December 18, 2009

To the Joint Meeting

Ladies and Gentlemen:

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SEWAGE CONTRIBUTION OF THE MUNICIPALITIES ORGANIZED IN JOINT MEETING (FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE YEAR 2010)

I present herewith the 75th Annual Report for the eleven municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, covering their respective contribution to Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and to the Treatment Plant, as called for under the terms of the 1926 Contract.

Article X of the 1926 Contract requires that an estimate be made for the purpose of assessing the costs of maintenance and operation each year.

In order that the amount of work necessary for the preparation of this estimate be completed in time for consideration by the Joint Meeting before the date specified by law for the adoption of the new budget, it has been customary to use the twelve-month period immediately prior thereto as the basis of consideration for the assessment purpose only.

You will please note that this is the first of the two Annual Reports prepared each year, and that it covers the twelve-month period from November 1, 2008 through October 31, 2009. Subsequently, at the beginning of each succeeding year, a second and final report is prepared covering the previously completed calendar year, which coincides with the fiscal year of the Joint Meeting.

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, PL 92-500 and as a requirement of our Construction Grant for the expansion in Secondary Treatment, a "User Charge System" is used to apportion the operation and maintenance costs for the Joint Meeting for 2010. During the year 1978, a User Charge System was prepared and adopted by the member municipalities and is entitled "An Ordinance Establishing and Defining User Charges in Connection with the Collection and Treatment of Wastewater and Providing for the Payment of Said User Charges". During the year 2009, this "User Charge System" was utilized by the various municipalities for collection of the charges associated with the operation and maintenance of the trunk sewer system, the treatment plant, and the Sludge Dewatering Facility, the percentage of assessment based upon a report dated December 19, 2008.

During 2009, the various municipalities computed the actual dwelling units for each of their respective towns in accordance with the revised schedule included in the Sewer Use Ordinance. Table II depicts the new (2010) dwelling unit figures formulated by each municipality in 2009.

It should be noted that the methodology used to assess the City of Elizabeth for services rendered by the Joint Meeting is based on quantity and quality of sewage as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU's) tributary to the gravity Joint Meeting sewer through the Elmora Avenue Area in Elizabeth, plus the tributary area from the City of Linden. This methodology was approved by the Joint Meeting and the Elizabeth City Council. The percentage used in this assessment report for the City will be based upon a twelve (12) month, 365 day period of November 1, 2008, through October 31, 2009 and projected for a twelve (12) month period in 2010. This allocation will be assessed and paid in accordance with the member municipalities billing procedure. Then, based on the analysis of the samples taken at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, an adjustment is made (on a quarterly basis) to the percent allocation from the City. At the end of 2010, utilizing twelve (12) months of data, the actual percent contribution by the City will be calculated and certified thereto.

The accompanying computations include the total Dwelling Unit number of 47,181 for the City. (This Equivalent Dwelling Unit number was computed by Elson T. Killam Associates during the period November 8, 1979 and December 12, 1979 and revised by the City Engineer on February 9, 1982).

USER CHARGE APPORTIONMENT

Set forth below are the basis factors concerning flow, waste characteristics and projected cost of operations of the Facilities derived for the 365 day period from November 1, 2008, through October 31, 2009, and projected for 2010 and are based upon actual 2008-2009 operating statistics as well as a recent upgraded 2009 industrial waste survey. The industrial waste figures take into consideration actual yearly operational time for each industry (i.e., 5 day or 7 day working week, etc.).

1.	Flow	21,353.4280	MG/Year		58.5025	MGD
2.	BOD	16,179.5264	Tons/Year		88,654.9392	Lbs/Day
	TSS	12,774.7405	Tons/Year		69,998.5781	Lbs/Day
3.	Estimated Indus	strial Flow	686.5840	MG/Year	1.8811	MGD
4.	Estimated Indus	strial BOD	3,908.7232	Tons/Year	21,417.6614	Lbs/Day
5.	Estimated Indus	strial TSS	700.9280	Tons/Year	3,840.7014	Lbs/Day
6.	Estimated Total	Operating Ex	penses in 2010			
					\$17,109,718	STP
					6,558,212	SDWF
					528,915	SDF
					637,455	Sewers
				Name of the Control o	\$24,834,300	Total
7.	Estimated Total	Dwelling Uni	ts in System			
					151,451	JM Members
					47.181	Elizabeth

In addition to the foregoing, it has been determined that the following cost allocations would fairly represent the actual costs of treatment.

198,632 Total

Flow	31.3066972%
BOD	44.8389511%
Suspended Solids	23.8543517%

The basis for these cost allocations is set forth in Appendix A.

Based upon the foregoing allocations, Table I has been prepared which shows the projected and estimated flow and waste characteristics for 2010 and the projected estimated cost allocation between domestic sewage treated from residential and commercial establishments, and the industrial waste treatment reflecting industrial flow from industries in the collection system. This system takes into consideration the fact that the City of Elizabeth does not use the trunk sewer and will accordingly not be charged for its use. The trunk sewer charge is assessed only against member municipalities, and their respective industries.

Table I Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties Estimated Flow Waste Characteristics and Cost Allocation for Treatment in 2010

Treatment Plant

		Domestic &	
	Total for 2010	Commercial	Industrial
Flow	21,353.4280 MG/	Yr. ¹ 20,666.8440 MG/Yr.	686.5840 MG/Yr.
BOD	16,179.5264 Tons	:/Yr. ¹ 12,270.8032 Tons/Yr.	3,908.7232 Tons/Yr.
Suspended Solids	12,774.7405 Tons	:/Yr. ¹ 12,073.8125 Tons/Yr.	700.9280 Tons/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2008 thru October 31, 2009 (365 days)

Cost Allocation

		Domestic &	
	Total for 2010	Commercial	Industrial
Flow	\$7,575,233.00	\$7,331,664.00	\$243,569.00
BOD	10,849,611.50	8,228,513.00	2,621,098.50
Suspended Solid	5,772,000.50	5,455,301.00	316,699.50
	\$24,196,845.00	\$21,015,478.00	\$3,181,367.00
	100.000000%	86.85214130%	13.14785870%

Elizabeth Contribution

Flow	_	5,690.7550	MG/Yr.
BOD	-	6,542.9320	Tons/Yr.
TSS	-	3,371.9337	Tons/Yr.

Trunk Sewer Flow 1

	Domestic &	
Total for 2010	Commercial	Industrial
15,662.6730 MG/Yr. ²	15,212.9890 MG/Yr.	449.684 MG/Yr.

- (1) Based upon data from November 1, 2008 thru October 31, 2009 (365 days)
- (2) (21,353.428 5,690.7550)

COST ALLOCATION

\$637,455.00 \$619,153.31 \$18,301.69

Member municipalities will be assessed \$4.09 (\$619,153.31/151,451) for trunk sewer O&M per equivalent dwelling unit.

The estimated cost for treating industrial wastes has been determined to be \$354.75 per MG for flow, \$670.58 per ton of BOD, and \$451.83 per ton of suspended solids. These estimates are predicated upon the cost allocation to industry and the estimates of flow and strength characteristics of the industrial waste, all as indicated on Table I. These costs were determined as follows:

Flow	\$243,569.00	/	686.5840 =	\$354.7548443	/MG
BOD	\$2,621,098.50	1	3,908.7232 =	\$670.5766476	/Ton
Suspended Solids	\$316,699.50	/	700.9280 =	\$451.8288612	/Ton

All industries within the member municipalities (excluding Elizabeth) will be required to pay an additional \$40.70/MG of flow for trunk sewer use (\$18,301.69/449.684 = \$40.699/MG). This brings the total flow cost to \$395.46/MG (\$354.755 + \$40.70) for the indicated industries of member municipalities.

Based upon the user charges for 2010, a computation has been made to show the budget prepayment for each municipality of the Joint Meeting. These estimates are subject to revision annually, dependent upon the actual number of dwelling units which must be determined for each municipality in accordance with the schedule of dwelling units set forth in the ordinance. In addition thereto, the computation for the industrial user charges has been based upon actual calculations of flow and waste characteristics for the industries in the district as a result of the 2009 industrial waste survey of the service area. These are estimates for 2010 and must be verified on an annual basis to reflect the actual flow and waste characteristics.

The basis for the industrial waste flow and characteristics are set forth in Appendix B of this report. The dwelling unit figures have been compiled by each municipality with the exception of Elizabeth in accordance with the revised Schedule of Dwelling Units incorporated into the User Charge Ordinance.

Table VII sets forth the cost to each municipality to establish the budget requirements of \$24,834,300 for 2010. However, it should be noted that in accordance with the Agreement between the Joint Meeting and the City of Elizabeth noted previously, and as a result of the monitoring of the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, the City would provide for 34.6282226% of the treatment plant, sludge dewatering facility and sludge drying facility budgets initially with adjustments made quarterly. Upon completion and verification of the quality and quantity of the City's contribution as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the EDU's from the Elmora Avenue area, plus the City of Linden's contribution, an adjustment will then be made for the member municipalities.

Table II

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities

Municipality Dwelling Units and Industrial User Charge

2010

Municipality	Dwelling Units ¹	User Charges Industrial
East Orange	7,215	\$ -
Hillside	8,530	471,381
Irvington	27,196	60,750
Maplewood	9,570	321,155
Millburn	9,817 4	
Newark	17,427	-
Roselle Park	4,748	565
South Orange	7,882	-
Summit	14,187 ²	76,331 ³
Union	24,986	259,964
West Orange	19,893	-
Elizabeth	47,181 198,632	2,009,521 \$ 3,199,667

⁽¹⁾ Based on actual calculation and verification by Municipalities; City of Elizabeth computed by Elson T. Killam Associates in 1979 and updated by City Engineer on February 9, 1982.

⁽²⁾ Includes New Providence (3685)

⁽³⁾ Includes Murray Hill

⁽⁴⁾ Includes Livingston (409)

Table III

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Computation of Estimated Percent

Contribution By City of Elizabeth

For Period 11/1/2008-10/31/2009 and Projected Contribution for 2010

	Treatment Plant	Elizabeth Pu	mping Station	Joint M	1 eeting
Flow:	21,353.428 MG	5,690.755	MG	15,662.673	MG
		Industrial	D&C	Industrial	D&C
		217.464	5,473.291	449.684	15,212.989
		\$ 77,146.41	\$ 1,941,676.50	\$ 177,829.27	\$ 6,016,035.01
Unit Charge				_ L	
Unit Charge	e \$ 395.4538463 Per MG f	or Joint Meeting			
BOD:	16,179.5264 Tons	6,542.932	Tons	9,636.5944	Tons
		Industrial	D&C	Industrial	D&C
		2,477.4864	4,065.4456	1,345.2447	8,291.3497
		\$ 1,661,344.52	\$ 2,726,192.88	\$ 902,089.68	
Unit Charge	\$670.5766476 Per Ton				
TSS:	12,774.7405 Tons	3,371.9337	Tons	9,402.8068	Tons
		Industrial	D&C	Industrial	D&C
		441.8114	2,930.1223	243.9606	9,158.8462
		\$ 199,623.14	\$ 1,323,913.82	\$ 110,228.44	
Unit Charge	\$451.8288612 Per Ton				
			\$ 7,929,897.27	\$ 1,190,147.39	
	City of Elizabeth (Contribution	on from Pumping Sta	ation)	\$ 7,929,897.27	
	City of Elizabeth (Industry Tr	ibutary to Joint Mee	ting Trunk)		
	Flow: 19.4360	MG/Year at	\$ 354.7548443	6,895.02	
		Tons/Year at	670.5766476	57,664.29	
		Tons/Year at	451.8288612	6,847.92	
	TSS: 15.156	10115/1 Cal at			
		Tons/Tear at		1,190,147.39	
	Joint Meeting Industrial Subtotal	10115/ 1 Car at		1,190,147.39 \$ 9,191,451.89	
	Joint Meeting Industrial Subtotal	10115/ 1 Car at	\$ 24,834,300.00		
	Joint Meeting Industrial	10115/ 1 Car at	\$ 24,834,300.00 (9,191,451.89)		

15,642,848 Joint Meeting EDU's (X + Y) + Elmora EDU's (X)= (Y = Additional Assessment to Member Municipalities for Trunk Sewer O&M) 151,451 (X + \$4.088142766) + 3905 (X) =15,642,848

Equivalent Units-Member Municipalities

151,451

Elmora EDU's = 3,905 \$ 96.7049537840 Per Unit = XPer Unit = X+Y\$100.7930965500

15,265,215.27 Joint Meeting EDU Assessment 377,632.84 Elmora Area Assessment

Total Anticipated Payment from the City of Elizabeth

\$ 7,929,897.27 Pumping Station

Elmora EDU's 377,632.84

71,407.23 Elmora Industrial

8,378,937.34

Total Anticipated Payment from Member Municipalities

EDU's 15,265,215.27

1,190,147.39 Industrial

\$ 16,455,362.66

Estimated Percent Contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facility Budgets by City of Elizabeth

34.6282226% \$ 8,378,937.34 \$ 24,196,845.00 =

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Table IV

Percent Allocation of Infiltration / Inflow

			Base Year - 1982	r - 1982			Race Vear 1007	1007		
	Capacity By	Capacity By	Phase IIB - SSES 1	- SSES 1			Phase IIB - SSES 2	SES 2		
	Contract	Contract	Infiltration	ation	SSES - November 2009	nber 2009	Inflow		SSES - November 2009	er 2009
Municipality	(MGD)	(Percent)	(GPD) ³	(Percent)	(GPD) ⁴	(Percent)	(GPD)	(Percent)	(GPD) ⁵	(Percent)
					35,742				268,451	
East Orange	5.50	4.88%	70,747	2.11%	44,022	2.18%	3,007,440	5.79%	218,160	0.53%
					26,889				1,463,818	
Hillside	12.20	10.83%	79,012	2.36%	32,980	1.64%	1,185,120	2.28%	1,185,120	2.89%
					647,791				9,613,585	
Irvington	18.61	16.52%	1,115,672	33.31%	797,026	39.51%	8,612,640	16.57%	7,791,840	18.98%
					162,153				2,638,924	
Maplewood	7.08	6.29%	389,078	11.62%	199,485	9.89%	5,449,680	10.48%	2,137,680	5.21%
					123,787				2,942,831	
Millburn	00.9	5.33%	191,609	5.72%	152,240	7.55%	2,729,520	5.25%	2,384,640	5.81%
					150,020				2,405,929	
Newark	15.50	13.76%	234,484	7.00%	184,463	9.15%	1,959,540	3.77%	1,951,200	4.75%
					52,958				1,945,003	
Roselle Park	9.44	8.38%	106,187	3.17%	65,147	3.23%	1,576,080	3.03%	1,576,080	3.84%
					68,206				2,502,166	
South Orange	7.00	6.22%	410,876	12.27%	83,906	4.16%	2,183,760	4.20%	2,027,520	4.94%
					52,794				2,309,692	
Summit	7.50	%99:9	171,657	5.13%	64,916	3.22%	3,651,120	7.02%	1,872,720	4.56%
					193,468				16,248,883	
Union	10.30	9.14%	329,127	9.83%	238,013	11.80%	14,534,640	27.96%	13,170,240	32.08%
					125,918				8,311,852	
West Orange	13.50	11.99%	250,811	7.48%	154,847	7.68%	7,097,040	13.65%	6,744,600	16.41%
					1,639,726				50,651,134	
	112.63	100.00%	3,349,260	100.00%	2,017,045	100.01%	51,986,580	100.00%	41,059,800	100.00%

⁽¹⁾ Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-2) with trunk sewer infiltration allocated based on contract capacity.

⁽²⁾ Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-3)

^{(3) &}quot;Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 6.346%

^{(4) 2009 -} Infiltration related to base year total = $2.017 \,\text{MGD} / 52.79 \,\text{MGD} = 3.82079939\%$

⁻ Adjusted for period 11/1/2008 -10/31/2009; (3.82079939% x 15,662.6730 = 598.44 MG / Year / 365 = 1,639,726 GPD)

^{(5) 2009} inflow adjusted for period 11/1/2008 - 10/31/2009 - (40.98" / 33.22") x (41,059,800) = 50,651,134 GPD

Table IV - A
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Adjusted 2009 - Summary of Infiltration and Inflow

	Phase IIB		2009		Phase IIB		2009	
	SSES	2008	Infiltration	2009	SSES	2008	Inflow	2009
	Infiltration	Infiltration	Removed	Infiltration	Inflow	Inflow	Removed	Inflow
Municipality	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)
East Orange	70,747	44,022		44,022	3,007,440	218,160	•	218,160
Hillside	79,012	32,980	•	32,980	1,185,120	1,185,120	ı	1,185,120
Irvington	1,115,672	797,026	•	797,026	8,612,640	7,791,840	ı	7,791,840
Maplewood	389,078	199,485	•	199,485	5,449,680	2,137,680	ı	2,137,680
Millburn	191,609	152,240	•	152,240	2,729,520	2,384,640	ı	2,384,640
Newark	234,484	184,463	,	184,463	1,959,540	1,951,200	ı	1,951,200
Roselle Park	106,187	65,147	1	65,147	1,576,080	1,576,080	1	1,576,080
South Orange	410,876	83,906	1	83,906	2,183,760	2,059,920	32,400	2,027,520
Summit	171,657	64,916	3	64,916	3,651,120	1,872,720	1	1,872,720
Union	329,127	238,013	1	238,013	14,534,640	13,170,240	1	13,170,240
West Orange Total	3,349,260	2,017,045	1 1	154,847	7,097,040	6,744,600	32,400	6,744,600

Table V

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

		Cost Allocation - F	Cost Allocation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow	ıfiltration / İnflow		
		Total	Domestic	Domestic & Commercial	Ī	Industrial
Flow		15,662.6730 MG		15,212.9890 MG		449.6840 MG
		\$5,744,828.00	\$5,	\$5,566,998.73		
						\$177,829.27
Infiltration	3.8207994%	598.44		581.26 \$212	\$212,704.66	17.18
Inflow	10.7903038%	1,690.05		1,641.53 \$600	\$600,696.91	48.52
BOD at	\$670.5766476 Per Ton	9,636.5944 Tons	us	8,291.3497 Tons		1,345.2447 Tons
		\$6,462,075.17	\$5	\$5,559,985.49		\$902,089.68
TSS at	\$451.8288612 Per Ton	9,402.8068 Tons		9,158.8462 Tons		243.9606 Tons
		\$4,248,459.49	\$4,	\$4,138,231.05		\$110,228.44
Total		\$16,455,362.66	\$15	\$15,265,215.27	57	\$1,190,147.39
(1) Amount anticipa	(1) Amount anticipated from Members after allocation of	ħ.,	34.6282226% to City of Elizabeth	↔	8,378,937.34	
"Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 N	"Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD =	6.34590% (Pe	6.34590% (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)			
Inflow: 113 M	113 MGD (Excluding Elizabeth) / 137 MGD (Total) =	D (Total) =	8	2.4817518% 1 inch of	82.4817518% 1 inch of rain = 50 mg of inflow (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)	S Phase IIB Report)
"2008 - 2009" Infiltration: 2.017	'2008 - 2009'' Infiltration: 2.017 MGD/52.79MGD =	3.82079939%	×	15,662.6730	598.44 MG / Year	
			(Novemb	(November 1, 2008 - October 31, 2009)	2009)	
Inflow: Rainf	Rainfall = 40.98 Inches X 50 MG X 82.482%	%7	1,690.05 MG/Year	5		

\$15,265,215.27 (212,704.66) (600,696.91) \$14,451,813.70 151,451

> Net after infiltration and inflow Equivalent Dwelling Units User Charge Per Unit

Total D&C Less: Infiltration Less: Inflow

Table VI Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities 2010

				0107				
			η	Domestic & Commercial	nercial			
	Dwelling	User Charge \$ 95.42237225	Infilt	Infiltration	ŗ	Inflow		
Municipality	Units	٦''	Percent 1	Amount	Percent 2	Amount	Total Cost	Unit Cost
East Orange	7,215	\$688,472.42	2.18%	\$4,636.96	0.53%	\$3,183.69	\$696,293.07	\$96.5063
Hillside	8,530	813,952.84	1.64%	3,488.36	2.89%	17,360.14	834,801.34	97.8665
Irvington	27,196	2,595,106.84	39.51%	84,039.61	18.98%	114,012.27	2,793,158.72	102.7048
Maplewood	9,570	913,192.10	%68.6	21,036.49	5.21%	31,296.31	965,524.90	100.8908
Millburn	9,817 ³	936,761.43	7.55%	16,059.20	5.81%	34,900.49	987,721.12	100.6133
Newark	17,427	1,662,925.68	9.15%	19,462.48	4.75%	28,533.10	1,710,921.26	98.1765
Roselle Park	4,748	453,065.42	3.23%	6,870.36	3.84%	23,066.76	483,002.54	101.7276
South Orange	7,882	752,119.14	4.16%	8,848.51	4.94%	29,674.43	790,642.08	100.3098
Summit	14,187 4	1,353,757.20	3.22%	6,849.09	4.56%	27,391.78	1,387,998.07	97.8359
Union	24,986	2,384,223.39	11.80%	25,099.15	32.08%	192,703.57	2,602,026.11	104.1394
West Orange	19,893	1,898,237.25	7.68%	16,335.72	16.41%	98,574.36	2,013,147.33	101.1988
	151,451	\$14,451,813.71	100.01%	\$212,725.93	100.00%	\$600,696.90	\$15,265,236.54	\$100.7932
	(1) See Table (2) See Table	(1) See Table IV - Infiltration for November of the Prior Year (2) See Table IV - Inflow for November of the Prior Year	Vovember of the ember of the Pr	e Prior Year ior Year				
	(3) Includes I (4) Includes I	(3) Includes Livingston (409) (4) Includes New Providence (3685)	5)					\$94.7379 2006

Table VI (Continued)
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2010

				Ind	Industrial					
						Flow (M	Flow (MG / Year)			
	BOD	BOD 1	TSS	TSS 2	Dry	Infiltration	Inflow		Flow 3	Total
Municipality	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	Weather	3.820799%	10.790304%	Total	(\$/Year)	Cost
East Orange	0.0000	\$0.00	0.0000	\$0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hillside	621.5653	416,807.18	48.0146	21,694.38	71.00	3.18	8.97	83.15	32,880.01	471,381.57
Irvington	49.8590	33,434.28	19.5908	8,851.69	39.87	1.78	5.04	46.69	18,464.14	60,750.11
Maplewood	439.0899	294,443.43	25.4218	11,486.30	32.88	1.47	4.15	38.50	15,225.76	321,155.49
Millbum	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Newark	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00
Roselle Park	0.2860	191.78	0.1927	87.07	0.62	0.03	0.08	0.72	285.52	564.37
South Orange	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Summit 4	36.8112	24,684.73	38.3996	17,350.05	74.06	3.31	9.36	86.73	34,296.92	76,331.70
Union	197.6333	132,528.28	112.3411	50,758.95	165.57	7.41	20.92	193.90	76,676.92	259,964.15
West Orange	0.0000	0.0000 0.00 1,345.2447 \$ 902,089.68	0.0000	\$110,228.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 \$177,829.27	\$1,190,147.39

⁽¹⁾ At \$670.5766476/Ton (2) At \$451.8288612/Ton (3) At \$395.4538463/MG (4) Includes Murray Hill

Table VII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Summary of Estimated Annual Operational and Maintenance Expenses To Member Municipalities
2010

W		Domestic & Co	mmercial		
Municipality	Total Assessments ¹	User Charge Domestic Sewage 95.42237225	User Charges Industrial	Infiltration / Inflow Charges	Assessment Percentage
East Orange	\$696,293.07	\$688,472.42	\$0.00	\$7,820.65	4.2314%
Hillside	1,306,182.91	813,952.84	471,381.57	20,848.50	7.9377%
Irvington	2,853,908.83	2,595,106.84	60,750.11	198,051.88	17.3433%
Maplewood	1,286,680.39	913,192.10	321,155.49	52,332.80	7.8192%
Millburn	987,721.12	936,761.43	0.00	50,959.69	6.0024%
Newark	1,710,921.26	1,662,925.68	0.00	47,995.58	10.3973%
Roselle Park	483,566.91	453,065.42	564.37	29,937.12	2.9387%
South Orange	790,642.08	752,119.14	0.00	38,522.94	4.8048%
Summit	1,464,329.77	1,353,757.20	76,331.70	34,240.87	8.8988%
Union	2,861,990.26	2,384,223.39	259,964.15	217,802.72	17.3924%
West Orange	2,013,147.33	1,898,237.25	0.00	114,910.08	12.2340%
West Orange					
Elizabeth	8,378,916.07				
Total	\$24,834,300.00				

⁽¹⁾ Reflects anticipated payment of \$8,378,916.07 from the City of Elizabeth which is 34.6282226% of allocation from Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying budget. Exact 2010 percent contribution to be calculated at the end of fiscal year. Quarterly adjustments to be made in accordance with Agreement between Elizabeth and Joint Meeting.

Table VIII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Comparison of 2010 Assessment with 2009 Assessment

				Comp	arison
Municipality	2009	2010		Amount	Percentage
East Orange	\$679,277.81	\$696,293.07	(+)	\$17,015.26	2.50%
Hillside	1,278,898.18	1,306,182.91	(+)	27,284.73	2.13%
Irvington	2,830,601.78	2,853,908.83	(+)	23,307.05	0.82%
Maplewood	1,219,647.97	1,286,680.39	(+)	67,032.42	5.50%
Millburn	960,562.18	987,721.12	(+)	27,158.94	2.83%
Newark	1,665,597.89	1,710,921.26	(+)	45,323.37	2.72%
Roselle Park	469,750.62	483,566.91	(+)	13,816.29	2.94%
South Orange	768,735.23	790,642.08	(+)	21,906.85	2.85%
Summit	1,414,289.77	1,464,329.77	(+)	50,040.00	3.54%
Union	2,815,934.83	2,861,990.26	(+)	46,055.43	1.64%
West Orange	1,952,150.43	2,013,147.33	(+)	60,996.90	3.12%
2	\$16,055,446.69	\$16,455,383.93	(+)	\$399,937.24	2.49%
Elizabeth	8,665,097.31	8,378,916.07	(-)	\$ (286,181.24)	-3.30%
Total	\$24,720,544.00	\$24,834,300.00	(+)	\$113,756.00	0.46%

Note: City of Elizabeth percentage contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying Budget:

City of Elizabeth perc	entage
2006	32.13021810%
2007	32.78089120%
2008	33.62887370%
2009	35.97984850%

Table III, IV, V, VI, and VII, have been prepared to reflect the Domestic Sewer Usage and Industrial User assessment to each municipality for 2010 as a result of the Agreement with the City of Elizabeth which requires 34.6282226% of the 2010 Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Budget to be paid in Quarterly assessments with adjustments made following the determination of the actual percentage of contribution for each quarter. The 34.6282226 figure is an estimate based upon actual monitoring of quality and quantity at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, etc., in accordance with the Agreement. The actual percentage of contribution will be determined following the completion of the annual audit of expenses. In addition, these tables reflect the costs associated with Infiltration / Inflow for the member municipalities, the percentages of which were determined from the Phase IIB SSES Reports, adjusted as a result of rehabilitation work, and updated in 2009.

Summary and Certification

On the basis of the measured usage of Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and the Treatment Plant, including the Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facilities, and an estimate of Dwelling Units and Waste Characteristics for the municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, and in accordance with the provisions of the 1926 contract, I hereby certify that the estimated maintenance and operating costs of the Joint Meeting for the 2010 are apportioned as follows:

	2010	2009		2010	2009
East Orange	4.2314%	4.2308%	Roselle Park	2.9387%	2.9258%
Hillside	7.9377%	7.9655%	South Orange	4.8048%	4.7880%
Irvington	17.3433%	17.6302%	Summit	8.8988%	8.8088%
Maplewood	7.8192%	7.5965%	Union	17.3924%	17.5388%
Millburn	6.0024%	5.9828%	West Orange	12.2340%	12.1588%
Newark	10.3973%	10.3740%	Total	100.0000%	100.0000%

Respectfully submitted,

A. Ralph LaMendola

Chief Engineer

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A 2010 Budget

		Freatment Plant	 Dewatering Facility	Drying Facility	Sewer	-	Total
Electric Service	\$	679,000	\$ 78,000	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$	767,000
Gas Service		653,000	39,000	-	-		692,000
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene		79,000	338,500	-	-		417,500
Water Service		174,000	60,000	3,500	-		237,500
Chemicals		992,840	798,900	6,800	2,200		1,800,740
Sludge Disposal		-	2,315,280	-	-		2,315,280
Insurance		498,035	138,695	55,315	9,485		701,530
Administration		1,449,060	760,870	10,000	138,070		2,358,000
Labor		5,360,000	890,000	126,000	9,000		6,385,000
Benefits		2,812,800	473,800	62,300	66,500		3,415,400
Equipment		237,000	45,000	-	-		282,000
Printing & Stationery		15,000	2,000	-	1,000		18,000
Maintenance, Supplies & Spare Parts		1,300,933	460,667	5,000	258,000		2,024,600
Screening Disposal		203,550	-	-	-		203,550
Reserve Contingency		253,000	-	-	-		253,000
Miscellaneous Expenses		177,500	87,500	-	120,000		385,000
Technical & Professional Services		447,500	50,000	-	25,000		522,500
Replacement Fund		1,250,000	-	-	-		1,250,000
NJPDES & Miscellaneous Permit Fee	:	527,500	20,000	250,000	8,200		805,700
	\$	17,109,718	\$ 6,558,212	\$ 528,915	\$ 637,455	\$	24,834,300
		68.90%	26.41%	2.13%	2.56%		100.00%

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued) Operations and Management Cost Allocations (Treatment Plant)

	Estimated Total Cost	Percent Flow	C	Cost Flow	I	Percent SS		Cost SS	Pero BC		(Cost BOD
General Expenditures 1	\$ 2,929,785	80%	\$	2,343,828		10%	\$	292,979		10%	\$	292,978
Power	679,000	40%	\$	271,600		5%	\$	33,950		55%	\$	373,450
Chlorine	570,000	40%	\$	228,000						60%	\$	342,000
Maintenance, Equipment	t,											
Supplies, Spare Parts,		2001	•	051 461		270/	Ф	921.052		450/	æ	1 269 420
& Replacement Fund	3,040,933	28%	\$	851,461		27%	\$	821,052		45%	\$	1,368,420
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	79,000	40%	\$	31,600		30%	\$	23,700		30%	\$	23,700
Administration, Labor												
& Benefits												
(Payroll Retirement)	9,621,860	40%		3,848,744		10%	\$	962,186	•	50%	\$	4,810,930
Sludge Processing 2	189,140					50%	\$	94,570		50%	\$	94,570
Total	\$17,109,718		\$	7,575,233				2,228,437				7,306,048
	100%		44.	2744468%			13.	.0243935%			42	.7011597%
(1) General Expenditures	•											
(From the Approved Bud			(2) \$	Sludge Proce	essing							
Insurance		\$ 498,035	Poly	mer/	\$	93,000						
Technical & Professional	Services	447,500	K2N	/InO4		96,140						
Stationery & Printing		15,000										
Gas		653,000										
Water		174,000										
Miscellaneous		177,500										
Service Contracts		203,550										
Permit Fees		527,500										
Sodium Bisulfite & Misc	. Chemicals	233,700										
		\$2,929,785			\$	189,140						

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

Operations and Management Allocations

	Operations and man			
	Estimated			
	Total Cost	Cost Flow	Cost TSS	Cost BOD
Treatment Plant	\$ 17,109,718	\$ 7,575,233	\$ 2,228,437	\$ 7,306,048
Dewatering Facility	6,558,212		3,279,106	3,279,106
				064.450
Drying Facility	528,915		264,458	264,458
	\$ 24,196,845	\$ 7,575,233	\$ 5,772,001	\$10,849,612
Subtotal	100.00%	31.3066972%	23.8543517%	44.8389511%
Sewers 1	\$ 637,455			
Total	\$ 24,834,300			

⁽¹⁾ Joint Meeting Members Only

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010 SUMMARY

		LOADINGS		
	FLOW	BOD	TSS	
MUNICIPALITY	(MG)	(tons)	(tons)	
HILLSIDE	83.145	621.56532144	48.01461849	
IRVINGTON	46.691	49.85896362	19.59081429	
MAPLEWOOD	38.502	439.08984942	25.42182120	
MURRAY HILL	25.905	8.92294515	5.55911040	
ROSELLE PARK	0.722	0.28602030	0.19268736	
SUMMIT	60.823	27.88829697	32.84053059	
UNION	193.896	197.63330943	112.34108019	
		10.45.04.470.000	0.40.000000000	
MEMBERS TOTAL	449.684	1345.24470633	243.96066252	
ELIZABETH	236.900	2563.47853101	456.96743172	
GRAND TOTAL	686.584	3908.72323734	700.92809424	
		costs		
MUNICIPALITY	FLOW	BOD	TSS	TOTAL
MUNICIPALITY	\$32,880.01	\$416,807.19	\$21,694.39	\$471,381.59
HILLSIDE	\$18,464.14	\$33,434.26	\$8,851.70	\$60,750.09
IRVINGTON	\$15,225.76	\$294,443.40	\$11,486.31	\$321,155.48
MAPLEWOOD	\$10,244.23	\$5,983.52	\$2,511.77	\$18,739.52
MURRAY HILL	\$10,244.23 \$285.52	\$191.80	\$87.06	\$564.38
ROSELLE PARK	\$24,052.69	\$18,701.24	\$14,838.30	\$57,592.23
SUMMIT	• •	\$132,528.28	\$50,758.94	\$259,964.14
UNION	\$76,676.92	Φ132,320.20	φ50,750.94	Ψ200,004.14
MEMBERS TOTAL	\$177,829.27	\$902,089.69	\$110,228.47	\$1,190,147.42
ELIZABETH	\$84,041.42	\$1,719,008.84	\$206,471.07	\$2,009,521.34
GRAND TOTAL	\$261,870.69	\$2,621,098.52	\$316,699.54	\$3,199,668.76

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010 COST FACTORS

	FLOW	BOD	TSS
MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES	\$395.4538463	\$670.5766476	\$451.8288612
ELIZABETH	\$354.7548443	\$670.5766476	\$451.8288612

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: HILLSIDE

			Concent	ration	Flow per MG	Cost Factors BOD per Ton \$670.5766476	TSS per Ton \$451.8288612	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
1042	GEC Marconi / BAE Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	2	5	8.854 8.854 \$3,501.35	0.0738 0.0738 \$49.52	0.1846 0.1846 \$83.41	\$3,634.28
1050	Manhattan Drug Co. Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	356	98	0.459 0.459 \$181.51	0.6814 0.6814 \$456.93	0.1876 0.1876 \$84.75	\$723.19
1054	Oasis Foods Totals: Cost Analysis:	3 99	3739 188	705 143	5.563 1.245 6.808 \$2,692.25	86.7362 0.9760 87.7123 \$58,817.80	16.3544 0.7424 17.0968 \$ 7,724.82	\$69,234.87
1058	Quest Industries Totals: Cost Analysis:	2 99	59 188	39 143	0.263 0.005 0.268 \$105.98	0.0647 0.0039 0.0686 \$46.02	0.0428 0.0030 0.0458 \$20.67	\$172.67
1090	Union Beverages Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 2	1982 1324	49 1806	62.144 2.067 64.211 \$25,392.49	513.6164 11.4121 525.0285 \$352,071.85	12.6979 15.5666 28.2645 \$12,770.72	\$390,235.06
1091	Hillside Bottling Totals: Cost Analysis:	2 99	1789 188	160 143	0.430 0.006 0.436 \$172.42	3.2079 0.0047 3.2126 \$2,154.27	0.2869 0.0036 0.2905 \$131.24	\$2,457.93
1092	A&H Products Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	562 188	225 143	2.010 0.099 2.109 \$834.01	4.7105 0.0776 4.7881 \$3,210.81	1.8859 0.0590 1.9449 \$878.77	\$4,923.59
	HILLSIDE TOTALS				83.1450 \$32,880.01	621,5653 \$416,807.19	48.0146 \$21,694.39	\$471,381.59

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: IRVINGTON

					Cost Factors				
				Ī	Flow per MG	- BOD per Ton			
			Concentr	Concentration \$395.4538463 \$670.5766476 \$451.8288612					
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT	
2030	Hi-Speed Plating	1	116	35	0.022	0.0106	0.0032		
		99	188	143	0.061	0.0478	0.0364		
	Totals:				0.083	0.0585	0.0396		
	Cost Analysis:				\$32.82	\$39.20	\$17.89	\$89.91	
0000	Internal	1	983	163	2,286	9.3706	1.5538		
2036	Intergel Totals:	ı	303	100	2.286	9,3706	1,5538		
	Cost Analysis:				\$904.01	\$6,283.68	\$702.06	\$7,889.75	
	Cost Analysis.				40 0	, . ,			
2040	Jocely (formerly Jabel)	99	188	143	0.169	0.1325	0.1008		
	Totals:				0.169	0.1325	0.1008		
	Cost Analysis:				\$66.83	\$88.84	\$45.53	\$201.21	
2066	Wayne County Foods	1	6122	123	0.258	6.5864	0.1323		
	,	99	188	143	0.233	0.1827	0.1389		
	Totals:				0.491	6.7691	0.2713		
	Cost Analysis:				\$194.17	\$4,539.19	\$122.57	\$4,855.92	
2072	Clean-Tex Services	1	184	95	42.020	32.2411	16.6462		
2012	0,041, 10% 00111000	99	188	143	1.642	1.2873	0.9791		
	Totals:				43.662	33.5284	17.6254		
	Cost Analysis:				\$17,266.31	\$22,483.34	\$7,963.65	\$47,713.29	
					46.6910	49,8590	19.5908		
	IRVINGTON TOTALS					\$33,434.26	\$8,851.70	\$60,750.09	
					\$18,464.14	φ33,434.2U	φυ,υυ 1.7 υ	ψυυ, 1 υυ.υ σ	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: MAPLEWOOD

						Cost Factors	TSS per Ton		
			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG \$395.4538463	BOD per Ton \$670.5766476	476 \$451.8288612		
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT	
3020	Gleason Cleaners	1	171	77	0.611	0.4357	0.1962		
		99	188	143	0.140	0.1098	0.0835		
	Totals:				0.751	0.5454	0.2797		
	Cost Analysis:				\$296.99	\$365.76	\$126.36	\$789.11	
		0 -	237	299	10.162	10.0430	12.6703		
3033	NJ Transit - Hilton Gar.	3a	237	299	10.162	10.0430	12.6703		
	Totals:				\$4,018.60	\$6,734.60	\$5,724.80	\$16,478.01	
	Cost Analysis:				\$4,070.00	ψο, ε ο 4.00	¥5,, 25	* ***********************************	
3046	UniClean	1	74	15	1.858	0.5733	0.1162		
0010		99	188	143	0.140	0.1098	0.0835		
	Totals:				1.998	0.6831	0.1997		
	Cost Analysis:				\$790.12	\$458.07	\$90,23	\$1,338.42	
		4	4009	115	25,591	427.8183	12,2722		
3050	Maplewood Beverage	1	4009	115	25.591	427.8183	12.2722		
	Totals:				\$10,120.06	\$286,884.97	\$5,544.92	\$302,549.95	
	Cost Analysis:				\$10,720.00	\$250,004.01	V 0,0 / 1102	,	
M	APLEWOOD TOTALS				38.5020	439.0898	25.4218		
					\$15,225.76	\$294,443.40	\$11,486.31	\$321,155.48	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: MURRAY HILL

					Flow per MG	Cost Factors BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton	
			Concentr	Concentration \$395.4538463 \$670.5766476 \$451.8288612				
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
5010	Bell Labs / Lucent	1	9	9	18.136	0.6806	0.6806	
	Totals:				18.136	0.6806	0.6806	
	Cost Analysis:				\$7,171.95	\$456.42	\$307.53	\$7,935.91
5011	Baxter Pharmaceutical	1	522	675	0.943	2.0527	2.6543	
5011	Totals:	'	322	0,0	0,943	2.0527	2.6543	
	Cost Analysis:				\$372.91	\$1,376.47	\$1,199.29	\$2,948.68
E000	Fablok Mills	4	331	108	4.109	5.6715	1,8505	
5020	Papiok Wills	99	188	143	0.140	0.1098	0.0835	
	Totals:	33	,00	110	4.249	5.7813	1.9340	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,680.28	\$3,876.79	\$873.84	\$6,430.92
5004	FRC-Electrical Ind	1	38	27	2.577	0.4084	0.2901	
5021	Totals:	'	30	21	2.577	0.4084	0.2901	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,019.08	\$273.83	\$131.10	\$1,424.01
М	URRAY HILL TOTALS				25.9050	8.9229	5.5591	
•••					\$10,244.23	\$5,983.52	\$2,511.77	\$18,739.52

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: ROSELLE PARK

			Cost Factors						
			Concentr	ation			TSS per.Ton. 451.8288612		
IU #	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT	
6005	Hexacon Electric Tota Cost Analys		95	64	0.722 0.722 \$285.52	0.2860 0.2860 \$191.80	0.1927 0.1927 \$8 7.06	\$564.38	
RC	OSELLE PARK TOTALS				0.7220 \$285.52	0.2860 \$191.80	0.1927 \$87.06	\$564.38	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: SUMMIT

					Flow per MG	BOD, per Ton	TSS per Ton	
			Concentr	ation	\$395,4538463 \$670,5766476 \$451,8288612			
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
5511	Novartis Groundwater	4	2	19	0.908	0.0076	0.0719	
	Totals:				0.908	0.0076	0.0719	
	Cost Analysis:				\$359.07	\$5.08	\$32.50	\$396.66
5512	Summit Property Co.	03A	75	95	47.715	14.9229	18.9023	
0012	Summer roperty Co.	99	188	143	4.375	3,4298	2.6089	
	Totals:	00	100	. , ,	52.09	18,3527	21,5112	
	Cost Analysis:				\$20,599.19	\$12,306.89	\$9,719.36	\$42,625.44
5513	Celgene Corporation	1	292	345	7.825	9,5280	11.2574	
5515	Totals:	,	202	040	7.825	9.5280	11,2574	
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,094.43	\$6,389.28	\$5,086.43	\$14,570.14
							00.0405	
	SUMMIT TOTALS				60.8230	27.8883	32.8405	¢57 500 00
					\$24,052.69	\$18,701.24	\$14,838.30	\$57,592.23

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: UNION

			Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS p Concentration \$395,4538463 \$670,5766476 \$451.820					
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
7015	ACuPowder Totals: Cost Analysis:	3 (99) 4	188 137	143 145	0.035 1.008 1.043 \$412.46	0.0274 0.5759 0.6033 \$404.56	0.0209 0.6095 0.6304 \$284.81	\$1,101.83
7035	American Products Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	337	256	0.499 0.499 \$197.33	0.7012 0.7012 \$470.23	0.5327 0.5327 \$240.69	\$908.25
7045	Breeze /TransTechnology Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	340	471	1.166 1.166 \$461.10	1.6532 1.6532 \$1,108.5 7	2.2901 2.2901 \$1,034.74	\$2,604.40
7070	Durex Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	101	135	3.837 3.837 \$1,517.36	1.6160 1.6160 \$1,083.67	2.1600 2.1600 \$975.97	\$3,577.00
7077	Siemens Water Technology Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	68	76	3.084 3.084 \$1,219.58	0.8745 0.8745 \$586.42	0.9774 0.9774 \$441.61	\$2,247.61
7080	Foremost Mfg Totals: Cost Analysis:	2	19	130 .	13.653 13.653 \$ 5,399.13	1.0817 1.0817 \$ 725.38	7.4013 7.4013 \$3,344.12	\$9,468.63
7092	International Paint Totals: Cost Analysis:	2	35	57	4.343 4.343 \$1,717.46	0.6339 0.6339 \$425.05	1.0323 1.0323 \$466.42	\$2,608.93
7105	Stonco Lighting Totals: Cost Analysis:	2	277	399	0.78 0.78 \$308.45	0.9010 0.9010 \$ 604.17	1.2978 1.2978 \$586,38	\$1,499.00
7145	Schering Totals: Cost Analysis:	5	35	40	121.598 121.598 \$48,086.40	17.7472 17.7472 \$11,900.88	20.2825 20.2825 \$9,164.24	\$69,151.51
7150	SS Studios Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	284	158	0.113 0.113 \$44.69	0.1338 0.1338 \$89.74	0.0745 0.0745 \$33.64	\$168.06
7155	Tessler & Weiss Totals: Cost Analysis:	22	164	172	1.216 1.216 \$480.87	0.8316 0.8316 \$557.65	0.8722 0.8722 \$394.07	\$1,432.59
7167	Turbo Braze Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	153	103	0.159 0.159 \$62.88	0.1014 0.1014 \$68.03	0.0683 0.0683 \$30.86	\$161.76

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: UNION

			Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton Concentration \$395.4538463 \$670.5766476 \$451.8288612						
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT	
7191	Cintas Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	219	96	23.042 23.042 \$9,112.05	21.0426 21.0426 \$14,110.71	9.2242 9.2242 \$4,167.75	\$27,390.50	
7192	Merril Corporation Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	634	384	0.67 0.67 \$264.95	1.7713 1.7713 \$1,187.81	1.0729 1.0729 \$484.75	\$1,937.52	
7193	Lioni Latticini Totals: Cost Analysis:	.99	3309 188	1163 143	6.712 0.247 6.959 \$2,751.96	92.6157 0.1936 92.8094 \$62,235.80	32.5513 0.1473 32.6985 \$14,774.15	\$79,761.91	
7194	BASF Catalysts Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	25 188	86 143	0.047 2.970 3.017 \$1,193.08	0.0049 2.3284 2.3333 \$1,564.63	0.0169 1.7710 1.7879 \$807.82	\$3,565.54	
7195	Deep Foods Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 2	1513 217	852 244	8.31 0.407 8.717 \$3,447.17	52.4295 0.3683 52.7978 \$35,404.99	29.5241 0.4141 29.9382 \$13,526.95	\$52,379.11	
	UNION TOTALS				193.8960 \$76,676.92	197.6333 \$132,528.28	112.3411 \$50,758.94	\$259,964.14	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: ELIZABETH

()

					Flow per MG	Cost Factors BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton.	
			Concentr	ation	\$354.7548443	\$670.5766476	\$451.8288612	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
0033	Cargill Flavor Systems Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	1996	599	1.155 1.155 \$409.74	9.6134 9.6134 \$6,446.54	2.8850 2.8850 \$1,303.52	\$8,159.81
0037	Deb-El Foods Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	2867	547	3.819 3.819 \$1,354.81	45.6576 45.6576 \$30,616.94	8.7111 8.7111 \$3,935.93	\$35,907.68
0062	Garcia Laundry Totals: Cost Analysis:	2 99	790 188	212 143	3.562 0.144 3.706 \$1,314.72	11.7343 0.1129 11.8472 \$7,944.45	3.1490 0.0859 3.2348 \$1,461.58	\$10,720.75
0067	Actavis (formerly Purepac) Totals: Cost Analysis:	3	1061	187	19.436 19.436 \$6,895.02	85.9921 85.9921 \$57,664.26	15.1560 15.1560 \$6,847.92	\$71,407.20
0070	LORCO Totals: Cost Analysis:	2	9808	51	16.996 16.996 \$6,029.41	695.1255 695.1255 \$466,134.94	3.6145 3.6145 \$1,633.15	\$473,797.51
0075	Mastercraft Electroplating Totals: Cost Analysis:	99	188	143	0.023 0.023 \$8.16	0.0180 0.0180 \$12.09	0.0137 0.0137 \$6.20	\$26.45
0078	Magnolia Beef Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	1343 188	590 143	0.150 0.424 0.574 \$203.63	0.8400 0.3324 1.1724 \$786.21	0.3690 0.2528 0.6219 \$280.98	\$1,270.83
0091	NJ Turnpike Authority Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	7	7	1.094 1.094 \$388.10	0.0319 0.0319 \$21.41	0.0319 0.0319 \$14.43	\$423.94
0093	OENJ Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	4	110	10.623 10.623 \$3,768.56	0.1772 0.1772 \$118.82	4.8728 4.8728 \$2,201.66	\$6,089.04
0098	Olympia Trails Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	459 188	294 143	2.280 0.460 2.74 \$972.03	4.3640 0.3606 4.7246 \$3,168.21	2.7952 0.2743 3.0695 \$1,386.91	\$5,527.15
0100	Michaels Foods - North Avenue Facility Totals: Cost Analysis:	4 4a 4b 99	2234 870 668 188	618 203 156 143	78.410 4.372 3.016 0.468 86.266 \$30,603.28	730.4503 15.8612 8.4012 0.3669 755.0796 \$506,338.77	202.0673 3.7009 1.9620 0.2791 208.0093 \$93,984.59	\$630,926.63

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2010

Municipality: ELIZABETH

			Concenti	ation	Flow per MG \$354,7548443	Cost Factors BOD per Ton , \$670,5766476	TSS per Ton \$451.8288612	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU #	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
	Michaels Foods -							
0105	Papetti Plaza Facility	2	3600	712	57.312	860.3677	170.1616	
	•	2a	1595	212	6.584	43.7912	5.8205	
		2b	1568	213	0.761	4.9758	0.6759	
		3	417	644	0.559	0.9720	1.5012	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				65.216 \$23,135.69	910,1068 \$610,296.37	178.1593 \$80,497.49	\$713,929.55
	Cost / indiyolo.				420,100,00	4 070, 2 00107	77	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
0120	Phelps Dodge	99	188	143	0.224	0.1756	0.1336	
0120	Totals:	00	,00	. , , ,	0.224	0.1756	0.1336	
	Cost Analysis:				\$79.47	\$117.76	\$60.35	\$257.58
	Ownerstan B							
0148	Superior Powder Coating	1	108	68	2.073	0,9336	0.5878	
0148	Coating	99	188	143	0.514	0.4030	0.3065	
	Totals:	00	100	, 40	2.587	1.3366	0.8943	
	Cost Analysis:				\$917.75	\$896.26	\$404.08	\$2,218.09
0155	Duro Bag	1	444	155	3.263	6.0414	2.1090	
		2	168	172	5.188	3.6345	3,7210	
		3	226	219	0.813	0.7662	0.7425	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				9,264 \$3,286.45	10.4421 \$7,002.21	6.5725 \$2,969.66	\$13,258.32
	Cost Analysis.				\$3,200.43	ψ1,002.21	Ψ2,503.00	¥13,238.32
0165	Wakefern Food Corp.	2	149	148	3.701	2.2995	2.2841	
	•	3a	389	312	3.058	4,9605	3.9786	
		4	521	1198	0.952	2.0683	4.7559	
	T-1-1-	7	13	54	0.479	0.0260	0.1079	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				8.190 \$2,905.44	9.3543 \$6,272.75	11.1264 \$5,027.24	\$14,205.43
	Odot / inaryola.				42,000111	40,2.7.2. 10	40,021,121	<i>ϕ , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>
0175	Prince Donut Co.	3	4041	970	0.915	15.4186	3.7011	
		99	188	143	0.26	0.2038	0.1550	
	Totals:				1.175	15.6225	3.8561	£40.005.04
	Cost Analysis:				\$416.84	\$10,476.06	\$1,742.31	\$12,635.21
0178	814 Americas	3	518	451	2.887	6.2361	5.4295	
0170	5,1,7,11,75,175,115	99	188	143	0.054	0.0423	0.0322	
	Totals:				2.941	6.2784	5.4617	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,043.33	\$4,210.17	\$2,467.75	\$7,721.25
0400	One Creek Pourse	4	220	457	0.653	0.6208	0.4275	
0186	One Great Burger	1 2	228 112	157 127	· 0.653 0.218	0.6208 0.1018	0.4275	
	Totals:	-		121	0.871	0.7227	0.5430	
	Cost Analysis:				\$308.99	\$484.60	\$245.33	\$1,038.92
E	ELIZABETH TOTALS				236.9000	2,563.4785	456.9674	
					\$84,041.42	\$1,719,008.84	\$206,471.07	\$2,009,521.34