December 22, 2006

To the Joint Meeting

Ladies and Gentlemen:

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SEWAGE CONTRIBUTION OF THE MUNICIPALITIES ORGANIZED IN JOINT MEETING (FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE YEAR 2007)

I present herewith the 72nd Annual Report for the eleven municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, covering their respective contribution to Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and to the Treatment Plant, as called for under the terms of the 1926 Contract.

Article X of the 1926 Contract requires that an estimate be made for the purpose of assessing the costs of maintenance and operation each year.

In order that the amount of work necessary for the preparation of this estimate be completed in time for consideration by the Joint Meeting before the date specified by law for the adoption of the new budget, it has been customary to use the twelve-month period immediately prior thereto as the basis of consideration for the assessment purpose only.

You will please note that this is the first of the two Annual Reports prepared each year, and that it covers the twelve-month period from November 1, 2005 through October 31, 2006. Subsequently, at the beginning of each succeeding year, a second and final report is prepared covering the previously completed calendar year, which coincides with the fiscal year of the Joint Meeting.

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, PL 92-500 and as a requirement of our Construction Grant for the expansion in Secondary Treatment, a "User Charge System" is used to apportion the operation and maintenance costs for the Joint Meeting for 2007. During the year 1978, a User Charge System was prepared and adopted by the member municipalities and is entitled "An Ordinance Establishing and Defining User Charges in Connection with the Collection and Treatment of Wastewater and Providing for the Payment of Said User Charges". During the year 2006, this "User Charge System" was utilized by the various municipalities for collection of the charges associated with the operation and maintenance of the trunk sewer system, the treatment plant, and the Sludge Dewatering Facility, the percentage of assessment based upon a report dated December 16, 2005.

During 2006, the various municipalities computed the actual dwelling units for each of their respective towns in accordance with the revised schedule included in the Sewer Use Ordinance. Table II depicts the new (2007) dwelling unit figures formulated by each municipality in 2006.

It should be noted that the methodology used to assess the City of Elizabeth for services rendered by the Joint Meeting is based on quantity and quality of sewage as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU's) tributary to the gravity Joint Meeting sewer through the Elmora Avenue Area in Elizabeth, plus the tributary area from the City of Linden. This methodology was approved by the Joint Meeting and the Elizabeth City Council. The percentage used in this assessment report for the City will be based upon a twelve (12) month, 365 day period of November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006 and projected for a twelve (12) month period in 2007. This allocation will be assessed and paid in accordance with the member municipalities billing procedure. Then, based on the analysis of the samples taken at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, an adjustment is made (on a quarterly basis) to the percent allocation from the City. At the end of 2007, utilizing twelve (12) months of data, the actual percent contribution by the City will be calculated and certified thereto.

The accompanying computations include the total Dwelling Unit number of 47,181 for the City. (This Equivalent Dwelling Unit number was computed by Elson T. Killam Associates during the period November 8, 1979 and December 12, 1979 and revised by the City Engineer on February 9, 1982).

USER CHARGE APPORTIONMENT

Set forth below are the basis factors concerning flow, waste characteristics and projected cost of operations of the Facilities derived for the 365 day period from November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006, and projected for 2007 and are based upon actual 2005-2006 operating statistics as well as a recent upgraded 2006 industrial waste survey. The industrial waste figures take into consideration actual yearly operational time for each industry (i.e., 5 day or 7 day working week, etc.).

1.	Flow	24,126.1440	MG/Year		66.0990	MGD
2.	BOD	17,434.0974	Tons/Year		95,529.3008	Lbs/Day
	TSS	14,558.9564	Tons/Year		79,775.1036	Lbs/Day
3.	Estimated Indu	strial Flow	762 1360	MG/Year	2.0880	MGD
<i>3</i> . 4.	Estimated Indu		4,664.1439		25,556.9526	
5.	Estimated Indu		,	Tons/Year	6,298.2438	•
6.	Estimated Tota	l Operating Ex	openses in 2007			
					\$14,513,312	STP
					6,102,208	SDWF
					544,955	SDF
				*	621,877	Sewers
				-	\$21,782,352	Total
7.	Estimated Tota	ıl Dwelling Un	its in System			
•	,		•		150,930	JM Members
					47,181	Elizabeth
					198,111	Total

In addition to the foregoing, it has been determined that the following cost allocations would fairly represent the actual costs of treatment.

Flow	30.0464427%
BOD	46.6723904%
Suspended Solids	23.2811669%

The basis for these cost allocations is set forth in Appendix A.

Based upon the foregoing allocations, Table I has been prepared which shows the projected and estimated flow and waste characteristics for 2007 and the projected estimated cost allocation between domestic sewage treated from residential and commercial establishments, and the industrial waste treatment reflecting industrial flow from industries in the collection system. This system takes into consideration the fact that the City of Elizabeth does not use the trunk sewer and will accordingly not be charged for its use. The trunk sewer charge is assessed only against member municipalities, and their respective industries.

Table I Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties Estimated Flow Waste Characteristics and Cost Allocation for Treatment in 2007

Treatment Plant

			Domestic &	
	Total for 2007		Commercial	<u>Industrial</u>
Flow	24,126.1440	MG/Yr. ¹	23,364.0080 MG/Yr.	762.1360 MG/Yr.
BOD	17,434.0974	Tons/Yr.1	12,769.9536 Tons/Yr.	4,664.1439 Tons/Yr.
Suspended Solids	14,558.9564	Tons/Yr.1	13,409.5269 Tons/Yr.	1,149.4295 Tons/Yr.

(1) Based upon data from November 1, 2005 thru October 31, 2006 (365 days)

Cost Allocation

		Domestic &	
	Total for 2007	Commercial	Industrial
Flow	\$6,357,970.00	\$6,157,124.00	\$200,846.00
BOD	9,876,099.50	7,233,947.00	2,642,152.50
Suspended Solids	4,926,405.50	4,537,466.00_	388,939.50
-	\$21,160,475.00	\$17,928,537.00	\$3,231,938.00
	100.000000%	84.72653380%	15.27346620%

Elizabeth Contribution

Flow	-	6,038.5460	MG/Yr.
BOD	2	6,624.7505	Tons/Yr.
TSS	-	3,550.9972	Tons/Yr.

Trunk Sewer Flow 1

	Domestic &	
Total for 2007	Commercial	<u>Industrial</u>
18,087.5980 MG/Yr. ²	17,646.8960 MG/Yr.	440.702 MG/Yr.

- (1) Based upon data from November 1, 2005 thru October 31, 2006 (365 days)
- (2) (24,126.144 6,038.5460)

COST ALLOCATION

\$621,877.00 \$606,725.05 \$15,151.95

Member municipalities will be assessed \$4.02 (\$606,725.05/150,930) for trunk sewer O&M per equivalent dwelling unit.

The estimated cost for treating industrial wastes has been determined to be \$297.91 per MG for flow, \$566.48 per ton of BOD, and \$338.38 per ton of suspended solids. These estimates are predicated upon the cost allocation to industry and the estimates of flow and strength characteristics of the industrial waste, all as indicated on Table I. These costs were determined as follows:

Flow	\$200,846.00	/	762.1360 =	\$263.5303935	/MG
BOD	\$2,642,152.50	1	4,664.1439 =	\$566.4817778	/Ton
Suspended Solids	\$388,939.50	1	1,149.4295 =	\$338.3761249	/Ton

All industries within the member municipalities (excluding Elizabeth) will be required to pay an additional \$34.38/MG of flow for trunk sewer use (\$15,151.95/440.702 = \$34.381/MG). This brings the total flow cost to \$297.91/MG (\$263.530 + \$34.381) for the indicated industries of member municipalities.

Based upon the user charges for 2007, a computation has been made to show the budget prepayment for each municipality of the Joint Meeting. These estimates are subject to revision annually, dependent upon the actual number of dwelling units which must be determined for each municipality in accordance with the schedule of dwelling units set forth in the ordinance. In addition thereto, the computation for the industrial user charges has been based upon actual calculations of flow and waste characteristics for the industries in the district as a result of the 2006 industrial waste survey of the service area. These are estimates for 2007 and must be verified on an annual basis to reflect the actual flow and waste characteristics.

The basis for the industrial waste flow and characteristics are set forth in Appendix B of this report. The dwelling unit figures have been compiled by each municipality with the exception of Elizabeth in accordance with the revised Schedule of Dwelling Units incorporated into the User Charge Ordinance.

Table VII sets forth the cost to each municipality to establish the budget requirements of \$21,675,352 for 2007. However, it should be noted that in accordance with the Agreement between the Joint Meeting and the City of Elizabeth noted previously, and as a result of the monitoring of the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, the City would provide for 32.7808912% of the treatment plant, sludge dewatering facility and sludge drying facility budgets initially with adjustments made quarterly. Upon completion and verification of the quality and quantity of the City's contribution as measured at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, plus the EDU's from the Elmora Avenue area, plus the City of Linden's contribution, an adjustment will then be made for the member municipalities.

Table II

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities

Municipality Dwelling Units and Industrial User Charge

2007

Municipality	Dwelling Units 1	User Charges Industrial
East Orange	7,172	\$ -
Hillside	8,496	400,292
Irvington	27,254	37,814
Maplewood	9,414	342,898
Millburn	9,802 4	-
Newark	17,377	-
Roselle Park	4,745	493
South Orange	7,821	-
Summit	13,997 2	69,953 ³
Union	24,941	480,262
West Orange	19,911	-
Elizabeth	47,181 198,111	1,915,379 \$ 3,247,091

⁽¹⁾ Based on actual calculation and verification by Municipalities; City of Elizabeth computed by Elson T. Killam Associates in 1979 and updated by City Engineer on February 9, 1982.

⁽²⁾ Includes New Providence (3553)

⁽³⁾ Includes Murray Hill

⁽⁴⁾ Includes Livingston (409)

Table III

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Computation of Estimated Percent

Contribution By City of Elizabeth

For Period 11/1/2005-10/31/2006 and Projected Contribution for 2007

	Treatment Plant	Elizabeth Pur	nping Station		Joint Me	eting
Flow:	24,126.144 MG	6,038.546	MG		18,087.598	MG
		Industrial	D&C		Industrial	D&C
		307.387	5,731.159		440.702	17,646.896
		\$ 81,005.82	\$ 1,510,334.59	\$	131,290.32	\$ 5,257,218.37
Unit Charge	\$ 263.5303935 Per MG fo	or Elizabeth		J		
Unit Charge	\$ 297.9117895 Per MG fo	or Joint Meeting		T		
BOD:	17,434.0974 Tons	6,624.7505	Tons		10,809.3469	Tons
		Industrial	D&C		Industrial	D&C
		2,784.0212	3,840.7293		1,806.14115	9,003.20575
		\$ 1,577,097.28	\$ 2,175,703.16	\$	1,023,146.05	
Unit Charge	\$566.4817778 Per Ton					
TSS:	14,558.9564 Tons	3,550.9972	Tons		11,007.9592	Tons
		Industrial	D&C		Industrial	D&C
		592.0823	2,958.9149		523.9003	10,484.0589
		\$ 200,346.51	\$ 1,001,226.16	\$	177,275.35	
Unit Charge	\$338.3761249 Per Ton		\$ 6,545,713.52	\$	1,331,711.72	
	City of Elizabeth (Contributi	ion from Pumping S	tation)	\$	6,545,713.52	
	City of Elizabeth (Continues	on no 1	,			
	City of Elizabeth (Industry T	ributary to Joint Me	eeting Trunk)			
	Flow: 14.0470	0 MG/Year at	\$ 263.5303935		3,701.81	
		5 Tons/Year at	566.4817778		41,909.17	
	TSS: 33.446	9 Tons/Year at	338.3761249		11,317.63	
	Joint Meeting Industrial				1,331,711.72	_
	Subtotal			\$	7,934,353.85	
	Total 2006 Budget		\$ 21,782,352.00			
	Subtotal (above)		(7,934,353.85)			
	Subtotal (EDU's)		\$ 13,847,998.15			

Joint Meeting EDU's (X + Y) + Elmora EDU's (X)= \$ 13,847,998 (Y = Additional Assessment to Member Municipalities for Trunk Sewer O&M)

150,930 (X + \$4.019910223) + 3905 (X) = 13,847,998

Equivalent Units-Member Municipalities

150,930

Elmora EDU's = 3,905 \$85.5186043210 Per Unit = X

\$89.5385145440 Per Unit = X+Y

Joint Meeting EDU Assessment \$ 13,514,048.00

Elmora Area Assessment \$ 333,950.15

Total Anticipated Payment from the City of Elizabeth

Pumping Station \$ 6,545,713.52

Elmora EDU's 333,950.15

Elmora Industrial 56,928.61

\$ 6,936,592.28

Total Anticipated Payment from Member Municipalities

EDU's 13,514,048.00

Industrial 1,331,711.72

\$ 14,845,759.72

Estimated Percent Contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facility Budgets by
City of Elizabeth

\$ 6,936,592.28 / \$ 21,160,475.00 =

32.7808912%

Table IV Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Percent Allocation of Infiltration / Inflow

			Dec Vee 1007	1007			Base Vear - 1982	1982		
	Canacity By	Canacity By Canacity By	Phase IIB - SSES 1	- SSES 1			Phase IIB - SSES 2	SES 2		
	Contract	Contract	Infiltration	ation	SSES - November 2006	aber 2006	Inflow		SSES - November 2006	er 2006
Municipality	(MGD)	(Percent)	(GPD) ³	(Percent)	(GPD) ⁴	(Percent)	(GPD)	(Percent)	(GPD) ⁵	(Percent)
					41,276				260,183	
East Orange	5.50	4.88%	70,747	2.11%	44,022	2.18%	3,007,440	5.79%	218,160	0.49%
					31,052				1,412,420	
Hillside	12.20	10.83%	79,012	2.36%	32,980	1.64%	1,185,120	2.28%	1,185,120	7.66%
					748,081				9,276,305	
Irvington	18.61	16.52%	1,115,672	33.31%	797,026	39.51%	8,612,640	16.57%	7,791,840	17.47%
)					187,257				6,488,635	
Maplewood	7.08	6.29%	389,078	11.62%	199,485	%68.6	5,449,680	10.48%	5,449,680	12.22%
4					142,951				2,840,769	
Millburn	00.9	5.33%	191,609	5.72%	152,240	7.55%	2,729,520	5.25%	2,384,640	5.35%
					173,246				2,320,404	
Newark	15.50	13.76%	234,484	7.00%	184,463	9.15%	1,959,540	3.77%	1,951,200	4.37%
					61,157				1,874,376	
Roselle Park	9.44	8.38%	106,187	3.17%	65,147	3.23%	1,576,080	3.03%	1,576,080	3.53%
					78,765				2,463,770	
South Orange	7.00	6.22%	410,876	12.27%	83,906	4.16%	2,183,760	4.20%	2,068,560	4.64%
					60,967				2,230,136	
Summit	7.50	99.9	171,657	5.13%	64,916	3.22%	3,651,120	7.02%	1,872,720	4.20%
					223,421				15,902,995	
Union	10.30	9.14%	329,127	9.83%	238,013	11.80%	14,534,640	27.96%	13,357,440	29.95%
					145,413				8,028,491	
West Orange	13.50	11.99%	250,811	7.48%	154,847	7.68%	7,097,040	13.65%	6,744,600	15.12%
					1,893,586				53,098,484	
	112.63	100.00%	3,349,260	100.00%	2,017,045	100.01%	51,986,580	100.00%	44,600,040	100.00%

(1) Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-2) with trunk sewer infiltration allocated based on contract capacity.

(2) Identified by Hazen & Sawyer Phase IIB SSES (pg. S-3)

(3) "Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD = 6.346%

- Adjusted for period 11/1/2005 - 10/31/2006; (3.82079939% x 18,087.5980 = 691.09 MG / Year / 365 = 1,893,586 GPD) (4) 2006 - Infiltration related to base year total = $2.017 \,\mathrm{MGD}$ / $52.79 \,\mathrm{MGD}$ = 3.82079939%

(5) 2006 inflow adjusted for period $11/1/2005 - 10/31/2006 - (39.55" / 33.22") \times (44,600,040) = 53,098,484$ GPD

Table IV - A
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Adjusted 2006 - Summary of Infiltration and Inflow

	DL ccc IID	oor naisning	200 <i>c</i>		Phase IIB		2006	
	CCEC	2005	Infiltration	2006	SSES	2005	Inflow	2006
	Infiltration	Infiltration	Removed	Infiltration	Inflow	Inflow	Removed	Inflow
Municipality	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)	(GPD)
East Orange	70,747	54,327	10,305	44,022	3,007,440	3,007,440	2,789,280	218,160
Hillside	79,012	32,980	ı	32,980	1,185,120	1,185,120	,	1,185,120
Irvington	1,115,672	797,026	•	797,026	8,612,640	7,791,840		7,791,840
Maplewood	389,078	199,485	•	199,485	5,449,680	5,449,680	ī	5,449,680
Millburn	191,609	152,240	ı	152,240	2,729,520	2,384,640	ı	2,384,640
Newark	234,484	184,463	•	184,463	1,959,540	1,951,200	ı	1,951,200
Roselle Park	106,187	65,147		65,147	1,576,080	1,576,080	i .	1,576,080
South Orange	410,876	83,906	1	83,906	2,183,760	2,126,160	57,600	2,068,560
Summit	171,657	64,916	1	64,916	3,651,120	1,872,720	i .	1,872,720
Union	329,127	238,013		238,013	14,534,640	13,357,440	1	13,357,440
West Orange Total	250,811	154,847	10,305	2,017,045	7,097,040	6,744,600	2,846,880	6,744,600

Table V

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

		Cost Allocation - Flow, BOD	Cost Allocation - Flow, BOD & TSS with Infiltration / Inflow		
		Total	Domestic & Commercial		Industrial
Flow		18,087.5980 MG \$4,997,631.10	17,646.8960 MG \$4,866,340.78		040.70th
Infiltration Inflow	3.8207994% 9.0176706%	691.09	674.25 1,591.34	\$185,932.43 \$438,830.87	\$131,290.32 16.84 39.74
BOD at \$5	\$566.4817778 Per Ton	10,809.3469 Tons \$6,123,298.05	9,003.2058 Tons \$5,100,152.00	10	1,806.1412 Tons \$1,023,146.05
TSS at \$3	\$338.3761249 Per Ton	11,007.9592 Tons \$3,724,830.58	10,484.0589 Tons \$3,547,555.22		\$23.9003 Tons \$177,275.35
Total	\$	\$14,845,759.73 1	\$13,514,048.00		\$1,331,711.72
(1) Amount anticipated from Members after	om Members after allocation of		32.7808912% to City of Elizabeth \$	6,936,592.28	
"Base Year - 1982" Infiltration: 3.35 MGD / 52.79 MGD =	52.79 MGD =	6.34590% (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)	se IIB Report)		
Inflow: 113 MGD (I	113 MGD (Excluding Elizabeth) / 137 MGD (Total) =	iD (Total) =	82.4817518% l ir	82.4817518% 1 inch of rain = 50 mg of inflow (Per H&S Phase IIB Report)	&S Phase IIB Report)
"2005 - 2006" Infiltration: 2.017 MGD/52.79MGD =	/52.79MGD =	3.82079939% · X	18,087.5980 691. (November 1, 2005 - October 31, 2006)	691.09 MG / Year er 31, 2006)	
Inflow: Rainfall = 3'	Rainfall = 39.55 Inches X 50 MG X 82.482%	2% 1,631.08	08 MG/Year		
Total D&C Less: Infiltration Less: Inflow Net after infiltration and inflow Equivalent Dwelling Units User Charge Per Unit	'	\$13,514,048.00 (185,932.43) (438,830.87) \$12,889,284.70 150,930			

Table VI
Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2007

			Do	Domestic & Commercial	nercial			
		User Charge						
	Dwelling	\$ 85.39909031	Infilt	Infiltration	In	Inflow		
Municipality	Units	Per Unit	Percent 1	Amount	Percent 2	Amount	Total Cost	Unit Cost
East Orange	7,172	\$612,482.28	2.18%	\$4,053.33	0.49%	\$2,150.27	\$618,685.88	\$86.2641
Hillside	8,496	725,550.67	1.64%	3,049.29	2.66%	11,672.90	740,272.86	87.1319
Irvington	27,254	2,327,466.81	39.51%	73,461.90	17.47%	76,663.75	2,477,592.46	90.9075
Maplewood	9,414	803,947.04	%68.6	18,388.72	12.22%	53,625.13	875,960.89	93.0487
Millburn	9,802 ³	837,081.88	7.55%	14,037.90	5.35%	23,477.45	874,597.23	89.2264
Newark	17,377	1,483,979.99	9.15%	17,012.82	4.37%	19,176.91	1,520,169.72	87.4817
Roselle Park	4,745	405,218.68	3.23%	6,005.62	3.53%	15,490.73	426,715.03	89.9294
South Orange	7,821	667,906.29	4.16%	7,734.79	4.64%	20,361.75	696,002.83	88.9915
Summit	13,997 4	1,195,331.07	3.22%	5,987.02	4.20%	18,430.90	1,219,748.99	87.1436
Union	24,941	2,129,938.71	11.80%	21,940.03	29.95%	131,429.85	2,283,308.59	91.5484
West Orange	19,911	1,700,381.29	7.68%	14,279.61 \$185,951.03	15.12%	66,351.23 \$438,830.87	1,781,012.13	89.4487 \$89.5386 \$94.7379 2006
	 See Table IV - See Table IV - Includes Living Includes New P 		infiltration for November of the Prior Year Inflow for November of the Prior Year ston (409) rovidence (3553)	ne Prior Year rior Year				\$90.2139 2005 \$83.1509 2004 \$76.7220 2003

-12-

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties
Estimated Annual Operation Expenses To Member Municipalities
2007 Table VI (Continued)

				Indi	Industrial					
						Flow (M	Flow (MG / Year)			
	BOD	BOD 1	TSS	TSS 2	Dry	Infiltration	Inflow	-	Flow 3	Total
Municipality	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	(Tons / Year)	(\$/Year)	Weather	3.820799%	9.017671%	Total	(\$/Year)	Cost
East Orange	0.0000	\$0.00	0.0000	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hillside	630.8592	357,370.21	80.9236	27,382.61	45.46	1.99	4.70	52.16	15,539.38	400,292.20
Irvington	56.5591	32,039.70	7.7900	2,635.95	9.18	0.40	0.95	10.53	3,137.61	37,813.26
Maplewood	559.5690	316,985.64	30.0694	10,174.77	46.04	2.02	4.76	52.83	15,737.49	342,897.90
Millburn	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	00.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00
Newark	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	00.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Roselle Park	0.1700	96.30	0.2282	77.22	0.94	0.04	0.10	1.07	319.66	493.18
South Orange	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Summit ⁴	42.8339	24,264.62	44.5545	15,076.18	89.57	3.93	9.27	102.76	30,612.82	69,953.62
Union	516.1500	292,389.57	360.3346	121,928.63	192.93	8.46	19.96	221.35	65,943.37	480,261.57
West Orange	0.0000	0.0000 0.00 1,806.1412 \$1,023,146.04	0.0000	\$177,275.36	384.12	0.00	0.00 39.74	0.00	\$131,290.32	\$1,331,711.72

(1) At \$566.4817778/Ton (2) At \$338.3761249/Ton (3) At \$297.9117895/MG (4) Includes Murray Hill

Table VII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Summary of Estimated Annual Operational and Maintenance Expenses To Member Municipalities
2007

		Domestic & Co	mmercial		
Municipality	Total Assessments ¹	User Charge Domestic Sewage 85.39909031	User Charges Industrial	Infiltration / Inflow Charges	Assessment Percentage
East Orange	\$618,685.88	\$612,482.28	\$0.00	\$6,203.60	4.1674%
Hillside	1,140,565.06	725,550.67	400,292.20	14,722.19	7.6828%
Irvington	2,515,405.72	2,327,466.81	37,813.26	150,125.65	16.9436%
Maplewood	1,218,858.79	803,947.04	342,897.90	72,013.85	8.2101%
Millburn	874,597.23	837,081.88	0.00	37,515.35	5.8912%
Newark	1,520,169.72	1,483,979.99	0.00	36,189.73	10.2397%
Roselle Park	427,208.21	405,218.68	493.18	21,496.35	2.8776%
South Orange	696,002.83	667,906.29	0.00	28,096.54	4.6882%
Summit	1,289,702.61	1,195,331.07	69,953.62	24,417.92	8.6873%
Union	2,763,570.16	2,129,938.71	480,261.57	153,369.88	18.6152%
West Orange	1,781,012.13	1,700,381.29	0.00	80,630.84	11.9969%
	\$14,845,778.33	\$12,889,284.71	\$1,331,711.72	\$624,781.90	100.0000%

Elizabeth 6,936,592.28

Total \$21,782,352.00

⁽¹⁾ Reflects anticipated payment of \$6,936,592.28 from the City of Elizabeth which is 32.7808912% of allocation from Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying budget. Exact 2007 percent contribution to be calculated at the end of fiscal year. Quarterly adjustments to be made in accordance with Agreement between Elizabeth and Joint Meeting.

Table VIII

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties

Comparison of 2007 Assessment with 2006 Assessment

	-			Compa	arison
Municipality	2006	2007		Amount	Percentage
East Orange	\$682,585.64	\$618,685.88	(-)	(\$63,899.76)	-9.36%
Hillside	879,673.75	1,140,565.06	(+)	260,891.31	29.66%
Irvington	2,640,184.77	2,515,405.72	(-)	(124,779.05)	-4.73%
Maplewood	1,092,278.65	1,218,858.79	(+)	126,580.14	11.59%
Millburn	927,094.62	874,597.23	(-)	(52,497.39)	-5.66%
Newark	1,575,226.61	1,520,169.72	(-)	(55,056.89)	-3.50%
Roselle Park	450,664.31	427,208.21	(-)	(23,456.10)	-5.20%
South Orange	734,205.65	696,002.83	(-)	(38,202.82)	-5.20%
Summit	1,354,459.64	1,289,702.61	(-)	(64,757.03)	-4.78%
Union	2,504,945.11	2,763,570.16	(+)	258,625.05	10.32%
West Orange	1,878,666.28	1,781,012.13	(-)	(97,654.15)	-5.20%
5	\$14,719,985.03	\$14,845,778.33	(+)	\$125,793.30	0.85%
Elizabeth	6,684,654.93	6,936,592.28	(+)	\$ 251,937.35	3.77%
Total	\$21,404,639.96	\$21,782,352.00	(+)	\$377,730.65	1.76%

Note: City of Elizabeth percentage contribution of Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering, and Sludge Drying Budget:

City of Elizabeth percentage

2003	32.11408370%
2004	30.39138410%
2005	31.38459700%
2006	32.13021810%

Table III, IV, V, VI, and VII, have been prepared to reflect the Domestic Sewer Usage and Industrial User assessment to each municipality for 2007 as a result of the Agreement with the City of Elizabeth which requires 32.7808912% of the 2007 Treatment Plant, Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Budget to be paid in Quarterly assessments with adjustments made following the determination of the actual percentage of contribution for each quarter. The 32.7808912% figure is an estimate based upon actual monitoring of quality and quantity at the Trenton Avenue Pumping Station, etc., in accordance with the Agreement. The actual percentage of contribution will be determined following the completion of the annual audit of expenses. In addition, these tables reflect the costs associated with Infiltration / Inflow for the member municipalities, the percentages of which were determined from the Phase IIB SSES Reports, adjusted as a result of rehabilitation work, and updated in 2006.

Summary and Certification

On the basis of the measured usage of Section One of the Supplementary Joint Trunk Sewer and the Treatment Plant, including the Sludge Dewatering and Sludge Drying Facilities, and an estimate of Dwelling Units and Waste Characteristics for the municipalities organized in Joint Meeting, and in accordance with the provisions of the 1926 contract, I hereby certify that the estimated maintenance and operating costs of the Joint Meeting for the 2007 are apportioned as follows:

	2007	2006		2007	2006
East Orange	4.1674%	4.6371%	Roselle Park	2.8776%	3.0616%
Hillside	7.6828%	5.9761%	South Orange	4.6882%	4.9878%
Irvington	16.9436%	17.9361%	Summit	8.6873%	9.2015%
Maplewood	8.2101%	7.4204%	Union	18.6152%	17.0173%
Millburn	5.8912%	6.2982%	West Orange	11.9969%	12.7626%
Newark	10.2397%	10.7013%	- Total	100.0000%	100.0000%

Respectfully submitted,

A. Ralph LaMendola

Chief Engineer

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A 2007 Budget

	Treatment Plant	Dewatering Facility	Drying Facility	Sewer	Total
Electric Service	\$ 2,023,768	\$ 221,500	\$ 35,732	\$ -	\$ 2,281,000
Gas Service	21,500	33,500	-	-	55,000
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	110,800	330,200	-	-	441,000
Water Service	159,000	53,000	3,500	-	215,500
Chemicals	734,300	798,700	6,800	2,200	1,542,000
Sludge Disposal	-	2,078,870	-	-	2,078,870
Insurance	509,094	135,088	57,523	5,877	707,582
Administration	1,300,000	732,000	20,000	135,000	2,187,000
Labor	4,860,000	816,000	116,000	8,000	5,800,000
Benefits	2,246,950	356,550	50,400	61,250	2,715,150
Equipment	187,000	49,500	-	-	236,500
Printing & Stationery	15,000	2,000	-	1,000	18,000
Maintenance, Supplies & Spare Parts	1,122,600	336,750	5,000	265,350	1,729,700
Screening Disposal	120,000	-	-	-	120,000
Reserve Contingency	135,000	-	-	-	135,000
Miscellaneous Expenses	190,000	65,000	-	120,000	375,000
Technical & Professional Services	425,500	80,000	-	15,000	520,500
Replacement Fund	-	-	-	-	-
NJPDES & Miscellaneous Permit Fee:	352,800	13,550	250,000	8,200	624,550
	\$ 14,513,312	\$ 6,102,208	\$ 544,955	\$ 621,877	\$ 21,782,352
	66.63%	6 28.01%	2.50%	6 2.86%	6 100.00%

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

Appendix A (Continued) Operations and Management Cost Allocations (Treatment Plant)

	Estimated Total Cost	Percent Flow	C	ost Flow		rcent SS	(Cost SS	Percent BOD	(Cost BOD
General Expenditures 1	\$ 1,908,594	80%	\$	1,526,875		10%	\$	190,859	10%	\$	190,860
Power	2,023,768	40%	\$	809,507		5%	\$	101,188	55%	\$	1,113,073
Chlorine	525,000	40%	\$	210,000					60%	\$	315,000
Maintenance, Equipment,											
Supplies, Spare Parts, & Replacement Fund	1,444,600	28%	\$	404,488		27%	\$	390,042	45%	\$	650,070
Fuel, Oil & Kerosene	110,800	40%	\$	44,320		30%	\$	33,240	30%	\$	33,240
Administration, Labor											
& Benefits (Payroll Retirement)	8,406,950	40%		3,362,780		10%	\$	840,695	50%	\$	4,203,475
Sludge Processing 2	93,600					50%	\$	46,800	50%	\$	46,800
Total	\$14,513,312 100%		\$ 43	6,357,970 .8078503%			\$ 11	1,602,824 .0438196%			6,552,518 3.1483301%
(1) General Expenditures											
(From the Approved Bud			(2)	Sludge Proce	ssing						
Insurance	Camping	\$ 509,094 425,500		ymer MnO4	\$	80,000 13,600					
Technical & Professional Stationery & Printing	Services	15,000	K2	WIIIO4		15,000					
Gas		21,500									
Water		159,000									
Miscellaneous		190,000									
Service Contracts		120,000									
Permit Fees		352,800									
Sodium Bisulfite & Miso	. Chemicals	115,700 \$1,908,594			\$	93,600					

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties Annual Report of the Sewer Contribution of the Municipalities Appendix A (Continued)

Operations and Management Allocations

				The state of the s
	Estimated			
	Total Cost	Cost Flow	Cost TSS	Cost BOD
Treatment Plant	\$ 14,513,312	\$ 6,357,970	\$ 1,602,824	\$ 6,552,518
Dewatering Facility	6,102,208		3,051,104	3,051,104
Drying Facility	544,955		272,478	272,478
•	\$ 21,160,475	\$ 6,357,970	\$ 4,926,406	\$ 9,876,100
Subtotal	100.00%	30.0464427%	23.2811669%	46.6723904%
Sewers 1	\$ 621,877			
Total	\$ 21,782,352			

⁽¹⁾ Joint Meeting Members Only

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007 SUMMARY

		LOADINGS	TOO	
	FLOW	BOD	TSS (tana)	
MUNICIPALITY	(MG)	(tons)	(tons) 80,92358712	
HILLSIDE	52.161	630.85914639	7.78994781	
IRVINGTON	10.532	56.55909861 559.56898902	30.06942381	
MAPLEWOOD	52.826	12.45044406	6.38127594	
MURRAY HILL	40.611 1.073	0.17002758	0.22819491	
ROSELLE PARK		30.38354991	38.17341849	
SUMMIT	62.147		360.33463311	
UNION	221.352	516.14995239	300.33403311	
MEMBERS TOTAL	440.702	1806.14120796	523.90048119	
ELIZABETH	321.434	2858.00266614	625.52921502	
GRAND TOTAL	762.136	4664.14387410	1149.42969621	
	=: 0111	COSTS	TSS	TOTAL
MUNICIPALITY	FLOW	BOD	\$27,382.61	\$400,292.20
HILLSIDE	\$15,539.38	\$357,370.21		\$37,813.24
IRVINGTON	\$3,137.61	\$32,039.70	\$2,635.93 \$10,174.78	\$342,897.90
MAPLEWOOD	\$15,737.49	\$316,985.64	\$2,159.27	\$21,310.72
MURRAY HILL	\$12,098.50	\$7,052.95 \$96.32	\$77.22	\$493.19
ROSELLE PARK	\$319.66	•	\$12,916.97	\$48,643.02
SUMMIT	\$18,514.32	\$17,211.73	\$121,928.64	\$480,261.55
UNION	\$65,943.37	\$292,389.54	\$121,920.04	φ460,201.55
MEMBERS TOTAL	\$131,290.32	\$1,023,146.08	\$177,275.41	\$1,331,711.82
ELIZABETH	\$84,707.63	\$1,619,006.43	\$211,664.15	\$1,915,378.21
	40 1,1 0 1 10 1	. , .		

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007 COST FACTORS

	FLOW	BOD	TSS
MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES	\$297.9117895	\$566.4817778	\$338.3761249
ELIZABETH	\$263.5303935	\$566.4817778	\$338.3761249

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: HILLSIDE

						Cost Factors		
					Flow per MG	BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton	
			Concentra	ation	\$297.9117895	\$566.4817778	\$338.3761249	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL.
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
1035	ECD	2	28	7	11.277	1.3167	0.3292	
1033	200	3	411	782	0.592	1.0146	1.9305	
	Totals:				11.869	2.3313	2.2597	
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,535.92	\$1,320.65	\$764.61	\$5,621.17
1042	GEC Marconi / BAE	1	1	3	10.612	0.0443	0.1328	
1042	Totals:	,	,	Ū	10.612	0.0443	0.1328	
	Cost Analysis:				\$3,161.44	\$25.07	\$44.92	\$3,231.43
4050	Manhattan Daug Co	4	446	436	0,416	0.7737	0.7563	
1050	Manhattan Drug Co. Totals:	7	440	400	0.416	0.7737	0.7563	
	Cost Analysis:				\$123.93	\$438.28	\$255.93	\$818.14
		3	2434	547	5,200	52.7789	11.8611	•
1054	Oasis Foods	99	2434 178	147	2.744	2.0368	1.6820	
	Totals:	99	170	(-1)	7.944	54,8156	13.5432	
	Cost Analysis:				\$2,366.61	\$31,052.05	\$4,582.69	\$38,001.35
1058	Quest Industries	1	51	1627	0.217	0.0461	1,4723	
1036	Quest muustries	2	137	167	. 0.215	0.1228	0.1497	
	Totals:				0.432	0.1690	1.6220	
	Cost Analysis:				\$128.70	\$95.72	\$548.84	\$773.26
	USA Detergents /							
1088	Production Services	1	1832	115	2.783	21.2606	1.3346	
	Totals:				2.783	21.2606	1.3346	£42.224.40
	Cost Analysis:				\$829.09	\$12,043.72	\$451.59	\$13,324.40
1090	Union Beverages	1	8138	93	6.537	221.8361	2.5351	
	•	2a	6480	136	4.648	125.5964	2.6360	
		2b	7817	2224	6.025	196.3963	55.8763	
	Totals:				17.21	543.8288	61.0474 \$20,656.99	\$333,853.13
	Cost Analysis:				\$5,127.06	\$308,069.08	\$20,000.99	ψ000,000.10
1091	Hillside Bottling	1	2046	61		7.6360	0.2277	
	Totals:	:			0.895	7.6360	0.2277	¢4 cc0 24
	Cost Analysis:	:			\$266.63	\$4,325.64	\$77.04	\$4,669.31
	HILLSIDE TOTALS				52.1610 \$15,539.38	630.8591 \$357,370.21	80.9236 \$27,382.61	\$400,292.20

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: IRVINGTON

				Cost Factors Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton					
			Concentr	ation	\$297.9117895	\$566.4817778	\$338.3761249		
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL	
IU #	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT	
2030	Hi-Speed Plating	1	137	23	0.049	0.0280	0.0047		
		99	178	147	0.078	0.0579	0.0478		
	Totals:				0.127	0.0859	0.0525	4494.99	
	Cost Analysis:				\$37.83	\$48.65	\$17.77	\$104.26	
2036	Intergel	1	952	126	2.457	9.7539	1.2910		
2036	Totals:	'	002	123	2.457	9.7539	1.2910		
	Cost Analysis:				\$731.97	\$5,525.40	\$436.83	\$6,694.20	
22.42	latal	1	124	106	. 0,217	0.1122	0,0959		
2040	Jabel Totals:	'	124	100	0.217	0.1122	0.0959		
	Cost Analysis:				\$64.65	\$63.56	\$32.46	\$160.67	
2055	Revion	5	166	365	2.571	1,7797	3.9132		
2000	Totals:	Ŭ	,00		2.571	1.7797	3.9132		
	Cost Analysis:				\$765.93	\$1,008.17	\$1,324.13	\$3,098.23	
2057	SAL Cleaners	1	421	86	2.785	4.8893	0.9988		
2007	SAL Cleaners	99	178	147	0.168	0.1247	0.1030		
	Totals:		.,-		2.953	5.0140	1.1017		
	Cost Analysis:				\$879.73	\$2,840.32	\$372.80	\$4,092.85	
2066	Wayne County Foods	1	4607	145	2.067	39.7095	1.2498		
2000	wayne county rooms	99	178	147	0.140	0.1039	0.0858		
	Totals:				2.207	39.8134	1.3356		
	Cost Analysis:				\$657.49	\$22,553.59	\$451.95	\$23,663.03	
						E0 E53 1	7 7000		
	IRVINGTON TOTALS				10.5320	56,5591	7.7899 \$2,635.93	\$37,813.24	
					\$3,137.61	\$32,039.70	\$ 2,035.93	φ31,013.24	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: MAPLEWOOD

Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS	per Ton:
TOWK PELIVIC DOD PELIVIT 100	
	761249
BOD TSS FLOW BOD	TSS ANNUAL
IU# INDUSTRY SITE (mg/l) (mg/l) (MG) (Tons)	(Tons) PAYMENT
3020 Gleason Cleaners 1 225 114 0.685 0.6427	0.3256
99 178 147 0.156 0.1158	0.0956
Totals: 0.841 0.758	0.421
	\$142.54 \$822.76
3033 N.I Transit - Hilton Gar. 3a 470 369 5.546 10.8696	8.5338
3000 No Hallst-Illich Cal.	8.5338
TOTALIS.	2,887.63 \$10,697.29
Cost Analysis: \$1,652.22 \$6,157.43 \$2	,,007.00
3046 UniClean 1 71 7 1.793 0.5309	0.0523
99 178 147 0.172 0.1277	0.1054
Totals: 1.965 0.6585	0.1578
Cost Analysis: \$585.40 \$373.04	\$53.39 \$1,011.82
3050 Manlewood Beverage 1 2951 113 44.474 547.2824	20.9566
3000 Maplewood Beverage	20.9566
Totalo.	7,091.21 \$330,366.03
Cost Analysis: \$13,249.33 \$310,025.49 \$7	,091.21 \$330,300.03
MAPLEWOOD TOTALS 52.8260 559.5690	30.0694
MIAI ELVICOD TOTALO),174.78 \$342,897.90

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: MURRAY HILL

		Cost Factors							
			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton \$297.9117895 \$566.4817778 \$338.3761249				
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT	
5010	Bell Labs / Lucent Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	12	8	31.401 31.401 \$9,354.73	1.5713 1.5713 \$890.12	1.0475 1.0475 \$354.46	\$10,599.31	
5011	Baxter Pharmaceutical Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	511	551	1.138 1.138 \$339.02	2.4249 2.4249 \$1,373.68	2.6147 2.6147 \$884.77	\$2,597.47	
5020	Fablok Mills Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	369	68	5.207 5.207 \$1,551.23	8.0122 8.0122 \$4,538.75	1.4765 1.4765 \$499.61	\$6,589.58	
5021	FRC-Electrical Ind Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	37	104	2.865 2.865 \$853.52	0.4420 0.4420 \$250.41	1.2425 1.2425 \$420.43	\$1,524.36	
Ŋ	NURRAY HILL TOTALS				40.6110 \$12,098.50	12.4504 \$7,052.95	6.3813 \$2,159.27	\$21,310.72	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: ROSELLE PARK

				Cost Factors					
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	Concentration		Flow per MG \$297.9117895	BOD per Ton TSS per Ton \$566.4817778 \$338.3761249			
			BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT	
6005	Hexacon Electric Tota Cost Analys		38	51	1.073 1.073 \$319.66	0.1700 0.1700 \$96.32	0.2282 0.2282 \$77.22	\$493.19	
RO	SELLE PARK TOTALS				1.0730 \$319.66	0.1700 \$96.32	0.2282 \$77.22	\$493.19	

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: SUMMIT

					Cost Factors		
			f	Flow per MG	BOD per Ton		
		Concentration		\$297,9117895	\$566:4817778	\$338.3761249	
		BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
Novartis Groundwater	4	2	3	1.296	0.0108	0.0162	
Totals:				1.296	0.0108	0.0162	
Cost Analysis:				\$386.09	\$6.12	\$5.49	\$397.70
Summit Property Co.	03A	31	59	43.421	5.6130	10.6829	
Guillinit i roporty Co.	99	178	147	2.35	1.7443	1.4405	
Totals:				45.771	7.3573	12.1234	
Cost Analysis:			•	\$13,635.72	\$4,167.80	\$4,102.27	\$21,905.79
Colgono Cornoration	1	366	414	15.08	23.0154	26.0338	
	'	000			23.0154	26.0338	
Cost Analysis:				\$4,492.51	\$13,037.80	\$8,809.22	\$26,339.53
				62 1470	30 3835	38 1734	
SUMMIT TOTALS							\$48,643.02
	Novartis Groundwater Totals: Cost Analysis: Summit Property Co. Totals: Cost Analysis: Celgene Corporation Totals:	Novartis Groundwater Totals: Cost Analysis: Summit Property Co. Totals: Cost Analysis: Celgene Corporation Totals: Cost Analysis:	INDUSTRY SITE (mg/l) Novartis Groundwater 4 2 Totals: Cost Analysis: Summit Property Co. 03A 31 99 178 Totals: Cost Analysis: Celgene Corporation 1 366 Totals: Cost Analysis:	INDUSTRY SITE (mg/l) (mg/l) Novartis Groundwater 4 2 3 Totals: Cost Analysis: Summit Property Co. 03A 31 59 99 178 147 Totals: Cost Analysis: Celgene Corporation 1 366 414 Totals: Cost Analysis:	Concentration \$297.9117895	Concentration	Concentration Flow per MG BOD per Ton TSS per Ton \$297,9117895 \$566.4817776 \$338.3761249

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: UNION

						ost Factors		
			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG \$297.9117895	BOD per Ton \$566.4817778	TSS per Ton \$338.3761249	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
7015	ACuPowder	3 (99)	178	147	0.035	0.0260	0.0215	
	-	4	. 75	92	1.248 1.283	0.3903 0.4163	0.4788 0.5002	
	Totals Cost Analysis				\$382.22	\$235.82	\$169.27	\$787.31
7035	American Products	1	244	222	1.02	1.0378	0.9443	
	Total				1.02	1.0378	0.9443 \$319.51	\$1,211.29
	Cost Analysi	S:			\$303.87	\$587.91	φ315.51	\$1,211.25
7045	Breeze /TransTechnology	1	240	465	1.663	1.6643	3.2246	
	Total				1.663	1.6643	3,2246	40 500 00
	Cost Analysi	s:			\$495.43	\$942.81	\$1,091.14	\$2,529.38
7060	National Envelope	1	399	991	2.787	4.6371	11.5172	
7000	Total				2.787	4.6371	11.5172	
	Cost Analysi	s:			\$830.28	\$2,626.83	\$3,897.14	\$7,354.25
7070	Durex	1	253	170	2.96	3.1228	2.0983	
,	Total	s:			2.96	3.1228	2.0983	44 000 07
	Cost Analysi	s:			\$881.82	\$1,769.03	\$710.03	\$3,360.87
7077	Electrocatalytic	4	116	130	2.078	1.0052	1.1265	
, , , ,	Total	s:			2.078	1.0052	1.1265	
	Cost Analysi	is:			\$619.06	\$569.41	\$381.18	\$1,569.65
7080	Foremost Mfg	2	45	270	15.446	2.8984	17.3907	
	Tota				15.446	2.8984	17.3907	\$40.400.0A
	Cost Analys	is:			\$4,601.55	\$1,641.91	\$5,884.58	\$12,128.04
7088	Hanovia	1	15	21	0.45	0.0281	0.0394	
	Tota				0.45	0.0281	0.0394	6400.04
	Cost Analys	is:			\$134.06	\$15.95	\$13.33	\$163.34
7092	International Paint	2	41	75	3.918	0.6699	1.2254	
	Tota	ls:			3.918	0.6699	1.2254	44 004 04
	Cost Analys	is:			\$1,167.22	\$379.46	\$414.63	\$1,961.31
7105	Stonco Lighting	2	142	252	1,614	0.9557	1.6961	
	Tota	ls:			1.614	0.9557	1.6961	\$4 EDC 42
	Cost Analys	is:			\$480.83	\$541.39	\$573.90	\$1,596.13
7145	Schering	5	47	47	166.589	32.6498	32.6498	
	Tota	ıls:			166.589	32.6498	32.6498	670 472 04
	Cost Analys	sis:			\$49,628.83	\$18,495.50	\$11,047.91	\$79,172.24

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: UNION

			Cost Factors							
		,			Flow per MG	BOD per Ton	TSS per Ton			
			Concentr	ation	\$297.9117895	\$566.4817778	\$338,3761249			
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL		
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT		
	00.04 11	4	365	360	0.141	0.2146	0.2117			
7150	SS Studios	1 Fotals:	300	360	0.141	0.2146	0,2117			
	Cost An				\$42.01	\$121.57	\$71.62	\$235.20		
	Cost An	aiysis.			Ψ42.01	Ψ121.57	ψ/1.02	Q200.20		
7155	Tessier & Weiss	22	135	95	1.410	0.7938	0.5586			
7133		Fotals:	100	00	1,410	0.7938	0,5586			
	Cost An				\$420.06	\$449.65	\$189.01	\$1,058.71		
	30007111	uny ono.			•	•	• • • • • •	, ,		
7167	Turbo Braze	1	84	88	0.244	0.0855	0.0895			
		Totals:			0.244	0.0855	0.0895			
	Cost An	alysis:			\$72.69	\$48.42	\$30.30	\$151.40		
		•								
7191	Cintas	1	195	159	11.901	9.6773	7.8907			
	-	Totals:			11.901	9.6773	7.8907			
	Cost An	alysis:			\$3,545.45	\$5,482.01	\$2,670.03	\$11,697.49		
7192	Merril Corporation	1	1059	995	0.659	2.9102	2.7343			
		Totals:			0.659	2.9102	2.7343	£0.770.40		
	Cost An	alysis:			\$196.32	\$1,648.55	\$925.22	\$2,770.10		
7100	Lioni Latticini	1	15512	9457	7.007	453,2481	276.3259			
7193	Lioni Latticini	1	178	147	0.182	0.1351	0,1116			
		Totals:	170	177	7.189	453.3832	276,4374			
	Cost An				\$2,141.69	\$256,833.30	\$93,539.83	\$352,514.82		
	308t All	, ,			, , , , , , ,	·,	*, -	,		
	UNION TOTALS				221.3520	516.1500	360,3346			
					\$65,943.37	\$292,389.54	\$121,928.64	\$480,261.55		

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: ELIZABETH

			Concentr	ation	Flow per MG \$263.5303935	Cost Factors BOD per Ton \$566,4817778	TSS per Ton \$338.3761249	
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	FLOW (MG)	BOD (Tons)	TSS (Tons)	ANNUAL PAYMENT
0025	Interbake Foods Totals: Cost Analysis:	3	245	137	39.514 39.514 \$10,413.14	40.3695 40.3695 \$22,868.57	22.5740 22.5740 \$7,638.49	\$40,920.20
0033	Cargill Citro Pure Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	1174	397	1.255 1.255 \$330.73	6.1440 6.1440 \$3,480.44	2.0776 2.0776 \$703.02	\$4,514.19
0037	Deb-El Foods Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	1376	299	2.820 2.820 \$743.16	16.1809 16.1809 \$9,166.20	3.5161 3.5161 \$1,189.75	\$11,099.11
0062	Garcia Laundry Totals: Cost Analysis:	2	493	337	3.457 3.457 \$911.02	7.1069 7.1069 \$4,025.95	4.8581 4.8581 \$1,643.86	\$6,580.83
0067	Purepac Phārmaceutical Totals: Cost Analysis:	3	1263	571	14.047 14.047 \$3,701.81	73.9815 73.9815 \$41,909.16	33.4469 33.4469 \$11,317.63	\$56,928.60
0070	LORCO Totals: Cost Analysis:	2	5400	121	17.602 17.602 \$4,638.66	396.3618 396.3618 \$224,531.76	8.8814 8.8814 \$3,005.27	\$232,175.69
0075	Mastercraft Electroplating Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	1 178	3 147	0.011 0.035 0.0460 \$12.12	0.0000 0.0260 0.0260 \$14.74	0.0001 0.0215 0.0216 \$7.31	\$34.17
0078	Magnolia Beef Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	628 178	397 147	0.208 0.075 0.283 \$74.58	0.5447 0.0557 0.600 \$340.10	0.3443 0.0460 0.3903 \$132.07	\$546.75
0091	NJ Turnpike Authority Totals: Cost Analysis:	1	2	2	0.866 0.866 \$228.22	0.0072 0.0072 \$4.09	0.0072 0.0072 \$2.44	\$234.75
0093	OENJ Totals: Cost Analysis:	4	7	49	10.662 10.662 \$2,809.76	0.3112 0.3112 \$176.30	2.1786 2.1786 \$737.17	\$3,723.24
0098	Olympia Trails Totals: Cost Analysis:	1 99	547 178	233 147	1.469 0.386 1.855 \$488.85	3.3508 0.2865 3.6373 \$2,060.46	1.4273 0.2366 1.6639 \$ 563.03	\$3,112.33
0100	Michaels Foods - North Avenue Facility Totals: Cost Analysis:	4 4a 4b 99	2339 1532 1418 178	704 362 276 147	83.343 11.490 4.756 1.319 100.908 \$26,592.32	812.8968 73.4032 28.1225 0.9790 915.4015 \$518,558.28	244.6684 17.3446 5.4738 0.8085 268.2953 \$90,784.72	\$635,935.33

INDUSTRIAL USER CHARGE - 2007

Municipality: ELIZABETH

			Concentr	ration stateman	Flow per MG \$263.5303935	Cost Factors BOD per Ton \$566.4817778	TSS per Ton. \$338.3761249	
			BOD	TSS	FLOW	BOD	TSS	ANNUAL
IU#	INDUSTRY	SITE	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(MG)	(Tons)	(Tons)	PAYMENT
	Michaels Foods -		2222	201	74.040	4404.0500	005 0045	
0105	Papetti Plaza Facility	2 2a	3860 2777	664 378	74.046 8.920	1191.8592 103.2944	205.0245 14.0602	
		2b	2278	328	1.83	17.3836	2.5030	
		3	389	612	0.891	1.4453	2.2739	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				85.687 \$22,581.13	1313,9826 \$744,347.19	223.8616 \$75,749.42	\$842,677.74
	COST Arialysis.				Ψ 22 ,001.10	Ψ144,041.10	ψ10,145.4 <u>2</u>	4042,077.74
0120	Phelps Dodge	1	12	39	4.581	0.2292	0.7450	
	, –	99	178	147	0.221	0.1640	0.1355	
	Totals:				4.802	0,3933	0.8805	£4 70C 40
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,265.47	\$222.78	\$297.93	\$1,786.19
	Superior Powder							
0148	Coating	1	30	60	2.598	0.3250	0.6500	
		99	178	147	0.579	0.4298	0.3549	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				3.177 \$837.24	0.7548 \$427.57	1.0049 \$340.05	\$1,604.85
	Cost Alialysis.				ψ007.2 -	V421.01	\$540.55	ψ1,004.00
0155	Duro Bag	1	84	85	10.927	3.8275	3.8731	
	, 0	2	78	106	3.644	1.1852	1.6107	
	Totala	3	242	262	1.328 15.899	1.3401 6.3529	1.4509 6.9347	
	Totals: Cost Analysis:				\$4,189.87	\$3,598.80	\$2,346.53	\$10,135.20
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						. ,	
0165	Wakefern Food Corp.	2	193	183	4.029	3.2426	3.0746	
		3a	328	374	3,916	5.3561	6.1073	
		4 7	308 56	664 33	1.094 0.210	1.4051 0.0490	3.0292 0.0289	
	Totals:	,	00	00	9.249	10.0529	12.2399	
	Cost Analysis:				\$2,437.39	\$5,694.76	\$4,141.70	\$12,273.86
	Delega Dagget On	•	0004	1653	3.587	49.8693	24.7252	
0175	Prince Donut Co.	3 99	3334 178	147	0.333	0.2472	0.2041	
	Totals:		,, -		3.920	50.1164	24.9294	
	Cost Analysis:				\$1,033.04	\$28,390.05	\$8,435.50	\$37,858.59
0178	914 Amoricas	1	1119	770	1.394	6.5047	4.4760	
0176	814 Americas	2	276	92	0.371	0.4270	0.1423	
		99	178	147	0.081	0.0601	0.0497	
	Totals:				1.846	6.9918	4.6680 \$4.579.53	\$6,026.76
	Cost Analysis:				\$486.48	\$3,960.75	\$1,579.53	\$6,026.76
0183	Topps Meat Company	1	551	172	2.831	6.5047	2.0305	
0,00	, oppo moat company	2	923	362	0.708	2.7250	1.0688	
	Totals:				3.539	9.2297	3.0993	¢7 000 00
	Cost Analysis:				\$932.63	\$5,228.48	\$1,048.72	\$7,209.82
	ELIZABETH TOTALS				321,4340	2,858.0027	625.5292	
					\$84,707.63	\$1,619,006.43	\$211,664.15	\$1,915,378.21