December 21, 2007

To the Joint Meeting

Ladies and Gentlemen:

#### ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SEWAGE CONTRIBUTION OF THE MUNICIPALITIES ORGANIZED IN JOINT MEETING (FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE YEAR 2008)

I present herewith the 73rd Annual Report for the eleven municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, covering their respective contribution to Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and to the Treatment Plant, as called for under the terms of the 1926 Contract.

Article X of the 1926 Contract requires that an estimate be made for the purpose of assessing the costs of maintenance and operation each year.

In order that the amount of work necessary for the preparation of this estimate be completed in time for consideration by the Joint Meeting before the date specified by law for the adoption of the new budget, it has been customary to use the twelve-month period immediately prior thereto as the basis of consideration for the assessment purpose only.

You will please note that this is the first of the two Annual Reports prepared each year, and that it covers the twelve-month period from November 1, 2006 through October 31, 2007. Subsequently, at the beginning of each succeeding year, a second and final report is prepared covering the previously completed calendar year, which coincides with the fiscal year of the Joint Meeting.

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, PL 92-500 and as a requirement of our Construction Grant for the expansion in Secondary Treatment, a "User Charge System" is used to apportion the operation and maintenance costs for the Joint Meeting for 2008. During the year 1978, a User Charge System was prepared and adopted by the member municipalities and is entitled "An Ordinance Establishing and Defining User Charges in Connection with the Collection and Treatment of Wastewater and Providing for the Payment of Said User Charges". During the year 2007, this "User Charge System" was utilized by the various municipalities for collection of the charges associated with the operation and maintenance of the trunk sewer system, the treatment plant, and the Sludge Dewatering Facility, the percentage of assessment based upon a report dated December 22, 2006.

During 2007, the various municipalities computed the actual dwelling units for each of their respective towns in accordance with the revised schedule included in the Sewer Use Ordinance. Table II depicts the new (2008) dwelling unit figures formulated by each municipality in 2007.

It should be noted that the methodology used to assess the City of Elizabeth for services rendered by the Joint Meeting is based on quantity and quality of sewage as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU's) tributary to the gravity Joint Meeting sewer through the Elmora Avenue Area in Elizabeth, plus the tributary area from the City of Linden. This methodology was approved by the Joint Meeting and the Elizabeth City Council. The percentage used in this assessment report for the City will be based upon a twelve (12) month, 365 day period of November 1, 2006, through October 31, 2007 and projected for a twelve (12) month period in 2008. This allocation will be assessed and paid in accordance with the member municipalities billing procedure. Then, based on the analysis of the samples taken at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, an adjustment is made (on a quarterly basis) to the percent allocation from the City. At the end of 2008, utilizing twelve (12) months of data, the actual percent contribution by the City will be calculated and certified thereto.

The accompanying computations include the total Dwelling Unit number of 47,181 for the City. (This Equivalent Dwelling Unit number was computed by Elson T. Killam Associates during the period November 8, 1979 and December 12, 1979 and revised by the City Engineer on February 9, 1982).

#### <u>USER CHARGE APPORTIONMENT</u>

Set forth below are the basis factors concerning flow, waste characteristics and projected cost of operations of the Facilities derived for the 365 day period from November 1, 2006, through October 31, 2007, and projected for 2008 and are based upon actual 2006-2007 operating statistics as well as a recent upgraded 2007 industrial waste survey. The industrial waste figures take into consideration actual yearly operational time for each industry (i.e., 5 day or 7 day working week, etc.).

1. 2.	Flow BOD TSS	24,869.9670 17,006.9201 14,497.5626	Tons/Year		68.1369 93,188.6033 79,438.6992	Lbs/Day
3. 4. 5. 6.	Estimated Indu Estimated Indu Estimated Indu Estimated Tota	ıstrial BOD ıstrial TSS	732.5770 4,372.0373 1,143.7561 xpenses in 2008	Tons/Year	2.0071 23,956.3688 6,267.1567 \$15,599,869 6,368,211 557,717 622,555 \$23,148,352	Lbs/Day Lbs/Day  STP SDWF SDF Sewers
7.	Estimated Tot	tal Dwelling U	nits in System		151,060 47,181 198,241	Elizabeth

In addition to the foregoing, it has been determined that the following cost allocations would fairly represent the actual costs of treatment.

Flow	-	29.9624337%
BOD		46.5746007%
Suspended Solids		23.4629656%

The basis for these cost allocations is set forth in Appendix A.

Based upon the foregoing allocations, Table I has been prepared which shows the projected and estimated flow and waste characteristics for 2008 and the projected estimated cost allocation between domestic sewage treated from residential and commercial establishments, and the industrial waste treatment reflecting industrial flow from industries in the collection system. This system takes into consideration the fact that the City of Elizabeth does not use the trunk sewer and will accordingly not be charged for its use. The trunk sewer charge is assessed only against member municipalities, and their respective industries.

## Table I Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties Estimated Flow Waste Characteristics and Cost Allocation for Treatment in 2008

#### Treatment Plant

	Total for 2008		Domestic & Commercial	Industrial
Flow -	24,869.9670	MG/Yr.1	24,137.3900 MG/Yr.	732.5770 MG/Yr.
	17,006.9201		12,634.8828 Tons/Yr.	4,372.0373 Tons/Yr.
BOD	•		13,353.8065 Tons/Yr.	1,143.7561 Tons/Yr.
Suspended Solids	14,497.5626	IONS/YI.	13,333.0003 10113/11.	2,2 10170

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2006 thru October 31, 2007 (365 days)

#### **Cost Allocation**

		Domestic &	
	Total for 2008	Commercial	Industrial
Flow -	\$6,749,277.00	\$6,550,468.00	\$198,809.00
BOD	10,491,300.00	7,794,259.00	2,697,041.00
Suspended Solids		4,868,253.00	416,967.00
Suspended Sonds	\$22,525,797.00	\$19,212,980.00	\$3,312,817.00
	100.000000%	85.29323070%	14.70676930%

#### Elizabeth Contribution

Flow	_	5,969.3010	MG/Yr.
BOD		6,950.4073	Tons/Yr.
TSS	_	3,425.5026	Tons/Yr.
100			

#### Trunk Sewer Flow 1

	Domestic &	
Total for 2008	Commercial	<u>Industrial</u>
18.900.6660 MG/Yr. <sup>2</sup>	18,444.3310 MG/Yr.	456.335 MG/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2006 thru October 31, 2007 (365 days)

(2) (24,869.967 - 5,969.3010)

#### **COST ALLOCATION**

\$622,555.00 \$607,524.12 \$15,030.88

Member municipalities will be assessed \$4.02 (\$607,524.12/151,060) for trunk sewer O&M per equivalent dwelling unit.

The estimated cost for treating industrial wastes has been determined to be \$271.38 per MG for flow, \$616.88 per ton of BOD, and \$364.56 per ton of suspended solids. These estimates are predicated upon the cost allocation to industry and the estimates of flow and strength characteristics of the industrial waste, all as indicated on Table I. These costs were determined as follows:

Flow \$198,809.00 / 732.5770 = \$271.3830765 /MG BOD \$2,697,041.00 / 4,372.0373 = \$616.8842613 /Ton Suspended Solids \$416,967.00 / 1,143.7561 = \$364.5593672 /Ton

All industries within the member municipalities (excluding Elizabeth) will be required to pay an additional \$32.94/MG of flow for trunk sewer use (\$15,030.88/456.335 = \$32.938/MG). This brings the total flow cost to \$304.32.91/MG (\$271.383 + \$32.94) for the indicated industries of member municipalities.

Based upon the user charges for 2008, a computation has been made to show the budget prepayment for each municipality of the Joint Meeting. These estimates are subject to revision annually, dependent upon the actual number of dwelling units which must be determined for each municipality in accordance with the schedule of dwelling units set forth in the ordinance. In addition thereto, the computation for the industrial user charges has been based upon actual calculations of flow and waste characteristics for the industries in the district as a result of the 2007 industrial waste survey of the service area. These are estimates for 2008 and must be verified on an annual basis to reflect the actual flow and waste characteristics.

The basis for the industrial waste flow and characteristics are set forth in Appendix B of this report. The dwelling unit figures have been compiled by each municipality with the exception of Elizabeth in accordance with the revised Schedule of Dwelling Units incorporated into the User Charge Ordinance.

Table VII sets forth the cost to each municipality to establish the budget requirements of \$23,148,352 for 2008. However, it should be noted that in accordance with the Agreement between the Joint Meeting and the City of Elizabeth noted previously, and as a result of the monitoring of the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, the City would provide for 33.6288737% of the treatment plant, sludge dewatering facility and sludge drying facility budgets initially with adjustments made quarterly. Upon completion and verification of the quality and quantity of the City's contribution as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the EDU's from the Elmora Avenue area, plus the City of Linden's contribution, an adjustment will then be made for the member municipalities.

Table II

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities

Municipality Dwelling Units and Industrial User Charge

2008

Municipality	Dwelling Units <sup>1</sup>	User Charges Industrial
East Orange	7,185	\$ -
Hillside	8,512	379,105
Irvington	27,252	73,159
Maplewood	9,443	275,273
Millburn	9,800 4	-
Newark	17,377	
Roselle Park	4,746	573
South Orange	7,851	
Summit	14,002 2	69,582 3
Union	24,946	278,153
West Orange	19,946	-
Elizabeth	47,181 198,241	2,252,003 \$ 3,327,848

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on actual calculation and verification by Municipalities; City of Elizabeth computed by Elson T. Killam Associates in 1979 and updated by City Engineer on February 9, 1982.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes New Providence (3553)

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes Murray Hill

<sup>(4)</sup> Includes Livingston (409)

#### Table III

#### Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

#### Computation of Estimated Percent

#### Contribution By City of Elizabeth

For Period 11/1/2006-10/31/2007 and Projected Contribution for 2008

	Treatment Plant	Elizabeth Pur	mping Station	Joint M	
Flow:	24,869.967 MG	5,969.301	MG	18,900.666	MG
		Industrial	D&C	Industrial	D&C
		261.49	5,707.811	456.335	18,444.331
		\$ 70,963.96	\$ 1,549,003.31	\$ 138,872.48	\$ 5,613,003.43
Unit Charge	\$ 271.3830765 <b>Per MG</b> fo	or Elizabeth			
Unit Charge	\$ 304.3213346 Per MG fo	or Joint Meeting		T	
BOD:	17,006.9201 Tons	6,950.4073	Tons	10,056.5128	Tons
		Industrial	D&C	Industrial	D&C
	,	2,960.4963	3,989.911	1,326.9567	8,729.5561
,		\$ 1,826,283.57	\$ 2,461,313.30	\$ 818,578.70	
Unit Charge	\$616.8842613 Per Ton				
TSS:	14,497.5626 Tons	3,425.5026	Tons	11,072.0600	Tons
		Industrial	D&C	Industrial	D&C
		809.2142	2,616.2884	324.7609	10,747.2991
		\$ 295,006.62	\$ 953,792.44	\$ 118,394.63	
II 'i Chassa	\$364.5593672 <b>Per Ton</b>				
Unit Charge	\$304.3393072 Fel 10ll		\$ 7,156,363.20	\$ 1,075,845.81	
	City of Elizabeth (Contributi	on from Pumping S	tation)	\$ 7,156,363.20	
	City of Elizabeth (Industry T	ributary to Joint Me	eeting Trunk)		
	Flow: 14.7520	) MG/Year at	\$ 271.3830765	4,003.44	
	2 10 11 1	3 Tons/Year at	616.8842613	52,178.72	
		l Tons/Year at	364.5593672	3,565.76	
	Joint Meeting Industrial			1,075,845.81	
	Subtotal			\$ 8,291,956.93	_
	Total 2008 Budget		\$ 23,148,352.00		
	Subtotal (above)		(8,291,956.93)		
	Subtotal (EDU's)		\$ 14,856,395.07		

Joint Meeting EDU's (X + Y) + Elmora EDU's (X)= \$ 14,856,395 (Y = Additional Assessment to Member Municipalities for Trunk Sewer O&M)151,060 (X + \$4.0217405) + 3905 (X) = \$ 14,856,395

,

, ,

Equivalent Units-Member Municipalities

151,060

Elmora EDU's = 3,905

\$91.9489623460 Per Unit = X

\$95.9707028460

Per Unit = X+Y

Joint Meeting EDU Assessment

\$ 14,497,334.37

Elmora Area Assessment

\$ 359,060.70

Total Anticipated Payment from the City of Elizabeth

Pumping Station \$ 7,156,363.20

Elmora EDU's

359,060.70

Elmora Industrial

59,747.92

\$ 7,575,171.82

Total Anticipated Payment from Member Municipalities

EDU's

14,497,334.37

Industrial

1,075,845.81

\$ 15,573,180.18

Estimated Percent Contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facility Budgets by City of Elizabeth

\$ 7,575,171.82

\$ 22,525,797.00 =

33.6288737%

Table IV Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Percent Allocation of Infiltration / Inflow

							Poss Vasr 1987	1982		
	£ :		Base Year - 1982 phase ITR - SSES <sup>1</sup>	r - 1982 - SSES <sup>1</sup>			Phase IIB - SSES 2	SES 2		
	Capacity By Contract	Contract Contract	Infiltration	ation	SSES - November 2007	ıber 2007	Inflow		SSES - November 2007	r 2007
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	(MGD)	(Percent)	(GPD) <sup>3</sup>	(Percent)	(GPD) <sup>4</sup>	(Percent)	(GPD)	(Percent)	(GPD) <sup>5</sup>	(Percent)
Municipality	(2011)				43.132				304,786	
Toot Orong	5 50	4 88%	70.747	2.11%	44,022	2.18%	3,007,440	5.79%	218,160	0.53%
East Orange	,				32,448				1,656,196	
Hillside	12.20	10.83%	79,012	2.36%	32,980	1.64%	1,185,120	2.28%	1,185,120	2.88%
THISTOC			•		781,714		-		10,903,292	
Tarington	18 61	16.52%	1.115.672	33.31%	797,026	39.51%	8,612,640	16.57%	7,791,840	18.96%
11 v III grou					195,676				2,990,354	
Manlamond	7.08	%6.29%	389.078	11.62%		%68.6	5,449,680	10.48%	2,137,680	5.20%
Mapiewood					149,378				3,335,395	
		2 33%	191 609	5 72%		7.55%	2,729,520	5.25%	2,384,640	2.80%
Millburn	0.00	טייני.	100,101						2,731,574	
	7 2 1	7097 21	234 484	7 00%		9.15%	1,959,540	3.77%	1,951,200	4.75%
Newark	13.30	13.7078	101,107						2,208,262	
£	0	7081 8	106 187	3.17%		3.23%	1,576,080	3.03%	1,576,080	3.84%
Roselle Park	7.44	0.00.0	100,100						2,881,091	
14	7 00	% 6 9 3%	410.876	12.27%		4.16%	2,183,760	4.20%	2,059,920	5.01%
South Orange	00.7								2,622,311	
Summit	7.50	%99'9	171,657	5.13%		3.22%	3,651,120	7.02%	1,872,720	4.56%
Summit			`		233.465				18,430,934	
-	7	0 17%	379 177	9 83%		11.80%	14,534,640	27.96%	13,170,240	32.05%
Onion	10.00								9,442,620	
Wood Oronge	13 50	11 99%	250.811	7.48%		7.68%	7,097,040	13.65%	6,744,600	16.42%
west Orange	00:01				1,978,718				57,506,815	
	112.63	100.00%	3,349,260	100.00%	2,017,045	100.01%	51,986,580	100.00%	41,092,200	100.00%

(1) Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-2) with trunk sewer infiltration allocated based on contract capacity.

<sup>(2)</sup> Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-3)

<sup>(3) &</sup>quot;Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 6.346%

<sup>-</sup> Adjusted for period 11/1/2006 - 10/31/2007; (3.82079939% ×  $18,900.6660 = 722.16 \, \text{MG}$  / Year /  $365 = 1,978,718 \, \text{GPD}$ ) (4) 2007 - Infiltration related to base year total = 2.017 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 3.82079939%

<sup>(5) 2007</sup> inflow adjusted for period  $11/1/2006 - 10/31/2007 - (46.49" / 33.22") \times (41,092,200) = 57,506,815$  GPD

Table IV - A Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Adjusted 2007 - Summary of Infiltration and Inflow

	or, ere ITD	renfn*	2007 - 2004		Phase IIB		2007	
	Fnase 11D	2006	Infiltration	2007	SSES	2006	Inflow	2007
	Infiltration	Infiltration	Removed	Infiltration	Inflow	Inflow	Removed	Inflow
Municipality	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)
(	1701	77 000		44 022	3.007.440	218,160	ı	218,160
East Orange	/0,/4/	44,022	ı			,		
Hillside	79,012	32,980	I,	32,980	1,185,120	1,185,120	1	1,185,120
Irvington	1,115,672	797,026	1	797,026	8,612,640	7,791,840		7,791,840
Maplewood	389,078	199,485	ŧ	199,485	5,449,680	5,449,680	3,312,000	2,137,680
Millburn	191,609	152,240	1	152,240	2,729,520	2,384,640	ı	2,384,640
Newark	234,484	184,463		184,463	1,959,540	1,951,200	1	1,951,200
Roselle Park	106,187	65,147	•	65,147	1,576,080	1,576,080	1	1,576,080
South Orange	410,876	83,906		83,906	2,183,760	2,068,560	8,640	2,059,920
Summit	171,657	64,916	ı	64,916	3,651,120	1,872,720	1	1,872,720
Union	329,127	238,013	1	238,013	14,534,640	13,357,440	187,200	13,170,240
West Orange Total	250,811	154,847	1	154,847	7,097,040	6,744,600	3,507,840	6,744,600

Table V

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties t Allocation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow

	Cost Allocation - Flo	Cost Allocation - Flow. BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow	nflow	
	Total	Domestic & Commercial	al Industrial	<u>al</u>
H	18,900.6660 MG	18,444.3310	1.6	456.3350 MG
WO. 1	\$5,333,052.52	\$5,194,180.04	\$138,	\$138,872.48
Infiltration 3.8207994% Inflow 10.1440341%	722.16 1,917.29	704.72	\$198,458.95 \$526,899.61	17.44 46.29
BOD at \$616.8842613 <b>Per Ton</b>	10,056.5128 Tons \$6,203,704.47	8,729.5561 \$5,385,125.77	Tons 1,32 \$818,	1,326.9567 Tons \$818,578.70
TSS at \$364.5593672 Per Ton	11,072.0600 Tons \$4,036,423.19	10,747.2991 Tons \$3,918,028.56	\$1	324.7609 Tons \$118,394.63
Total	\$15,573,180.18	\$14,497,334.37	\$1,075,845.81	,845.81
(1) Amount anticipated from Members after allocation of		33.6288737% to City of Elizabeth	\$ 7,575,171.82	
"Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD =	6.34590% (Per I	6.34590% (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)		
Inflow: 113 MGD (Excluding Elizabeth) / 137 MGD (Total) =	7 MGD (Total) =	82.4817518%	82.4817518% 1 inch of rain = 50 mg of inflow (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)	se IIB Report)
"2006 - 2007" Infiltration: 2.017 MGD/52.79MGD =	3.82079939%	X 18,900.6660 722. (November 1, 2006 - October 31, 2007)	722.16 MG / Year October 31, 2007)	
Inflow: Rainfall = 46.49 Inches X 50 MG X 82.482%	82.482%	1,917.29 MG/Year		
Total D&C Less: Infiltration Less: Inflow Net after infiltration and inflow Equivalent Dwelling Units User Charge Per Unit	\$14,497,334.37 (198,458.95) (526,899.61) <b>\$13,771,975.81</b> 151,060 \$91.1689117569178			

Table VI
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2008

				Do	Domestic & Commercial	nercial			
	Devoliting	6	User Charge	Infilt	Infiltration	ĮĮ	Inflow		
Municipality	Units	<del>5</del>	Per Unit	Percent 1	Amount	Percent 2	Amount	Total Cost	Unit Cost
East Orange	7,185		\$655,048.63	2.18%	\$4,326.41	0.53%	\$2,792.57	\$662,167.61	\$92.1597
Hillside	8,512		776,029.78	1.64%	3,254.73	2.88%	15,174.71	794,459.22	93.3340
Irvington	27,252		2,484,535.18	39.51%	78,411.13	18.96%	99,900.17	2,662,846.48	97.7120
Maplewood	9,443		860,908.03	%68.6	19,627.59	2.20%	27,398.78	907,934.40	96.1489
Millburn	9,800 3		893,455.34	7.55%	14,983.65	2.80%	30,560.18	938,999.17	95.8162
Newark	17,377		1,584,242.18	9.15%	18,158.99	4.75%	25,027.73	1,627,428.90	93.6542
Roselle Park	4,746		432,687.66	3.23%	6,410.22	3.84%	20,232.95	459,330.83	96.7827
South Orange	7,851		715,767.13	4.16%	8,255.89	5.01%	26,397.67	750,420.69	95.5828
Summit	14,002 4	4	1,276,547.10	3.22%	6,390.38	4.56%	24,026.62	1,306,964.10	93.3412
Union	24,946		2,274,299.67	11.80%	23,418.16	32.05%	168,871.33	2,466,589.16	98.8771
West Orange	19,946	1	1,818,455.11	7.68%	15,241.65	16.42%	86,516.92	1,920,213.68	96.2706
	151,060		\$13,771,975.81	100.01%	\$170,470.00	100.001	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		\$89.5386 2007
	<ol> <li>See Table IV -</li> <li>See Table IV -</li> <li>Includes Living</li> <li>Includes New F</li> </ol>	le IV le IV s Liv	<ol> <li>See Table IV - Infiltration for November of the Prior Year</li> <li>See Table IV - Inflow for November of the Prior Year</li> <li>Includes Livingston (409)</li> <li>Includes New Providence (3553)</li> </ol>	November of tl ember of the P 53)	he Prior Year rior Year				\$94.7379 2006 \$90.2139 2005 \$83.1509 2004

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2008 Table VI (Continued)

-					2000					
				Tuc	Industrial					
						Flow (A	Flow (MG / Year)			
	BOD	$BOD^{1}$	TSS	TSS 2	Dry	Infiltration	Inflow		Flow 3	Total
Municipality	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	Weather	3.820799%	10.144034%	Total	(\$/Year)	Cost
East Orange	0.0000	\$0.00	0.0000	\$0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hillside	554.5196	342,074.41	48.3884	17,640.44	54.82	2.44	6.46	63.72	19,391.05	379,105.90
Irvington	78.9911	48,728.37	28.9121	10,540.18	39.27	1.74	4.63	45.65	13,891.05	73,159.60
Maplewood	414.4650	255,676.94	19.0485	6,944.31	35.77	1.59	4.22	41.57	12,651.86	275,273.11
Millburn	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Newark	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Roselle Park	0.2212	136.45	0.2356	85.89	0.99	0.04	0.12	1.15	350.88	573.22
South Orange	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00
Summit 4	36.5778	22,564.27	53.0487	19,339.40	78.25	3.48	9.23	90.95	27,676.81	69,580.48
Union	242.1820	149,398.26	175.1276	63,844.41	183.51	8.15	21.64	213.30	64,910.83	278,153.50
West Orange	0.0000	0.0000 0.000 1,326.9567 \$ 818,578.70	0.0000	\$118,394.63	392.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$138,872.48	\$1,075,845.81

(1) At \$616.8842613/Ton (2) At \$364.5593672/Ton (3) At \$304.3213346/MG (4) Includes Murray Hill

Table VII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Summary of Estimated Annual Operational and Maintenance Expenses To Member Municipalities
2008

	The state of the s	Domestic & Co	mmercial		
Municipality	Total Assessments <sup>1</sup>	User Charge Domestic Sewage 91.16891176	User Charges Industrial	Infiltration / Inflow Charges	Assessment Percentage
East Orange	\$662,167.61	\$655,048.63	\$0.00	\$7,118.98	4.2520%
Hillside	1,173,565.12	776,029.78	379,105.90	18,429.44	7.5358%
Irvington	2,736,006.08	2,484,535.18	73,159.60	178,311.30	17.5687%
Maplewood	1,183,207.51	860,908.03	275,273.11	47,026.37	7.5977%
Millburn	938,999.17	893,455.34	0.00	45,543.83	6.0296%
Newark	1,627,428.90	1,584,242.18	0.00	43,186.72	10.4502%
Roselle Park	459,904.05	432,687.66	573.22	26,643.17	2.9532%
South Orange	750,420.69	715,767.13	0.00	34,653.56	4.8187%
Summit	1,376,544.58	1,276,547.10	69,580.48	30,417.00	8.8392%
Union	2,744,742.66	2,274,299.67	278,153.50	192,289.49	17.6248%
West Orange	1,920,213.68	1,818,455.11	0.00	101,758.57	12.3301%
	\$15,573,200.05	\$13,771,975.81	\$1,075,845.81	\$725,378.43	100.0000%
Elizabeth	7,575,151.95				
Total	\$23,148,352.00				

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects anticipated payment of \$7,575,151.95 from the City of Elizabeth which is 33.6288737% of allocation from Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying budget. Exact 2008 percent contribution to be calculated at the end of fiscal year. Quarterly adjustments to be made in accordance with Agreement between Elizabeth and Joint Meeting.

Table VIII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Comparison of 2008 Assessment with 2007 Assessment

				Compa	rison
Municipality	2007	2008		Amount	Percentage
East Orange	\$618,685.88	\$662,167.61	(+)	\$43,481.73	7.03%
Hillside	1,140,565.06	1,173,565.12	(+)	33,000.06	2.89%
Irvington	2,515,405.72	2,736,006.08	(+)	220,600.36	8.77%
Maplewood	1,218,858.79	1,183,207.51	(-)	(35,651.28)	-2.92%
Millburn	874,597.23	938,999.17	(+)	64,401.94	7.36%
Newark	1,520,169.72	1,627,428.90	(+)	107,259.18	7.06%
Roselle Park	427,208.21	459,904.05	(+)	32,695.84	7.65%
South Orange	696,002.83	750,420.69	(+)	54,417.86	7.82%
Summit	1,289,702.61	1,376,544.58	(+)	86,841.97	6.73%
Union	2,763,570.16	2,744,742.66	(-)	(18,827.50)	-0.68%
West Orange	1,781,012.13	1,920,213,68	(+)	139,201.55	7.82%
	\$14,845,778.34	\$15,573,200.05	(+)	\$727,421.71	4.90%
Elizabeth	6,936,952.28	7,575,151.95	(+)	\$ 638,199.67	9.20%
Total	\$21,782,730.62	\$23,148,352.00	(+)	\$1,365,621.38	6.27%

Note: City of Elizabeth percentage contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying Budget:

City of Elizabeth percentage
2004 30.39138410%

 2005
 31.38459700%

 2006
 32.13021810%

 2007
 32.78089120%

Table III, IV, V, VI, and VII, have been prepared to reflect the Domestic Sewer Usage and Industrial User assessment to each municipality for 2008 as a result of the Agreement with the City of Elizabeth which requires 33.6288737% of the 2008 Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Budget to be paid in Quarterly assessments with adjustments made following the determination of the actual percentage of contribution for each quarter. The 33.6288737% figure is an estimate based upon actual monitoring of quality and quantity at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, etc., in accordance with the Agreement. The actual percentage of contribution will be determined following the completion of the annual audit of expenses. In addition, these tables reflect the costs associated with Infiltration / Inflow for the member municipalities, the percentages of which were determined from the Phase IIB SSES Reports, adjusted as a result of rehabilitation work, and updated in 2007.

#### **Summary and Certification**

On the basis of the measured usage of Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and the Treatment Plant, including the Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facilities, and an estimate of Dwelling Units and Waste Characteristics for the municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, and in accordance with the provisions of the 1926 contract, I hereby certify that the estimated maintenance and operating costs of the Joint Meeting for the 2008 are apportioned as follows:

	2008	2007		2008	2007
East Orange	4.2520%	4.1674%	Roselle Park	2.9532%	2.8776%
Hillside	7.5358%	7.6828%	South Orange	4.8187%	4.6882%
Irvington	17.5687%	16.9436%	Summit	8.8392%	8.6873%
Maplewood	7.5977%	8.2101%	Union	17.6248%	18.6152%
Millburn	6.0296%	5.8912%	West Orange	12.3301%	11.9969%
Newark	10.4502%	10.2397%	Total	100.0000%	100.0000%

Respectfully submitted,

a. Relpl L

A. Ralph LaMendola Chief Engineer

# Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A 2008 Budget

_		reatment Plant		watering Facility	ks we	Drying Facility		Sewer	Total
Electric Service	\$	1,737,280	\$	190,144	\$	30,674	\$	- \$	1,958,098
Gas Service		24,700		7,000		20,000		-	51,700
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene		113,300		290,200		-		. <del>"</del>	403,500
Water Service		172,000		57,000		3,500		-	232,500
Chemicals		947,000		756,900		6,800		2,200	1,712,900
Sludge Disposal		-		2,378,848		-		-	2,378,848
Insurance		511,754		134,962		57,943		6,065	710,724
Administration		1,342,000		760,000		20,000	•	140,000	2,262,000
Labor		5,102,000		850,000		120,000		8,000	6,080,000
Benefits		2,477,902		418,240		43,800		65,840	3,005,782
Equipment		259,500		28,000				-	287,500
Printing & Stationery		15,000		2,000				1,000	18,000
Maintenance, Supplies & Spare Parts		1,113,933		329,917		5,000		256,250	1,705,100
Screening Disposal		130,000		-		. <b>-</b>		-	130,000
Reserve Contingency		135,000		-		-		- -	135,000
Miscellaneous Expenses		190,000		65,000	)	-		120,000	375,000
Technical & Professional Services		425,500		80,000	)	-		15,000	520,500
Replacement Fund		500,000				-		-	500,000
NJPDES & Miscellaneous Permit Fee	<b>)</b> :	403,000		20,000	)	250,000		8,200	681,200
	\$	15,599,869	\$	6,368,21	1	\$ 557,717	,	\$ 622,555	\$ 23,148,352
		67.399	6	27.51	%	2.41%	6	2.69%	100.00%

### Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued) Operations and Management Cost Allocations (Treatment Plant)

	Estimated	Percent Flow	•	Cost Flow	Per S	cent S	(	Cost SS	Perce BO		C	ost BOD
o 15 Cama 1	Total Cost \$ 2,008,104	80%	\$	1,606,483		10%	\$	200,810		10%	\$	200,811
General Expenditures 1	\$ 2,008,104	0070	Ψ	1,000,100							Φ.	055 504
Power	1,737,280	40%	\$	694,912		5%	\$	86,864		55%	\$	955,504
Chlorine	678,600	40%	\$	271,440						60%	\$	407,160
Maintenance, Equipment,	,											
Supplies, Spare Parts, & Replacement Fund	2,008,433	28%	\$	562,361		27%	\$	542,277		45%	\$	903,795
•	113,300	40%	\$	45,320		30%	\$	33,990		30%	\$	33,990
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	115,500			•								
Administration, Labor												
& Benefits (Payroll Retirement)	8,921,902	40%		3,568,761		10%	\$	892,190		50%	\$	4,460,951
(Faylon Retirement)	, ,					50%	\$	66,125		50%	\$	66,125
Sludge Processing 2	132,250		-	6,749,277		3076	. <u> </u>	1,822,256		3070		7,028,336
Total	\$15,599,869 100%	*.		3.2649595%			-	.6812263%			45	5.0538142%
(1) General Expenditure	S	•	(2	.) Sludge Proce	ecina							
(From the Approved Bu	dget)		(2	) Studge From	zssing							
Insurance		\$ 511,754	P	olymer	\$	80,000						
Technical & Professiona	al Services	425,500	K	2MnO4		52,250						
Stationery & Printing	1 501 11005	15,000										
Gas		24,700										
Water		172,000										
Miscellaneous		190,000										
Service Contracts		130,000										
Permit Fees		403,000										
Sodium Bisulfite & Mis	sc. Chemicals	136,150	_			100 050						
		\$2,008,104	_		\$	132,250	_					

## Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

Operations and Management Allocations

	o F			
Treatment Plant	Estimated Total Cost \$ 15,599,869	Cost Flow \$ 6,749,277	Cost TSS \$ 1,822,256	Cost BOD \$ 7,028,336
Dewatering Facility	6,368,211	,	3,184,106	3,184,106
Drying Facility	\$ 557,717 \$ 22,525,797	\$ 6,749,277	278,859 \$ 5,285,220	278,859 \$10,491,300
Subtotal	100.00%		23.4629656%	46.5746007%
Sewers 1	\$ 622,555			
Total	\$ 23,148,352	-		

<sup>(1)</sup> Joint Meeting Members Only

### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008 SUMMARY

		LOADINGS		
	FLOW	BOD	TSS	
MUNICIPALITY	(MG)	(tons)	(tons)	
HILLSIDE	63.719	554.51956521	48.38843814	
IRVINGTON	45.646	78.99107568	28.91211996	
MAPLEWOOD	41.574	414.46500696	19.04845158	
MURRAY HILL	34.362	11.50413762	5.84141523	
ROSELLE PARK	1,153	0.22116846	0.23559249	
SUMMIT	56.584	25.07370543	47.20731900	
UNION	213.297	242.18195736	175.12756923	•
UNION				
MEMBERS TOTAL	456.335	1326.95661672	324.76090563	
MEMBERS TOTAL			·	
ELIZABETH	276.242	3045.08062554	818.99520993	
ELIZADETT				
GRAND TOTAL	732.577	4372.03724226	1143.75611556	
GIVAND TOTAL				
		00070		
		COSTS	TSS	TOTAL
MUNICIPALITY	FLOW	BOD	\$17,640.46	\$379,105.90
HILLSIDE	\$19,391.05	\$342,074.39	\$10,540.18	\$73,159.50
IRVINGTON	\$13,890.96	\$48,728.35	3 10.3 <del>4</del> 0.10	φιοιισσ
MAPLEWOOD		4055 070 04		\$275 273 09
	\$12,651.86	\$255,676.94	\$6,944.29	\$275,273.09 \$19.683.35
MURRAY HILL	\$10,457.09	\$7,096.72	\$6,944.29 \$2,129.54	\$19,683.35
	\$10,457.09 \$350.88	\$7,096.72 \$136.44	\$6,944.29 \$2,129.54 \$85.89	\$19,683.35 \$573.21
MURRAY HILL	\$10,457.09 \$350.88 \$17,219.72	\$7,096.72 \$136.44 \$15,467.57	\$6,944.29 \$2,129.54 \$85.89 \$17,209.87	\$19,683.35 \$573.21 \$49,897.16
MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK	\$10,457.09 \$350.88	\$7,096.72 \$136.44	\$6,944.29 \$2,129.54 \$85.89	\$19,683.35 \$573.21
MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT UNION	\$10,457.09 \$350.88 \$17,219.72 \$64,910.83	\$7,096.72 \$136.44 \$15,467.57 \$149,398.24	\$6,944.29 \$2,129.54 \$85.89 \$17,209.87 \$63,844.40	\$19,683.35 \$573.21 \$49,897.16 \$278,153.46
MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT	\$10,457.09 \$350.88 \$17,219.72	\$7,096.72 \$136.44 \$15,467.57	\$6,944.29 \$2,129.54 \$85.89 \$17,209.87	\$19,683.35 \$573.21 \$49,897.16
MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT UNION MEMBERS TOTAL	\$10,457.09 \$350.88 \$17,219.72 \$64,910.83 \$138,872.38	\$7,096.72 \$136.44 \$15,467.57 \$149,398.24 \$818,578.65	\$6,944.29 \$2,129.54 \$85.89 \$17,209.87 \$63,844.40 \$118,394.63	\$19,683.35 \$573.21 \$49,897.16 \$278,153.46 \$1,075,845.67
MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT UNION	\$10,457.09 \$350.88 \$17,219.72 \$64,910.83	\$7,096.72 \$136.44 \$15,467.57 \$149,398.24	\$6,944.29 \$2,129.54 \$85.89 \$17,209.87 \$63,844.40	\$19,683.35 \$573.21 \$49,897.16 \$278,153.46
MURRAY HILL ROSELLE PARK SUMMIT UNION MEMBERS TOTAL	\$10,457.09 \$350.88 \$17,219.72 \$64,910.83 \$138,872.38	\$7,096.72 \$136.44 \$15,467.57 \$149,398.24 \$818,578.65	\$6,944.29 \$2,129.54 \$85.89 \$17,209.87 \$63,844.40 \$118,394.63	\$19,683.35 \$573.21 \$49,897.16 \$278,153.46 \$1,075,845.67

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008 COST FACTORS

	FLOW	BOD	TSS
MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES	\$304.3213346	\$616.8842613	\$364.5593672
ELIZABETH	\$271.3830765	\$616.8842613	\$364.5593672

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

Municipality: HILLSIDE

			Concentra	tion	Flow per MG	ost Factors BOD per Ton 616.8842613 \$	TSS per Ton 64.5593672	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
IU#	INDUSTRY S	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(10113)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	FOR	2	35	5	12.312	1.7969	0.2567	
1035	ECD	3	126	180	0.754	0.3962	0.5660	
	Totals:	•			13.066	2.1931	0.8227 <b>\$299.91</b>	\$5,629.06
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,976.26	\$1,352.89	φ233,31	ψ0,020.00
			2	2	10.676	0.0890	0.0890	
1042	GEC Marconi / BAE	1	2	2	10.676	0.0890	0.0890	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$3,248.93	\$54.93	\$32.46	\$3,336.32
	Mars Brown Co	4	347	307	0.377	0.5455	0.4826	
1050	Manhattan Drug Co. Totals:	7	0.11		0.377	0.5455	0.4826	¢c27.20
	Cost Analysis:				\$114.73	\$336.52	\$175.95	\$627.20
		0	3210	1111	5.128	68.6419	23.7574	
1054	Oasis Foods	3 99	3210 170	143	1.758	1.2462	1.0483	
	Totals:	99	170	, ,,	6.886	69.8881	24.8057	4-105157
	Cost Analysis:				\$2,095.56	\$43,112.88	\$9,043.14	\$54,251.57
	O (Industrian	1	502	759	0.036	0.0754	0.1139	
1058	Quest Industries	2	140	220	0.247	0.1442	0.2266	
	Totals:	_			0.283	0.2196	0.3405 <b>\$124.15</b>	\$345.71
	Cost Analysis:				\$86.12	\$135.44	\$124.15	φ040.7.1
	USA Detergents /			,	3,961	22.6123	1.7343	
1088	Production Services	1	1369	10	3.961	22.6123	1.7343	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$1,205.42	\$13,949.16	\$632.26	\$15,786.84
			1500	9	e 23.185	412.4431	9.5715	
1090	Union Beverages	1	4266 2137	9 65	•	32.3123	9.8434	
	Tatalas	2	2137	0.5	26.811	444.7554	19.4149	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$8,159.16	\$274,362.61	\$7,077.87	\$289,599.64
	(1. 5	1	2055	10	1 1.659	14.2166	0.6987	
1091	Hillside Bottling Totals:		2000		1.659	14.2166	0.6987	40 500 50
	Cost Analysis:				\$504.87	\$8,769.97	\$254.73	\$9,529.56
							40.0004	
	HILLSIDE TOTALS				63.7190	554.5196	48.3884 \$17,640.46	\$379,105.90
					\$19,391.05	\$342,074.39	φ17,040.40	ψο, ο, . σοίσσ

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

Municipality: IRVINGTON

						ost Factors	and the second second second second	
							TSS per Ton:	
			Concentra	ition	\$304.3213346 \$	616.8842613 \$3	64.5593672	
					FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
			BOD	TSS	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(IVIG)	(10110)	<b>,</b>	
10 "					0.028	0.0072	0.0016	
2030	Hi-Speed Plating	1	62	14	0.078	0.0553	0.0465	
	•	99	170	143	0.106	0.0625	0.0481	
	Totals:				\$32.26	\$38.58	\$17.55	\$88.39
	Cost Analysis:				, φυΣ20			
							0.0040	
		1	902	120	1.602	6.0257	0.8016	
2036	Intergel Totals		002		1.602	6.0257	0.8016	\$4,496.91
	Cost Analysis				\$487.52	\$3,717.14	\$292.25	\$4,450.51
	Cost Analysis	•						
					2.000	0.0184	0.0065	
2040	Jocely (formerly Jabel)	1	134	47		0.0922	0.0775	
2040	,	99	170	143		0.1106	0.0840	
	Totals	S: .			0.163	\$68.23	\$30.62	\$148.45
	Cost Analysis				\$49.60	φ00.23	<b>+</b>	
			705	110	2,775	8.3895	1.2729	
2057	SAL Cleaners	1	725	143	' <u></u>	0.0900	0.0757	
		99	170	140	2.902	8.4795	1.3486	
	Totals				\$883.14	\$5,230.90	\$491.65	\$6,605.69
	Cost Analysi	s:			•			
						44.0005	1.0470	
2000	Wayne County Foods	1	8271	20		41.8365	0.1252	•
2066	Wayne County 1 0000	99	170	14		0.1489 41.9853	1,1723	
	Total	ls:			1.423	\$25,900.09	\$427.36	\$26,760.50
	Cost Analysi	is:			\$433.05	\$25,900.05	<b>4121100</b>	
			405	15	5 38.633	21.7484	24.9704	
2072	Clean-Tex Services	1	135 170	14		0.5790	0.4870	
		. 99	170	17	39.450	22.3274	25.4574	
	Tota				\$12,005.39	\$13,773.43	\$9,280.75	\$35,059.56
	Cost Analys	sis:			¥			
					45.6457	78.9911	28.9121	
	IRVINGTON TOTALS				\$13,890.96	\$48,728.35	\$10,540.18	\$73,159.50
					φ (0,000.00	T 1 1		

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

Municipality: MAPLEWOOD

					Flow per MG	ost Factors BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton 364.5593672	
			Concentra	ation	\$304.3213346	\$616,8842613	*	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
3020	Gleason Cleaners Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	199 170	78 143	0.640 0.198 0.838 \$255.02	0.5311 0.1404 0.671 \$414.21	0.2082 0.1181 0.326 \$118.93	\$788.16
3033	NJ Transit - Hilton Gar. Totals: Cost Analysis:	За	268	279	6.214 6.214 <b>\$1,891.05</b>	6.9445 6.9445 <b>\$4,283.96</b>	7.2296 7.2296 <b>\$2,635.60</b>	\$8,810.62
3046	UniClean Totals: Cost Analysis:		94 170	18 143	2.356 0.198 2.554 \$777.24	0.9235 0.1404 1.0639 \$656.28	0.1768 0.1181 0.2949 <b>\$107.51</b>	\$1,541.03
3050	Maplewood Beverage Totals: Cost Analysis		3044	84	31.968 31.968 \$9,728.54	405.7852 405.7852 \$250,322.48	11.1978 11.1978 \$4,082.25	\$264,133.27
	MAPLEWOOD TOTALS				41.5740 <b>\$12,651.8</b> 6	414.4650 \$255,676.94	19.0485 <b>\$6,944.29</b>	\$275,273.09

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

Municipality: MURRAY HILL

					Flow per MG		TSS per Ton		
			Concentr	ation	= \$304.3213346 \$				
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT	
5010	Bell Labs / Lucent Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	18	5	25.515 25.515 \$7,764.76	1.9152 1.9152 <b>\$1,181.43</b>	0.5320 0.5320 \$193.94	\$9,140.13	
5011	Baxter Pharmaceutical Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	549	746	0.845 0.845 <b>\$257.15</b>	1.9345 1.9345 <b>\$1,193.35</b>	2.6286 2.6286 \$958.30	\$2,408.80	
5020	Fablok Mills Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	292	79	5.323 5.323 <b>\$1,619.90</b>	6.4815 6.4815 <b>\$3,998.33</b>	1.7536 1.7536 \$639.28	\$6,257.51	
5021	FRC-Electrical Ind Totals: Cost Analysis:		105	83	2.679 2.679 \$815.28	1.1730 1.1730 \$723.61	0.9272 0.9272 \$338.03	\$1,876.91	
	MURRAY HILL TOTALS				34.3620 \$10,457.09	11.5041 <b>\$7,</b> 096.72	5.8414 <b>\$2,129.54</b>	\$19,683.35	

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

#### Municipality: ROSELLE PARK

			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG	Cost Factors  BOD per Ton TSS per Ton  \$616.8842613 \$364.5593672		
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
6005	Hexacon Electric Total: Cost Analysi		46	49	1.153 1.153 <b>\$350.88</b>	0.2212 0.2212 \$136.44	0.2356 0.2356 \$85.89	\$573.21
RC	SELLE PARK TOTALS				1.1530 <b>\$350.88</b>	0.2212 \$136.44	0.2356 <b>\$85.89</b>	\$573.21

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

Municipality: SUMMIT

			Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton Concentration \$304,3213346 \$616:8842613 \$364:5593672						
1U #	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT	
5511	Novartis Groundwater Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	2	. 7	1.27 1.27 \$386.49	0.0106 0.0106 \$6.53	0.0371 0.0371 <b>\$13.51</b>	\$406.54	
5512	Summit Property Co.  Totals: Cost Analysis:	03A 99	47 170	129 143	41.793 5.038 46.831 \$14,251.67	8.1910 3.5714 11.7624 \$7,256.07	22.4817 3.0042 25.4859 \$9,291.13	\$30,798.87	
5513	Celgene Corporation Totals: Cost Analysis:		376	613	8.483 8.483 \$2,581.56	13.3007 13.3007 \$8,204.97	21.6843 21.6843 <b>\$7,905.23</b>	\$18,691.75	
	SUMMIT TOTALS				56.5840 <b>\$17,219.72</b>	25.0737 <b>\$15,467.57</b>	47.2073 <b>\$17,209.87</b>	\$49,897.16	

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

#### Municipality: UNION

			Concentra	Cost Factors  Flow.per MG BOD.per Ton TSS per Ton  Concentration \$304;3213346 \$616.8842613 \$364.5593672							
11.1.#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT			
IU # 7015	ACuPowder	3 (99) 4 Totals:	170 98	143 140	0.035 1.412 1.447 \$440.35	0.0248 0.5770 0.6018 \$371.27	0.0209 0.8243 0.8452 \$308.12	\$1,119.74			
7035	American Products  Cost Al	1 Totals: nalysis:	376	360	1.07 1.07 \$325.62	1.6777 1.6777 \$1,034.93	1.6063 1.6063 \$585.59	\$1,946.14			
7045		logy 1 Totals: nalysis:	269	419	1.631 1.631 \$496.35	1.8295 1.8295 <b>\$1,128.62</b>	2.8497 2.8497 <b>\$1,038.90</b>	\$2,663.86			
7060	National Envelope Cost A	1 Totals: nalysis:	373	506	1.856 1.856 <b>\$564.82</b>	2.8868 2.8868 \$1,780.85	3.9162 3.9162 \$1,427.69	\$3,773.35			
7070	Durex Cost A	1 Totals: \nalysis:	216	122	3.441 3.441 <b>\$1,047.17</b>	3.0994 3.0994 \$1,911.96	1.7506 1.7506 <b>\$638.19</b>	\$3,597.32			
7077	Siemens Water Tech Cost	nology 4 Totals: Analysis:	48	30	2.550 2.550 \$776.02	0.5104 0.5104 <b>\$314.86</b>	0.3190 0.3190 <b>\$116.30</b>	\$1,207.18			
7080	Foremost Mfg Cost	2 Totals: Analysis:	21	179	16.204 16.204 \$ <b>4,931.22</b>	1.4190 1.4190 \$875.35	12.0952 12.0952 <b>\$4,409.40</b>	\$10,215.97			
7088	Hanovia Cost	1 Totals: Analysis:	31	65	0.747 0.747 <b>\$227.33</b>	0.0966 0.0966 \$59.57	0.2025 0.2025 \$ <b>73.81</b>	\$360.71			
7092	International Paint	2 Totals: Analysis:	50	73	5.732 5.732 <b>\$1,744.37</b>	1.1951 1.1951 <b>\$737.25</b>	1.7449 1.7449 \$636.11	\$3,117.73			
7105	Stonco Lighting	2 Totals: Analysis:	200	265	1.179 1.179 <b>\$358.79</b>	0.9833 0.9833 <b>\$606.57</b>	1.3029 1.3029 \$47 <b>4.</b> 97	\$1,440.34			
7145	Schering Cos	Totals: t Analysis:	5 23	28	155.129 155.129 <b>\$47,209.06</b>	14.8784 14.8784 <b>\$9,178.2</b> 6	18.1129 18.1129 \$6,603.21				

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

#### Municipality: UNION

			Cost Factors							
			Concentra	ation	Flow per MG \$304.3213346		TSS per Ton 364 5593672			
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT		
7150	SS Studios To Cost Analy	1 tals: ysis:	285	259	0.123 0.123 \$37.43	0.1462 0.1462 \$90.18	0.1328 0.1328 \$48,43	\$176.04		
7155	<b>Tessler &amp; Weiss</b> To Cost Anal	22 vtals: ysis:	133	86	1.554 1.554 \$472.92	0.8619 0.8619 \$531.67	0.5573 0.5573 <b>\$203.17</b>	\$1,207.75		
7167	Turbo Braze To Cost Ana	1 otals: lysis:	197	292	0.304 0.304 \$92.51	0.2497 0.2497 <b>\$154.06</b>	0.3702 0.3702 <b>\$134.95</b>	\$381.52		
7191	Cintas To Cost Ana	1 otals: lysis:	211	109	12.534 12.534 <b>\$3,814.36</b>	11.0283 11.0283 \$6,803.18	5.6971 5.6971 <b>\$2,076.92</b>	\$12,694.47		
7192	Merril Corporation T Cost Ana	1 otals: ılysis:	806	941	0.509 0.509 \$154.90	1.7108 1.7108 <b>\$1,055.34</b>	1.9973 1.9973 \$728.13	\$1,938.37 ·		
7193	Lioni Latticini T Cost Ana	1 1 <sup>-</sup> otals: <sub>alysis:</sub>	7348 170	4486 143		198.4322 0.2247 198.6569 \$122,548.30	121.1441 0.1890 121.3331 \$44,233.12	\$168,848.67		
7194	BASF Catalysts Cost An	99 Fotals: alysis:	170	143	0.494 0.494 \$150.33	0.3502 0.3502 \$216.03	0.2946 0.2946 \$107.39	\$473.76		
	UNION TOTALS				213.2970 <b>\$64,910.83</b>	242.1820 <b>\$149,398.24</b>	175.1276 \$63,844.40	\$278,153.46		

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

Municipality: ELIZABETH

			Concentra	ation	Flow per MG	Cost Factors BOD per Ton \$616.8842613	TSS per Ton 364.5593672	
					FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
0033	Cargill Flavor Systems	1	517	105	1.286	2.7725	0.5631 0.5631	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				1.286 <b>\$349.00</b>	2.7725 <b>\$1,710.30</b>	\$205.27	\$2,264.57
0037	Deb-El Foods	1	2158	362	3.619 3.619	32.5669 32.5669	5.4630 5.4630	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$982.14	\$20,089.99	\$1,991.60	\$23,063.72
0062	Garcia Laundry	2	689	218	3.469	9.9669	3.1535	
0002	Totals:				3.469	9.9669	3,1535	\$8,239.49
	Cost Analysis:				\$941.43	\$6,148.42	\$1,149.65	<b>Ф</b> 0,235.45
	Actavis (formerly					0.1.50.40	0.7040	
0067	Purepac) Totals:	3	1375	159	14.752 14.752	84.5843 84.5843	9.7810 9.7810	
	Cost Analysis:	,			\$4,003.44	\$52,178.71	\$3,565.76	\$59,747.92
0070	LORCO	2	8530	54	18.736	666.4414	4.2190	
0070	Totals:	2	0000	0.	18.736	666.4414	4.2190	0447 700 04
	Cost Analysis:				\$5,084.63	\$411,117.21	\$1,538.07	\$417,739.91
	Mastercraft							•
0075	Electroplating	1 99	2 170	5 143	0.009 0.024	0.0001 0.0170	0.0002 0.0143	
	Totals:	99	170	143	0.033	0.0171	0.0145	
	Cost Analysis:				\$8,96	\$10.54	\$5.29	\$24.78
0078	Magnolia Beef	1	1197	927	0.107	0.5341	0.4136	
	•	99	170	143	0.198 0.305	0.1404 0.6745	0.1181 0.5317	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$82.77	\$416.06	\$193.83	\$692.66
	NA W. Audhante	. 1	5	6	1.766	0.0368	0.0442	
0091	NJ Turnpike Authority Totals:		3		1.766	0.0368	0.0442	4
	Cost Analysis:				\$479.26	\$22.71	\$16.11	\$518.09
0093	OENJ	4	6	50		0.2628	2.1899	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				10.503 <b>\$2,850.34</b>	0.2628 <b>\$162.11</b>	2.1899 <b>\$798.34</b>	\$3,810.78
0098	Olympia Trails	1	616	563	1.700	4.3668	3.9911	
0000		99	170	143		0.2694	0.2266 4.2177	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				2.08 <b>\$564.48</b>	4.6362 <b>\$2,860.00</b>	\$1,537.60	\$4,962.08
	anti-ti-uta munda Ali di						•	
0100	Michaels Foods - North Avenue Facility	4	2947	98		1047.4644	348.6809	
- 100	•	4a	1711	410		86.3105 25.4988	20.6822 5.0688	
		4b 99	1152 170	22! 14:		0.5905	0.4967	
	Totals	:			103,474	1159.8642 \$715.501.95	374.9286 <b>\$136,683.74</b>	\$880,266.78
	Cost Analysis	:			\$28,081.09	\$715,501.95	ψ100,003.14	\$300,£00.10

#### INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2008

Municipality: ELIZABETH

	•		Concentra	TSS per Ton \$364.5593672				
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
	Michaels Foods -							
0105	Papetti Plaza Facility	2	3378	1312	65,031	916.0436	355.7872	
		2a	2088	294	8.552	74.4619	10.4846 1.7860	
		2b	2091	261	1.641	14.3087 1.2203	1.7997	
		3	396	584	0.739 75.963	1006.0345	369.8575	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$20,615.07	\$620,606.83	\$134,835.01	\$776,056.91
	Coot / mary ore.							
0120	Phelps Dodge	99	170	143	0.239	0.1694	0.1425	
	Totals:				0.239	0.1694	0.1425	¢224.22
	Cost Analysis:				\$64.86	\$104.52	\$51.96	\$221.33
	Superior Powder							
0148	Coating	1	38	25	2.632	0.4171	. 0.2744	
0140		99	170	143	0.607	0.4303	0.3620	
	Totals:				3,239	0.8474	0.6363 <b>\$231.99</b>	\$1,633.72
	Cost Analysis:				\$879.01	\$522.73	<b>\$231.33</b>	ψ1,000.12
0455	Dura Ras	1	123	125	15.508	7.9542	8.0835	
0155	Duro Bag	2	81	88	3,906	1.3193	1.4333	
		3	331	435	1.129	1,5583	2.0479	
	Totals:				20.543	10.8319	11.5648	64C 472 40
	Cost Analysis:				\$5,575.02	\$6,682.01	\$4,216.07	\$16,473.10
0405	Wakefern Food Corp.	2	147	180	5.037	3.0876	3.7808	
0165	Wakeletti Food Corp.	3a	321	386	4.338	5.8067	6,9825	
		4	356	667	0.531	0.7883	1.4769	
		7	179	56	0.248	0.1851	0.0579	
	Totals:	;			10.154	9.8677	12.2981 <b>\$4,483.40</b>	\$13,326.28
	Cost Analysis:	:			\$2,755.62	\$6,087.25	φ4,400.40	Ψ10,020.20
6475	Prince Donut Co.	3 .	3525	1292	2.884	42.3926	15.5380	
0175	Prince Bonut Co.	99	170	143	0.333	0.2361	0,1986	
	Totals				3.217	42.6287	15.7365	
	Cost Analysis				\$873.04	\$26,296.97	\$5,736.90	\$32,906.91
					0.000	3,4911	3.2091	
0178	814 Americas	3	359	330	2.332 0.145	0.1028	0.0865	
		99	170	143	2.477	3.5939	3.2955	
	Totals Cost Analysis				\$672.22	\$2,217.00	\$1,201.42	\$4,090.63
						0.4000	0,2336	
0185	Cavalier Chemical	1	12240	313	0.179	9,1363 0,1475	0.1240	
	t.	99	170	143	0.208 0.387	9.2838	0.3577	
	Totals Cost Analysis				\$105.03	\$5,727.00	\$130.39	\$5,962.42
	ELIZABETH TOTALS				276.2420	3,045.0806	818.9952	
					\$74,967.40	\$1,878,462.31	\$298,572.38	\$2,252,002.09