.83	0.2360 0.2360 \$164.05	0.1965 0.1965 \$91.30	\$347.19

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: UNION

						Cost Factors			
				Ē.	Flow per MG	BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton		
			Concentr	ation	\$397,5537261	\$695.1378880 \$464.6179273			
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT	
7191	Cintas	1	276	139	29.92	34,4355	17.3425		
7101	Totals				29.92	34.4355	17.3425		
	Cost Analysis				\$11,894.81	\$23,937.44	\$8,057.65	\$43,889.90	
7192	Merril Corporation	1	990	499	0.59	2.4357	1.2277		
	Totals	:			0.59	2.4357	1.2277		
	Cost Analysis	:			\$234.56	\$1,693.15	\$570.41	\$2,498.11	
7100	14 11 40-25	4	3828	1406	7.16	114,2934	41,9792		
7193	Lioni Latticini	1 99	3828 193	1406	0.313	0.2519	0.1945		
	T-4-1-		193	149	7.473	114.5453	42.1737		
	Totals				\$2,970.92	\$79,624.76	\$19,594.66	\$102,190.33	
	Cost Analysis	i.			\$2,970.92	\$79,024.70	ψ13,334.00	ψ102,130.33	
7194	BASF Catalysts	1	23	64	0.061	0.0059	0.0163		
7104	Brior Guialyolo	99	193	149	0.894	0.7195	0.5555		
	Totals				0.955	0.7254	0.5717		
	Cost Analysis				\$379.66	\$504.22	\$265.64	\$1,149.53	
						05.0000	00.5000		
7195	Deep Foods	1	1589	786	9.935	65,8306	32,5632		
		2	248	305	0.407	0.4209	. 0.5176		
	Totals				10.342	66.2515	33.0808	¢c= =2= 20	
	Cost Analysis	i.			\$4,111.50	\$46,053.93	\$15,369.93	\$65,535.36	
					213.0340	247.1078	129,4395		
	UNION TOTALS				\$84,692,46	\$171,774.01	\$60,139.92	\$316,606.39	
					\$04,D32.4D	φ1/1,//4.01	φυυ, 130.0Z	φυ 10,000.38	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: ELIZABETH

			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG \$350,4740125	Cost Factors BOD per Ton \$695.1378880	TSS per Ton \$464.6179273	
			вор	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
0033	Cargill Flavor Systems	1	2696	484	0.389	4.3733	0.7851	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				0.389 \$136.33	4.3733 \$3,040.02	0.7851 \$364.78	\$3,541.13
0037	Deb-El Foods	1	1694	260	6.628	46.8201	7.1861	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				6.628 \$2,322.94	46.8201 \$32,546.40	7.1861 \$3,338.78	\$38,208.12
0062	Garcia Laundry	2	866	274	2.737	9.8839	3.1272	
	Totals:	99	193	149	0.104 2.841	0.0837 9.9676	0,0646 3,1919	
	Cost Analysis:				\$995.70	\$6,928.86	\$1,483.00	\$9,407.55
	Actavis (formerly							
0067	Purepac) Totals:	3	1108	161	18.081 18.081	83.5407 83.5407	12.1390 12.1390	
	Cost Analysis:			,	\$6,336.92	\$58,072.33	\$5,640.02	\$70,049.26
0070	LORCO	2	12600	59	19.018	999.2438	4.6790	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				19.018 \$6,665.31	999.2438 \$694,612.19	4.6790 \$2,173.95	\$703,451.46
	Mastercraft							
0075	Electroplating	99	193	149	0.024	0.0193	0.0149	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				0.024 \$8.41	0.0193 \$13.43	0.0149 \$6.93	\$28.77
0078	Magnolia Beef	1	1424	680	0.143	0.8491	0.4055	
0070	_	99	193	149	0.365	0.2938	0.2268	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				0.508 \$178.04	1.1429 \$794.47	0.6323 \$293.77	\$1,266.28
0093	OENJ	4	7	45	10.699	0.3123	2.0077	
0000	Totals:				10.699	0.3123	2.0077	£4 000 C4
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,749.72	\$217.09	\$932.80	\$4,899.61
0098	Olympia Trails	1	310	318 149	2.408 0.521	3.1128 0.4193	3.1932 0.3237	
	Totals:	99	193	149	2.929	3.5321	3,5169	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,026.54	\$2,455.32	\$1,634.00	\$5,115.85
	Michaels Foods - North			ė.			001.1505	
0100	Avenue Facility	4 4a	2681 1518	710 366	78.074 5.435	872.8494 34.4039	231.1537 8.2950	
		4a 4b	1057	234	4.510	19.8787	4.4008	
	Takala	99	193	149	0.448 88.467	0.3606 927.4925	0.2784 244.1278	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$31,005.38	\$644,735.16	\$113,426.16	\$789,166.71

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2011

Municipality: ELIZABETH

					Flow per MG	Cost Factors BOD per Ton	TCS per Top	
			Concenti	ation	\$350,4740125	\$695,1378880	\$464.6179273	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
	Michaels Foods -					700 0005	475 7450	
0105	Papetti Plaza Facility	2	3417	761	55.372 6.764	788.9895 42.2242	175.7158 6.4309	
		2a 2b	1497 1389	228 216	1.176	6,8115	1.0592	
		3	462	745	0.392	0.7552	1.2178	
	Totals:	•			63.704	838.7805	184.4238	
	Cost Analysis:				\$22,326.60	\$583,068.10	\$85,686.62	\$691,081.31
0120	Phelps Dodge	99	193	149	0.198	0.1594	0.1230	
0120	Totals:	33	100	1.10	0.198	0.1594	0.1230	
	Cost Analysis:				\$69.39	\$110.77	\$57.16	\$237.32
	Superior Powder							
0148	Coating	1	160	84	2.366	1.5786	0.8288	
0140	Coating	99	193	149	0.411	0.3308	0.2554	
	Totals:				2.777	1.9094	1.0841	
	Cost Analysis:				\$973.27	\$1,327.28	\$503.71	\$2,804.25
0155	Duro Bag	1	491	209	4.236	8.6731	3.6918	
0100	24.0 - 49	2	80	81	5.191	1.7317	1.7534	
		3	176	133	0.542	0.3978	0.3006	
	Totals:				9.969 \$3,493.88	10.8026 \$7,509.29	5.7458 \$2,669.58	\$13,672.75
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,493.00	ψ1,500.20	ψ2,000.00	¥10,012.110
0165	Wakefern Food Corp.	2	155	121	3,684	2,3812	1.8588	
0100	Wakelelli I cod colp.	3a	361	305	3.179	4.7856	4.0432	
		4	121	151	0.558	0.2816	0.3514	
		7	24	20	0.500	0.0500	0.0417	
	Totals:				7.921 \$2,776.10	7.4983 \$5,212.36	6.2951 \$2,924.82	\$10,913.28
	Cost Analysis:				\$2,770.10	ψ3,2 12.30	ψ2,024.02	ψ10,010.20
0178	814 Americas	3	508	230	3.374	7.1473	3.2360	
0170	014 Americas	99	193	149	0.042	0.0338	0.0261	
	Totals:				3.416	7.1811	3.2621	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,197.22	\$4,991.89	\$1,515.63	\$7,704.74
0186	One Great Burger	1	274	153	0.955	1.0912	0.6093	
0100	0,,0 0,000 = 0.50.	2	333	352	0.318	0.4416	0.4668	
	Totals:				1.273	1.5327	1.0761	40.044.70
	Cost Analysis:				\$446.15	\$1,065.47	\$499.96	\$2,011.58
0189	Prince Donut Co.	3	4070	622	0.915	15.5293	2.3733	
		99	193	149	0.182	0.1465	0.1131	
	Totals:				1.097	15.6758	2.4864	¢40 400 40
	Cost Analysis:				\$384.47	\$10,896.82	\$1,155.20	\$12,436.49
ĺ	ELIZABETH TOTALS				239.9390 \$84,092.38	2,959.9843 \$2,057,597.24	482.7770 \$224,306.86	\$2,365,996.48